Hemodynamic and Antihypertensive Effects of Captopril, an Orally Active Angiotensin Converting Enzyme Inhibitor
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SUMMARY Captopril inhibits angiotensin II formation and bradykinin degradation in vivo. Eleven patients with essential hypertension (EH) and four patients with renovascular hypertension (RVH) were treated with captopril for periods ranging from 3 days to 12 months. All patients had a diastolic blood pressure (DBP) over 95 mm Hg after receiving a placebo for 3 days. Captopril given in ascending doses (10–1000 mg/day) caused normalization of blood pressure in all but three patients, one with severe RVH whose pressure fell 11%, one patient with severe EH, whose pressure fell 27%, and one with EH whose blood pressure fell 8.5%. The average control DBP in patients with EH was 113.7 ± 5.5 (SE) mm Hg and fell to 89.9 ± 3.6 mm Hg (p < 0.001), while DBP in patients with RVH fell from 110.7 ± 7.6 mm Hg to 94 ± 8.2 (p < 0.005). All patients were studied in balance on a 100 mEq sodium (Na) diet. Plasma renin activity (PRA) versus 24-hour urinary Na excretion increased sevenfold during therapy while converting enzyme activity fell by about one half. The magnitude of the blood pressure response was not related to control PRA. Cardiac output was estimated by echocardiography during placebo administration and during maintenance therapy with captopril. A significant change was not observed. Total peripheral resistance fell an average of 18.9% (p < 0.05) in 11 of the 13 patients in whom the measurement could be made. It is concluded that captopril effectively lowers blood pressure in patients with EH or RVH by reducing total peripheral resistance.
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A PARENTERAL angiotensin converting enzyme inhibitor, teprotide,1-5 and an angiotensin receptor blocking agent, saralasin6,7 have been used to study the role of angiotensin II in the initiation and maintenance of blood pressure elevation in various disease states. Recently, an orally active angiotensin converting enzyme inhibitor, captopril, has been developed8 and found to lower blood pressure in small groups of patients with either renovascular or essential hypertension.9 As the antihypertensive effect did not appear to be related to the baseline plasma renin activity, the mechanism of the blood pressure lowering effect was not clear. Thus, additional studies are needed to uncover information that will contribute to understanding the undoubtedly complex mechanism of action of these important therapeutic agents. The present study concerns the hemodynamic effects of captopril in hypertensive human subjects and the relationship of these effects to hormonal and metabolic changes.

Methods

The protocol for this investigation was approved by the Patient Participation Committee of the University of Tennessee Center for the Health Sciences. Fifteen patients agreed to participate in the study. Six were male, nine were female, nine were black and six were white. Their ages ranged from 20 to 62 years. Eleven had essential hypertension and four had renovascular hypertension.

Diuretic agents were stopped at least 2 weeks before admission to the hospital and other antihypertensive agents at least 3 days before admission. None of the patients had received recent treatment with reserpine or guanethidine. At the time of admission, the patients underwent a complete physical examination and routine urinalysis, as well as hematological and
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clinical blood and urine chemistry tests. When clinically indicated, intravenous pyelograms and renal arteriograms were performed and renal vein renin activity assayed.

The patients were placed on a 100 mEq sodium, 60 mEq potassium diet and received a placebo tablet three times a day for the first 3 days of the study. Blood pressure was measured four times a day with an Arteriosonde (Roche) while the patients were in the supine and upright position. With each dose increment of captopril, blood pressure was measured every 15 minutes for 2 hours.

If, after the third day of placebo, the patients’ average daily diastolic blood pressure remained above 95 mm Hg and their serum creatinine remained beneath 3 mg/dl, the patients were started on captopril, 10-25 mg every 6 hours, which was gradually increased until the diastolic blood pressure fell below 95 mm Hg or a maximum daily dose of 1000 mg was attained. If goal blood pressure had not been reached after 3 days of maintenance therapy, hydrochlorothiazide was given in a dose of 50 mg twice a day. After 3 to 10 days of maintenance therapy, captopril was tapered and discontinued for five patients, two of whom subsequently underwent surgical correction of renal artery stenosis. Ten of the patients have received captopril on an outpatient basis for periods ranging from 1 to 12 months.

Routine hematological and clinical chemistry tests were performed again at the end of the placebo period, after 3, 7 and 14 days of treatment and at gradually increasing intervals of 7 to 30 days, as treatment was continued on an outpatient basis. Plasma renin (PRA) and converting enzyme activity were assayed at the end of the placebo period and after 3 to 7 days of treatment with a maintenance dose of captopril. Renin activity was measured by radioimmunoassay at pH 5.7. Converting enzyme activity was assayed spectrophotometrically.

Hemodynamic studies were performed at the end of the placebo period and after 3 to 14 days of treatment (average time: 7.6 days). None of the patients was receiving diuretics or had signs of congestive heart failure at the time of the study. After an overnight fast, the patient rested quietly in bed for 30 minutes, then left ventricular dimensions were measured by echocardiography, as described previously. Left ventricular end-diastolic and end-systolic volumes were calculated using the regression formula of Meyer et al. Stroke volume was derived from the difference in end-diastolic and end-systolic volumes, and cardiac output was calculated as the product of stroke volume and heart rate. Total peripheral resistance (TPR) was calculated by the Frank formula:

\[
TPR (\text{dynes} \cdot \text{sec} \cdot \text{cm}^{-5}) = \frac{\text{mean arterial pressure (mm Hg)} \times 1330}{\text{cardiac output (ml/sec)}}.
\]

Mean blood pressure was calculated as the sum of the diastolic pressure and one-third of the pulse pressure.

Plasma volume was measured by the indicator dilution technique using Evans blue dye. Data were analyzed by Student’s paired \( t \) test. Differences were considered to be significant when \( p \) was less than 0.05. Nonparametric statistical methods were used to calculate correlation coefficients between variables. All results are expressed as mean ± SEM.

Results

Hemodynamic Effects

Captopril resulted in a gradual fall in blood pressure over a dose ranging period of 2 to 8 days. The decrement in pressure seen on the first day of therapy was absent on the second day in eight of the 15 cases. Subsequently, the diastolic blood pressure of the 15 patients fell an average of 19%, from 112.9 ± 16.9 mm Hg on the third day of placebo treatment, to 91.2 ± 3.2 mm Hg before discharge from the hospital. The reduction was statistically significant (\( p < 0.001 \)). The average diastolic blood pressure of the 11 patients with essential hypertension fell from 113.7 ± 5.5 mm Hg to 89.9 ± 3.6 mm Hg (\( p < 0.001 \)) while that of the four patients with renovascular hypertension fell from 110.8 ± 7.6 mm Hg to 94.6 ± 8.2 mm Hg (\( p < 0.005 \)). Diastolic blood pressure failed to fall below 95 mm Hg in three of the patients; one patient had severe renovascular hypertension and experienced an 11% reduction of diastolic pressure, while reductions of 27% and 8.5% (the former received concomitant diuretic therapy) were seen in two patients with severe essential hypertension whose diastolic pressures were 156 and 118 mm Hg, respectively, before treatment. The diastolic pressure of the other 13 patients was reduced to levels of 93 mm Hg or less, only one of whom received diuretics.

During therapy with captopril, mean peripheral resistance in the 13 patients from whom technically adequate echocardiograms could be obtained fell an average of 18.9%, from 1883 ± 159 to 1523 ± 123 dynes · sec · cm⁻⁵ (\( p < 0.05 \); table 1). Cardiac index was 3.14 ± 0.24 liter/min/m² before and 2.93 ± 0.24 liter/min/m² during therapy. The change was not statistically significant, nor was the slight fall in heart rate from 79 ± 3 before to 76 ± 2 beats/min during therapy. Stroke volume was 70.3 ± 3.6 ml before therapy and 67.2 ± 3.0 ml afterward. The change was not statistically significant. The average body weight of the 15 patients fell from 80.3 ± 5.67 kg to 78.8 ± 2.99 kg (\( p < 0.025 \)). The plasma volume of 12 patients was measured after 3 days of placebo and during inhospital maintenance therapy with captopril. The average plasma volume was 43.7 ± 3.5 ml/kg before and 45.8 ± 4.8 ml/kg during treatment. The individual changes were not consistent and the differences were not statistically significant.

Endocrine and Metabolic Effects

Serum converting enzyme activity was measured in the last nine patients admitted to the study before and during maintenance therapy. Enzyme activity fell...
Table 1. Hemodynamic Effects of Captopril

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient no.</th>
<th>Placebo</th>
<th>Captopril</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>BP (mm Hg)</td>
<td>HR (beats/ min)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1*</td>
<td>122</td>
<td>68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2†</td>
<td>133</td>
<td>76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>148</td>
<td>83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4†</td>
<td>124</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>118</td>
<td>67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>115</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>178</td>
<td>74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>126</td>
<td>53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11*</td>
<td>118</td>
<td>47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>154</td>
<td>67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>74.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Mean: 126.6 ± 70.31 ± 78.67 ± 3.14 ± 1883 ± 97.7 ± 67.2 ± 76.2 ± 2.93 ± 1523 ± 6.16 ± 3.36 ± 3.01 ± 0.24 ± 159 ± 5.23 ± 3.0 ± 3.2 ± 0.24 ± 159 ± < 0.001 NS NS NS < 0.05 % change from control: 22.8 ± 4.4 ± 3.2 ± 6.7 ± 18.9

*Patients with renovascular hypertension.
†Plasma renin activity less than 2 ng/ml/hr before and after captopril.
2Duration of captopril administration at time of hemodynamic study.

Abbreviations: BP = mean blood pressure; SV = stroke volume; HR = heart rate; CI = cardiac index; TPR = total peripheral resistance.

Significantly from 30.3 ± 1.4 units to 12.6 ± 2.2 units (p < 0.001). However, converting enzyme activity remained detectable in the serum of all treated patients. The level of post-treatment activity was not correlated with the antihypertensive effect.

Plasma renin activity rose sevenfold by the time that a maintenance dose of captopril had been established; rising from 5.4 ± 1.1 ng/ml/hr to 39.5 ± 11.4 ng/ml/hr during therapy (p < 0.005). Initial PRA was measured after each patient had received a 1000 mg Na, 600 mg K diet for 3 days. No relationship was found between baseline PRA and the percent fall in diastolic blood pressure after the first dose of captopril, after 7 days of therapy or at the time of maximum antihypertensive response (fig. 1). Similarly, no significant correlation was found between baseline PRA and the change in TPR (r = 0.215) or the change in cardiac output (r = 0.261).

Plasma cortisol was 15.5 ± 1.6 µg/dl before and 18.9 ± 1.7 µg/dl during treatment (NS). Serum potassium rose from 3.86 ± 0.09 to 4.34 ± 0.12 mEq/liter (p < 0.005). Serum sodium did not change significantly, the average during the placebo period was 140.4 ± 0.61 mEq/liter and 139 ± 0.66 mEq/liter during treatment. Complete blood cell counts, urinalysis, electrocardiograms, serum creatinine, liver and thyroid function tests did not change during therapy.

A transient maculopapular skin rash and a low-grade fever occurred in two patients, after 6 and 78 days of therapy. Complete blood cell counts, urinalysis, electrocardiograms, in patients with either essential hypertension and without a recurrence of the rash. The second patient was able to resume therapy with captopril at a lower dose level (50 mg daily) with adequate control of blood pressure and without a recurrence of the rash.

Discussion

Our studies show that the usual hemodynamic effect of converting enzyme blockade in hypertensive subjects was a significant reduction of TPR, a fall in both systolic and diastolic blood pressure and no change in cardiac index. This response was seen in 11 of the 13 patients from whom we were able to obtain satisfactory echocardiograms, in patients with either essential
or renovascular hypertension, as well as in patients whose pretreatment PRA was low, normal or high. The fall in peripheral resistance parallels our findings in the spontaneously hypertensive rat. It is also in accord with the acute fall in TPR observed after teprotide was administered parenterally to patients with congestive heart failure and with preliminary reports of the effects of captopril in patients with hypertension.

An atypical response, a fall in cardiac index and an increased peripheral resistance was found in Patient 1, who had renovascular hypertension and Patient 4, who had essential hypertension associated with low PRA (table 1). The latter patient was studied on two separate occasions during therapy, 3 days apart, and each time showed a lower cardiac index and a higher systemic resistance. The reason for this atypical response was not apparent. The echocardiographic studies were of good quality and the difference did not appear to be methodological. The heart rate did not suggest that the patients were unduly anxious during the first study, which might have accounted for a relatively high cardiac output at that time, and which might have fallen during subsequent studies as the patients became accustomed to their surroundings. Patient 1 was a white female and Patient 4 was a black male, thus race and sex did not account for the difference. As the patients represented opposite extremes of the spectrum of PRA, we could not account for the difference on this basis. However, blood levels of other vasoactive substances were not measured.

The interrelationships among baseline PRA relative to sodium intake and the antihypertensive response of the 15 patients were analyzed. No correlations were found between PRA activity during the placebo period and the maximum fall in blood pressure after the first dose of captopril, nor after 7 days of captopril administration. No correlation was found between baseline PRA and the maximum observed fall in blood pressure.

Thus, our data do not support the concept that the degree of acute antihypertensive response to oral captopril is determined by the patients' pretreatment PRA and suggest that the antihypertensive effect of captopril may not depend entirely upon suppression of plasma angiotensin II levels. Recent advances in our understanding of the interrelationships among the renin-angiotensin system, the kallikrein-kinin system, the autonomic nervous system and prostaglandin metabolism lead to the speculation that the mechanism of action of captopril is remarkably complex. It is known that converting enzyme and kininase II, the enzyme responsible for the catabolism of bradykinin are the same, thus converting enzyme blockade is followed by elevation of plasma bradykinin levels. As bradykinin functions primarily as a local hormone and is a vasodilator, accumulation of bradykinin in vascular smooth muscle may add to the effects of reduced plasma angiotensin II levels. Additionally, accumulation of bradykinin via activation of phospholipase A₂ results in release of arachidonic acid and enhanced synthesis of prostaglandins. In vascular smooth muscle, the major compound formed in response to arachidonic acid release in prostaglandin I₅, a vasodilator which could contribute to the antihypertensive response. Activation of the arachidonic acid cascade also results in the formation of prostaglandin E₂, a vasodilator which causes impaired norepinephrine release at the nerve endings. Thus, the administration of captopril should be followed by activation of a number of factors which act to lower blood pressure and the relative contributions of these factors requires further research to establish their importance.

Our results are similar to those reported by Gavras and co-workers in that we have found captopril to be a well tolerated, potent antihypertensive agent in the majority of patients studied, regardless of pretreatment PRA. We have also found that two of our 15 patients developed a skin rash of unknown origin which resolved without permanent ill effects on discontinuation. Unlike Gavras et al., we found that the diastolic blood pressure of three of our patients (20%) remained above 95 mm Hg, on the maximum allowable dose of captopril, and remains above 95 mm Hg in the one patient still under observation despite concomitant diuretic therapy. The untreated blood pressure of the latter three patients, one with
renovascular and two with essential hypertension, were among the highest of the group, and the post-treatment levels of converting enzyme activity among the lowest of the group. Thus, the reasons for their incomplete antihypertensive response, other than the severity of their hypertension, were not apparent.

We conclude that captopril is an effective antihypertensive agent for many patients with essential or renovascular hypertension. The hemodynamic mechanism of action appears to be a reduction of total peripheral resistance. The compound appears to be well tolerated for periods up to 1 year but the long-term effects, and the significance of the reversible skin rash, remain to be established.
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