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Most studies that have attempted to distinguish pregnancy-induced hypertension from chronic hypertension in pregnancy include arbitrary clinical definitions and morphological reports based on renal biopsy. To evaluate whether these conditions have different responses to stimuli to the renin-angiotensin-aldosterone system, we studied four normal nonpregnant women, eight normal pregnant women, 10 women with pregnancy-induced hypertension, and 14 with chronic hypertension in pregnancy, in the third trimester of pregnancy, after they had sequentially adopted the supine, the left lateral recumbent, and the orthostatic positions for 90 minutes each. Postural maneuvers did not significantly change mean arterial pressure in pregnancy-induced hypertensive or in normal pregnant women, although in chronic hypertensive women, a significant reduction in this parameter was observed in left lateral recumbency. The renin-angiotensin-aldosterone system was significantly less activated with women in the supine position in pregnancy-induced hypertensive and chronic hypertensive women; however, as opposed to pregnancy-induced hypertensive women, those with chronic hypertension resumed their humoral response to upright posture, which was accompanied by a significant reduction in sodium excretion. The parallelism between plasma renin activity and aldosterone levels, absent in normal pregnancy, returned in pregnancy-induced hypertensive and chronic hypertensive women in the erect posture (r=0.73, p<0.01; r=0.68, p<0.01, respectively). These data suggest that the adoption of the left lateral recumbent position in pregnancy reduces mean arterial pressure only in chronic hypertensive women. Moreover, in chronic hypertension, the upright position provoked a significant response of the renin-angiotensin-aldosterone system. This effect was not observed in women with pregnancy-induced hypertension. (Hypertension 1992;19[suppl II]:II-74-II-78)
increasing rises in PRA proportional to the increasing severity of the disease, although the absolute values of PRA remain lower when compared with normal individuals.

The purpose of the present study was to evaluate in hypertensive states of pregnancy (PIH and chronic hypertension in pregnancy [CHP]) the response of the components of the renin-angiotensin-aldosterone system and of natriuresis to postural changes in the last trimester of gestation and to compare these data with those obtained from normal nonpregnant women and normal pregnant women submitted to the same posture maneuvers and in the same gestational age.

Methods

Patients

Women with PIH and CHP were previously diagnosed and followed at the Hypertension, Metabolism and Nutrition Section of the Department of Internal Medicine, Rio de Janeiro State University. The PIH group was composed of 10 pregnant women, six white and four nonwhite, 14–30 years of age, seven of them primigravid. The remaining three multiparous of this group had been pregnant once before, fulfilling in this pregnancy the criteria for PIH, which included the normalization of blood pressure after being discharged from the hospital. The CHP group had 14 women, four white and 10 nonwhite, 20–41 years old. All pregnant women were in the third trimester of pregnancy. In our research unit, PIH has been defined as the occurrence of a systemic blood pressure of 140/90 mm Hg or more, on at least two separate occasions, during pregnancy. In our research unit, PIH has been defined as the occurrence of a systemic blood pressure of 140/90 mm Hg or more, on at least two separate occasions, during pregnancy. In our research unit, PIH has been defined as the occurrence of a systemic blood pressure of 140/90 mm Hg or more, on at least two separate occasions, during pregnancy.

Lab control pregnant subjects were all primigravid. Secondary forms of hypertension were ruled out by appropriate tests, which included serum and urinary hormone determinations, renal function studies, and cardiovascular evaluation. Antihypertensive therapy was interrupted 72 hours before blood collection. Informed consent was obtained in keeping with the guidelines of the State University of Rio de Janeiro committee on human investigation.

Control Subjects

Control subjects consisted of eight normal pregnant women, 21–33 years old, seven white and one nonwhite, and four normal nonpregnant volunteers from the University Hospital Community, three white and one nonwhite, 21–33 years old. The control pregnant subjects were all primigravid.

Study Protocol

The patients and control individuals fasted overnight, and at 8 AM an antecubital vein of the left or right arm was catheterized for blood sampling. The study protocol lasted 270 minutes, divided into three intervals of 90 minutes during which the patients adopted, sequentially, the supine (SUP), left lateral recumbent (LLR), and upright (orthostatic) (ORT) positions. At the end of each 90-minute period, 20 ml of blood was drawn in a plastic syringe. This volume was immediately processed to obtain plasma and serum, which were frozen at -30°C for subsequent assay. Urine samples represented the volumes of the three different 90-minute intervals. Blood pressure levels were the mean of three consecutive measurements obtained in the adopted position before blood sampling.

Systemic blood pressure was measured with an aneroid sphygmomanometer and according to the disappearance of Korotkoff phase V sound. The parameter was expressed as mean arterial pressure (MAP) calculated by the formula: MAP equals diastolic blood pressure plus one third of the difference between systolic and diastolic blood pressures.

Laboratory Techniques

Sodium concentration was determined by flame photometry (model 400, Corning Glass Inc., Corning, N.Y.). PRA was measured by radioimmunoassay as described by Haber et al and modified by Sealey and Laragh, with the results expressed in nanograms of angiotensin I generated per milliliter of plasma per hour (ng/ml/hr). Serum aldosterone concentration was determined by radioimmunoassay according to the method of Varsano-Ahanon and Ulick modified by Manlimos and Abraham, and the results are expressed as picograms per milliliter of plasma (pg/ml).

Statistics

Results are expressed as mean±SEM. Student’s t test determined the significance of differences between normotensive controls and hypertensive patients. Correlations were performed by linear least-squares regression analysis. A value of p<0.05 was accepted as significant.

Results

Blood Pressure

In the PIH and CHP groups, MAP was significantly higher than in normal pregnant and normal nonpregnant women (p<0.01) in any of the adopted positions (Table 1). Postural maneuvers such as the SUP, LLR, and ORT positions did not significantly change MAP in normal women, in the PIH group, and in normal nonpregnant women. However, in the CHP group, a significant reduction of MAP was observed in the LLR as compared with the SUP position (102.9±3 versus 109.1±3 mm Hg, respectively, p<0.05) and as compared with the ORT position (110.7±2 mm Hg, p<0.05).
TABLE 1. Physiological Responses to Different Postural Stimuli in Study Groups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables and groups</th>
<th>Supine</th>
<th>Left lateral recumbent</th>
<th>Orthostatic</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mean arterial pressure (mm Hg)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NNP</td>
<td>83.8±2.9</td>
<td>83.8±2.9</td>
<td>79.0±5.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NP</td>
<td>84.1±3.1</td>
<td>82.6±2.7</td>
<td>79.4±3.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PIH</td>
<td>110.8±5.0</td>
<td>106.0±5.2</td>
<td>106.1±4.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHP</td>
<td>109.1±3.3</td>
<td>102.9±3.0*</td>
<td>110.7±2.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plasma renin activity (ng/ml/hr)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NNP</td>
<td>1.0±0.4</td>
<td>0.5±0.1</td>
<td>3.4±1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NP</td>
<td>21.6±6.4†</td>
<td>14.7±4.5*</td>
<td>20.7±6.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PIH</td>
<td>6.9±1.1</td>
<td>6.1±0.8</td>
<td>8.8±2.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHP</td>
<td>6.8±1.0</td>
<td>7.0±1.2</td>
<td>11.0±2.2‡</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aldosterone level (pg/ml)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NNP</td>
<td>84.3±18.5</td>
<td>84.0±12.4</td>
<td>106.8±12.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NP</td>
<td>303.6±52.4</td>
<td>206.4±29.0*</td>
<td>401.0±59.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PIH</td>
<td>211.3±34.4</td>
<td>160.5±19.6</td>
<td>202.1±35.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHP</td>
<td>231.7±40.4</td>
<td>173.7±23.9</td>
<td>343.7±60.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urinary sodium excretion (μmol/min)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NNP</td>
<td>400.8±58.6‡</td>
<td>421.2±97.5</td>
<td>117.4±32.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NP</td>
<td>100.7±12.7</td>
<td>290.7±37.7*</td>
<td>145.4±24.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PIH</td>
<td>118.6±33.1</td>
<td>206.1±41.3*</td>
<td>81.1±16.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHP</td>
<td>141.1±29.0</td>
<td>184.0±25.8</td>
<td>62.0±10.9‡</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are mean±SEM. NNP, normal nonpregnant; NP, normal pregnant; PIH, pregnancy-induced hypertension; CHP, chronic hypertensive pregnant.

* indicates p<0.05 compared with supine and orthostatic.
† indicates p<0.01 compared with PIH and CHP.
‡ indicates p<0.05 compared with supine and left lateral recumbent.
§ indicates p<0.05 compared with NP, PIH, and CHP.

**Plasma Renin Activity**

In normal pregnancy and in the PIH and CHP groups, PRA values were significantly higher as compared with normal nonpregnant women (p<0.05), except for the PIH group in the ORT position (Table 1). However, in normal pregnancy, PRA values were higher than in the PIH and CHP groups, although this was statistically significant only in the SUP position (p<0.01). Concerning postural changes, the PIH group showed no significant differences in PRA between the SUP, LLR, and ORT positions. In normal pregnancy, PRA levels in the LLR position were significantly lower than in the SUP and ORT positions (p<0.05). Different from the PIH group, patients with CHP reassumed their response to postural stimuli (11.0±2 versus 6.8±1 ng/ml/hr and versus 7.0±1 ng/ml/hr, ORT versus SUP and ORT versus LLR, respectively, p<0.05).

**Serum Aldosterone Concentration**

In the PIH group, serum aldosterone levels in the SUP and LLR positions were lower than in normal pregnant women, although the difference was statistically significant only in the ORT position (202.1±35.1 versus 401.0±59.4 pg/ml, PIH versus normal pregnant, p<0.05). In normal pregnancy, the LLR position elicited the lowest circulating levels of aldosterone, as compared with the SUP and ORT positions (206.4±29 versus 303.6±52.4 and versus 401.0±59.4 pg/ml, respectively, p<0.05). In the CHP group, there was a marked increase in aldosterone levels in the ORT position, but similar to normal nonpregnant volunteers, this difference was not significant.

**Urinary Sodium Excretion**

In all pregnant women, urinary sodium excretion (UNiV) was significantly reduced in the SUP position when compared with normal nonpregnant women (p<0.01). In normal pregnancy and in the PIH group, UNiV was higher in the LLR position in comparison to the SUP and ORT positions (p<0.01). In the CHP group, UNiV in the LLR and SUP positions was significantly higher than that obtained in the ORT position (184.0±25.8 and 141.1±29 versus 62.0±10.9 μmol/min, respectively, p<0.01). The mean total UNiV in the 270-minute interval was 939.3 μmol/min in normal nonpregnant women, 536.8 μmol/min in normal pregnant women, 405.8 μmol/min in the PIH group, and 387.1 μmol/min in the CHP group.

**Correlation Study**

In normal pregnancy, no significant correlation was observed between PRA and serum aldosterone level in any of the positions studied. However, in the PIH and CHP groups, when patients adopted the ORT position, a positive and highly significant correlation between PRA and serum aldosterone level was observed (r=0.73 and r=0.68, respectively, p<0.01).
Discussion

In the present study, different positions adopted by pregnant women provoked a clearly distinct hemodynamic behavior in the PIH group when compared with the CHP group. In the PIH group, MAP remained high, with no significant differences between the SUP, LLR, and ORT positions. This fact challenges the benefits attributed to the adoption of the LLR position, for short periods of time, in the control of blood pressure of PIH women. However, different from the PIH group, MAP was significantly reduced when CHP women adopted the LLR position. This observation suggests that postural changes have a greater hemodynamic effect in CHP than in PIH patients and may be clinically useful in distinguishing both conditions.

In normal pregnancy, the renin-angiotensin-aldosterone system was remarkably activated, and the hemodynamic changes induced by postural maneuvers caused quantitative alterations in PRA and aldosteronemia. Different factors, such as enhanced hepatic synthesis of angiotensinogen induced by estrogenic action, the secretion of active renin or prorenin by the uteroplacental complex, and the reduced vascular response to Ang II, have been considered as possible explanations for the rise in PRA. Although the factors controlling Ang II sensitivity and receptor affinity are under debate, sodium and calcium concentration in the vessel wall and a greater synthesis of kinins and prostaglandins may be important factors.

It is well known that in PIH the renin-angiotensin system is less activated than in normal pregnancy. Besides confirming this aspect, our data also demonstrate that the different postural stimuli did not evoke distinct responses in PRA and serum aldosterone levels. The renin-angiotensin-aldosterone system was also less activated in chronic hypertensive states of pregnancy, with the LLR position adopting CHP. In addition, a return of the system in CHP. In the present study, different positions adopted by pregnant women provoke a clearly distinct hemodynamic behavior in the PIH group when compared with the CHP group. In the PIH group, MAP remained high, with no significant differences between the SUP, LLR, and ORT positions. This fact challenges the benefits attributed to the adoption of the LLR position, for short periods of time, in the control of blood pressure of PIH women. However, different from the PIH group, MAP was significantly reduced when CHP women adopted the LLR position. This observation suggests that postural changes have a greater hemodynamic effect in CHP than in PIH patients and may be clinically useful in distinguishing both conditions.
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