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Abstract—It has been shown that nitric oxide (NO) is synthesized in the central nervous system as well as in vascular endothelial cells. We recently reported that NO was involved in central cardiovascular regulation, modulated the baroreflex, and was involved in a reciprocal release with excitatory amino acids in the nucleus tractus solitarii (NTS) of rats. We also reported previously that adenosine increased the release of glutamate in the NTS. The purpose of the present study was to investigate the possible interaction of NO and adenosine in the NTS. Male Sprague-Dawley rats were anesthetized with urethane, and blood pressure was monitored intra-arterially. Unilateral microinjection of L-arginine (3.3 nmol/60 nL) into the NTS produced decreases in blood pressure and heart rate. Microinjection of adenosine (2.3 nmol/60 nL) also produced depressive and bradycardic effects. These cardiovascular effects were attenuated by prior administration of the specific adenosine receptor antagonist DPSPX (0.92 nmol). Similarly, prior administration of NO synthase inhibitor $N^\text{G}$-monomethyl-L-arginine or $N^\text{G}$-nitro-L-arginine methyl ester significantly attenuated the depressive and bradycardic effects of adenosine. These results demonstrate a reciprocal attenuation of adenosine receptor antagonist and NO synthase inhibitor on L-arginine and adenosine responses, respectively, in the NTS and implicate an interaction between NO and adenosine in central cardiovascular regulation. (Hypertension. 1998;32:1034-1038.)
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and NO can increase the release of neurotransmitters. Numerous studies have reported that adenosine stimulates the release of NO from endothelial cells through adenosine receptor activation and that the peripheral vasodilator response to adenosine is mediated by NO. In the CNS, NO participates in the hypotensive effect by adenosine $A_1$ receptor stimulation. Nevertheless, there is evidence indicating that endogenous NO and NO donors enhance adenosine release from ventral striatum and hippocampal slices. Taken together, it appears that NO and adenosine have some degree of interaction in the CNS.

The purpose of the present study was to provide pharmacological evidence of whether NO and adenosine are reciprocally released in the NTS. Our results implicate an interaction between NO and adenosine in central cardiovascular regulation.

Methods

Male Sprague-Dawley rats (weight, 250 to 350 g; Charles River) were obtained and housed in the animal room of Veterans General Hospital–Kaohsiung (Kaohsiung, Taiwan, Republic of China). The rats were kept in individual cages in a room in which lighting was controlled (12 hours on/12 hours off), and temperature was maintained at 23°C to 24°C. The rats were given Purina Laboratory Chow and tap water ad libitum.

Rats were anesthetized with urethane (1.0 g/kg IP and 300 mg/kg IV if necessary). The preparation of animals for intra-NTS microinjection and the methods used in the localization of NTS were described previously.

In the first group of animals, the effects of intra-NTS administration of adenosine (2.3 nmol/60 nL) on BP and HR were observed 10 to 90 minutes after intra-NTS microinjection of 60 nL saline. Thirty minutes after recovery, the animals were pretreated with different doses (1, 33, and 100 nmol) of NO synthase inhibitors (N$^\text{G}$-monomethyl-L-arginine [L-NMMA], N$^\text{G}$-nitro-L-arginine methyl ester [L-NAME], and N$^\text{G}$-nitro-arginine methyl ester [D-NAME]) and the adenosine receptor antagonist DPSPX (0.92 nmol), respectively. The cardiovascular action of the same dose of adenosine was observed after 10 to 90 minutes. In different groups of animals, a similar experimental procedure was used to study the effects of pretreatment with the adenosine receptor antagonist DPSPX (0.92 nmol) on L-arginine (3.3 nmol) in the NTS.

After completion of the experiment, 120 nL ink was injected through the cannula, and the rats were perfused with saline followed by a solutions of 4% formaldehyde and 30% sucrose solution sequentially. Sections (40 µm) of the brain stem were stained with cresyl violet, and proper placement of the pipette tip in the NTS was verified with histological sections under the microscope.

For NTS microinjection, the drugs were dissolved in sterile saline to the final concentrations in a volume not exceeding 60 nL. For each drug, only 60 nL was pressure-microinjected into the NTS. The following drugs were used: urethane, L-glutamic acid, L-arginine, adenosine, L-NMMA, L-NAME, D-NAME (Sigma Chemical Co), and DPSPX (Research Biochemicals).

For statistical analysis, paired $t$ test (before and after intra-NTS microinjection) and unpaired $t$ test (for control and study group comparisons) or repeated-measures ANOVA followed by Dunnett’s test for significant differences were used. Differences with $P<0.05$ were considered significant. All data were presented as mean±SEM.

Results

In agreement with our previous findings, intra-NTS microinjection of adenosine (2.3 nmol) resulted in hypotension and bradycardia. However, the cardiovascular effects of adenosine were significantly attenuated by previous intra-NTS administration of 0.92 nmol of DPSPX (from $-26.2$ to $-5.1$ mm Hg for MBP and from $-30.5$ to $-10.2$ bpm for HR, respectively). Prior administration of saline into the NTS did not modify the effects of adenosine on MBP and HR. To test whether the NO system was involved in the cardiovascular effects of adenosine, we used the NO synthase inhibitors L-NMMA and L-NAME. Pretreatment with different doses of L-NMMA or L-NAME (10, 33, and 100 nmol) for 10 minutes significantly attenuated the depressor and bradycardic responses to adenosine (Figures 1 through 3). In addition, we examined the attenuation efficiency of different doses of L-NMMA and L-NAME (10, 33, and 100 nmol) on adenosine. L-NMMA and L-NAME attenuated the cardiovascular effects of adenosine dose dependently (Figures 2 and 3). In contrast, the attenuation effects of L-NAME were more potent than those of L-NMMA on an equimolar basis (Figures 2 and 3). However, pretreatment with D-NAME (33 nmol/60 nL) did not modify the cardiovascular effects of adenosine in any way.

To investigate whether reciprocal interactions between a purinergic mechanism and NO are present in the NTS, we tested the cardiovascular effects of L-arginine on prior administration of adenosine receptor antagonist DPSPX in the NTS. Unilateral microinjection of L-arginine (3.3 nmol) into the NTS resulted in hypotension and bradycardia.
These effects were similar to our previous findings. After pretreatment with DPSPX (0.92 nmol) for 10 minutes, the depressor and bradycardic responses to L-arginine were attenuated significantly (−21±1 mm Hg and −19±3 bpm, respectively) (Figure 4). These effects were similar to our previous findings. After pretreatment with DPSPX (0.92 nmol) for 10 minutes, the depressor and bradycardic responses to L-arginine were attenuated significantly (−9±1 mm Hg and −7±2 bpm, respectively) (Figure 4).

The attenuated cardiovascular effects of NO synthase inhibitors and adenosine receptor antagonist on adenosine and L-arginine had recovered 60 to 90 minutes after injection of the antagonists (Figures 1 and 4).

**Figure 2.** Comparative MBP and HR effects of adenosine (2.3 nmol) by NO synthase inhibitor L-NMMA on unilateral intra-NTS administration of the substances. Adenosine was injected in the absence (control) or presence of L-NMMA. Vertical bars represent SEM change from baseline values, which were 98±5, 107±4, and 102±4 mm Hg for MBP and 312±5, 305±4, and 315±4 bpm for HR. Each bar represents the average data from 8 rats. *Significantly different from corresponding control adenosine response.

**Figure 3.** Comparative MBP and HR effects of adenosine (2.3 nmol) by NO synthase inhibitor L-NAME on unilateral intra-NTS administration of the substances. Adenosine was injected in the absence (control) or presence of L-NAME. Vertical bars represent SEM change from baseline values, which were 102±3, 97±4, and 98±4 mm Hg for MBP and 308±4, 315±4, and 312±3 bpm for HR. Each bar represents the average data from 8 rats. *Significantly different from corresponding control adenosine response.

**Discussion**

The NO system and adenosine seem to have interrelated effects in the regulation of cardiovascular response. In the present study we demonstrated that microinjection of adenosine into the NTS induced depressor and bradycardic effects. These results were similar to our previous findings. Prior administration of adenosine receptor antagonist DPSPX and NO synthase inhibitors L-NMMA or L-NAME significantly attenuated the cardiovascular effects of intra-NTS microinjection with adenosine. Thus, the results of the present study suggest that the activation of adenosine receptors by adenosine might be mediated through NO in the NTS of rats.

Adenosine is a potent vasodilator of vascular smooth muscle. Endothelial cells modulate vascular tone through the release of NO, which also elicits vasodilation. Several reports have demonstrated that inhibition of NO synthesis significantly decreased coronary vasodilatory response attributed to adenosine, suggesting that adenosine-induced vasodilation is accomplished by action on vascular smooth muscle and stimulation of NO release by endothelial cells. In the CNS, adenosine exerts neuroprotective and neuromodulatory effects on presynaptic, postsynaptic, and possible extrasynaptic A1 and A2 receptors. Furthermore, adenosine depresses the release of both excitatory and inhibitory neurotransmitters and has a marked depressant action on the firing of neurons. Autoradiographic studies have also demonstrated that the highest density of central adenosine uptake sites is in the NTS. In our findings and previous observations, adenosine exerted excitatory cardiovascular effects in the NTS of anesthetized animals. Mosqueda-Garcia et al demonstrated that activation of adenosine receptors produced the subsequent release of glutamate. Microinjection of adenosine into the NTS produced a dose-dependent decrease in systolic and diastolic BP, HR, and sympathetic nerve activity.

In addition, adenosine antagonists such as DPSPX and caffeine can inhibit baroreflex activation. We have reported recently that NO was involved in central cardiovascular regulation, and the depressor effect of NO in the NTS and rostral ventrolateral medulla might be through inhibition of renal sympathetic nerve activity. Furthermore, the NO synthase inhibitor also attenuated baroreflex activation in the NTS. These cardiovascular effects of NO are similar to the effects of adenosine in the NTS. Stella et al demonstrated that NO partially mediated the hypotensive
effect induced by A<sub>2</sub> subtype receptor stimulation in the CNS at a peripheral level. Nevertheless, it is not clear to what extent stimulation of adenosine can trigger NO formation on central cardiovascular regulation of rats. In support of this hypothesis, the present study demonstrated that two different kinds of NO synthase inhibitors, L-NMMA and L-NAME, significantly attenuated the depressor and bradycardic responses induced by adenosine dose dependently, and the attenuated cardiovascular effects recovered within 60 to 90 minutes in a progressive manner. Moreover, the attenuation effect of L-NAME was more potent than that of L-NMMA on the cardiovascular effects of adenosine. Our results support the view that L-NAME is 2 to 3 times more potent than L-NMMA at inhibiting endothelium-mediated vasodilation, while administration of D-NAME, an isomer of L-NAME, had no effect on the cardiovascular effects of adenosine. These observations suggested that adenosine exerts part of its cardiovascular effect by activation of adenosine receptors, followed by stimulation of NO release from NO synthase–positive neurons in the NTS, which modulates cardiovascular functions. We can only speculate on the potential mechanisms of this postulated interaction between adenosine and NO-mediated cardiovascular effects. Because the brain constitutive NO synthase is Ca<sup>2+</sup>-calmodulin dependent, adenosine receptor–stimulated rise in intracellular Ca<sup>2+</sup> concentration of neurons may involve adenosine-mediated NO production.

NO may serve as a messenger in the CNS and peripheral nervous system, much like a neuromodulator and transmitter with a widespread signaling mechanism and function. The production of NO may be linked to the activation of the N-methyl-D-aspartate (NMDA) subtype of excitatory amino acid receptor. Activation of postsynaptic NMDA receptors leads to intracellular synthesis of NO and a rise in the cGMP level, whereas NO has also been suggested to participate in retrograde synaptic signaling. We also recently reported that in the NTS, NO may have a retrograde effect on NMDA and non-NMDA receptors to modulate excitatory amino acid release. It has been shown that NO modulates neurotransmitter release from several brain regions, and both NO and adenosine A<sub>2A</sub> receptor agonist are capable of acting presynaptically within the rat NTS to modulate the release of glutamate. However, it is not clear whether the purinergic mechanism is involved in the central cardiovascular regulation of NO.

In the present study, prior administration of the adenosine receptor antagonist DPSPX significantly attenuated the depressor and bradycardic responses of L-arginine in the NTS. These observations might suggest that the cardiovascular effects of L-arginine were mediated through adenosine in the NTS. Fisher et al. demonstrated that superfusion with a NO donor increases the extracellular concentration of adenosine, most likely by enhancing its release instead of inhibiting its metabolism in the ventral striatum. In the hippocampus, NO evokes a concentration-dependent release of adenosine from both stimulated and unstimulated nerves. It has been demonstrated that NO may increase transmitter release by stimulating guanylate cyclase and the production of cGMP. Therefore, in our studies the fact that adenosine antagonist attenuated the cardiovascular effects of NO in the NTS of the rat suggests that NO may modulate adenosine release in central cardiovascular function, and the data presented herein may represent evidence for a physiologically relevant interaction between adenosine and NO within the NTS.

In conclusion, the present data demonstrated that NO and adenosine reciprocally release each other in the NTS and are likely to have subtle interactions in the central cardiovascular regulation of rats.
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