Rarefaction of Skin Capillaries in Borderline Essential Hypertension Suggests an Early Structural Abnormality

Tarek F.T. Antonios, Donald R.J. Singer, Nirmala D. Markandu, Peter S. Mortimer, Graham A. MacGregor

Abstract—We recently showed that rarefaction of skin capillaries in the dorsum of the fingers of patients with essential hypertension is due to the structural (anatomic) absence of capillaries rather than functional nonperfusion. It is not known whether this rarefaction is primary (ie, antedates the onset of hypertension) or secondary (ie, as a consequence of sustained and prolonged elevation of blood pressure [BP]). The aim of the present investigation was to study skin capillary density in a group of patients with mild borderline hypertension to assess whether rarefaction antedates the onset of sustained elevation of BP. The study group included 18 patients with mild borderline hypertension (mean supine BP, 136/83 mm Hg), 32 normotensive controls (mean BP, 126/77 mm Hg), and 45 patients with established essential hypertension (mean BP, 156/98 mm Hg). The skin of the dorsum of the fingers was examined by intravital capillary videomicroscopy before and after venous congestion at 60 mm Hg for 2 minutes. Patients with borderline essential hypertension had the lowest resting capillary density when compared with normotensive controls and patients with established hypertension. Maximal capillary density with venous congestion in the borderline group remained the lowest. The study confirmed that patients with borderline essential hypertension have skin capillary densities that are equally low as or even lower than patients with established hypertension. Both groups had significantly lower capillary densities than normal controls. One explanation for the results is that capillary rarefaction may be due to an early structural abnormality in essential hypertension. (Hypertension. 1999;34:655-658.)
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Rarefaction of capillaries and arterioles is now a well-established abnormality that occurs in many tissues in patients with human essential hypertension.1–5 We recently showed that the rarefaction of capillaries in the skin of the dorsum of the fingers in patients with essential hypertension is due to the structural (anatomic) absence of capillaries rather than nonperfusion or functional rarefaction.1 It is not yet known whether this rarefaction is primary (ie, antedates the onset of hypertension) or secondary (ie, occurring as a consequence of prolonged elevation of blood pressure). Previous studies indicated that rarefaction may occur in patients with borderline essential hypertension and only intermittent elevations of blood pressure.6 The use of the term “borderline hypertension” has been most confusing. According to the 1999 World Health Organization–International Society of Hypertension (WHO–ISH) guidelines for the management of hypertension,7 which are based on the sixth report of the United States’ Joint National Committee (JNC–VI),8 the definition of borderline mild hypertension is a systolic blood pressure (SBP) between 140 and 149 mm Hg and a diastolic blood pressure (DBP) between 90 and 94 mm Hg. A more physiologically oriented definition of borderline hypertension is a state in which blood pressures have been documented within the normal range (ie, <140/90 mm Hg), but on at least 2 occasions, they have been documented as above that level.9 The aim of this study was to assess whether rarefaction of skin capillaries in patients with essential hypertension is an early abnormality that antedates the onset of the sustained elevation of blood pressure or if it is a secondary phenomenon that occurs as a consequence of the raised blood pressure.

Methods

Subjects
Subjects consisted of 18 patients with mild borderline essential hypertension (whose blood pressures have been documented within the normal range [<140/90 mm Hg] but on at least 2 occasions were above that level but <150/95 mm Hg), 45 patients with previously untreated, established essential hypertension (SBP>160 mm Hg and/or DBP>95 mm Hg), and 32 normotensive controls (SBP<140 mm Hg and DBP<85 mm Hg on repeated measure-
Baseline Characteristics of Study Subjects

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Borderline Hypertensive Patients (n=18)</th>
<th>Established Hypertensive Patients (n=45)</th>
<th>Normotensive Controls (n=32)</th>
<th>P value by ANOVA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age, y</td>
<td>45.4±3.4</td>
<td>47±0.1±1.8</td>
<td>51.5±2.1</td>
<td>0.179</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender, men/women</td>
<td>11/7</td>
<td>29/16</td>
<td>18/14</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight, kg</td>
<td>76.9±3.7</td>
<td>84.5±3.1</td>
<td>74.2±2.2</td>
<td>0.314</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height, cm</td>
<td>170.0±2.6</td>
<td>171.9±1.5</td>
<td>171.4±1.5</td>
<td>0.787</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body mass index, kg/m²</td>
<td>26.1±1.0</td>
<td>28.6±0.9†</td>
<td>25.2±0.6</td>
<td>0.009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hip/waist ratio</td>
<td>119.5±3.0</td>
<td>113.6±1.8†</td>
<td>120.2±2.7</td>
<td>0.065</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blood pressure, mm Hg</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Supine</td>
<td>136/83±3/1‡</td>
<td>156/98±2/1‡</td>
<td>126/77±2/1</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Standing</td>
<td>136/88±3/2‡</td>
<td>152/102±3/2‡</td>
<td>122/80±2/1</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>101±1§</td>
<td>118±1§</td>
<td>94±1</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pulse, bpm</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Supine</td>
<td>74±3†</td>
<td>75±2‡</td>
<td>68±2</td>
<td>0.017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Standing</td>
<td>76±3†</td>
<td>81±2‡</td>
<td>73±2</td>
<td>0.006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Room temperature, °C</td>
<td>30.6</td>
<td>30.4</td>
<td>31.2</td>
<td>0.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Skin temperature, °C</td>
<td>24.2</td>
<td>24.2</td>
<td>24.4</td>
<td>0.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean capillary density, No. per field (0.68 mm²)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Before venous congestion</td>
<td>57±4‡</td>
<td>58±3§</td>
<td>76±3</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>With venous congestion</td>
<td>63±4§</td>
<td>70±3§</td>
<td>93±3</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are expressed as mean±SEM.

*P<0.05, †P<0.01, ‡P<0.005, §P<0.0001, by Bonferroni’s post hoc test vs normotensive controls.
Results

The Table shows baseline characteristics and capillaroscopic data in the study subjects before and after 2 minutes of venous congestion at 60 mm Hg. A significant reduction (30%) occurred in mean capillary density at baseline (before venous congestion) in the borderline and established hypertensive subjects compared with the normotensive controls (57 ± 4 and 58 ± 3 compared with 76 ± 3 per 0.68 mm², respectively; \( P < 0.0001 \)) (Figure). With venous occlusion, capillary density increased significantly in both hypertensive groups (36% in the borderline group and 30% in the established hypertensive subjects); however, maximal capillary density was significantly lower in both groups as compared to normotensive controls (63 ± 5, 70 ± 3, and 93 ± 3/field, respectively; \( P < 0.0001 \)) (Figure).

Discussion

The present study found that significant structural rarefaction of the skin capillaries occurs in the early stages of human essential hypertension, with only mild intermittent elevation of blood pressure. Therefore, capillary rarefaction may be a primary or very early structural abnormality rather than a consequence of sustained hypertension. The results of this study agree with several previous studies on structural abnormalities in patients with borderline essential hypertension. Sullivan et al. described the rarefaction of conjunctival capillaries in patients with intermittent elevation of blood pressure. They found that capillary density was inversely related to the cardiac index but not to blood pressure. Some authors consider this rarefaction a form of autoregulation, reflecting the long-term adaptation of microcirculation to the elevated blood pressure or the initial increase of blood flow.

Our study also agreed with the results of Noon et al., who found that people with high blood pressure whose parents also had high blood pressure had fewer capillaries on the dorsum of their fingers, suggesting that defective angiogenesis may be an etiological component in the inheritance of high blood pressure. Also, Draujer et al. found that sodium-resistant borderline hypertensives had a possible structural reduction in nailfold skin capillary density when compared with both sodium-sensitive and control subjects.

In the Tecumseh blood pressure study, Julius et al. found that subjects with borderline hypertension had elevated minimal forearm vascular resistance (measured during maximal dilatation), indicating a structural decrease in the cross-sectional area of the vascular bed (rarefaction), and a decreased peak Doppler E/A ratio on echocardiography (evidence of diastolic dysfunction).

A number of studies demonstrated that an elevated cardiac output frequently occurs in patients with borderline hypertension and, as the cardiac output returns to normal levels, a state of sustained DBP elevation is demonstrable. In actuality, patients with borderline hypertension may have elevated, normal, or reduced cardiac output, and with advancing age, the cardiac output declines in these patients. Recently, a number of studies showed that individuals with borderline hypertension, even in the adolescent age range, may have a relative enlargement of the left ventricle as compared with normotensive patients. Primary structural rarefaction of capillaries may support the theory of reduced angiogenesis and diminished microvascular growth in primary hypertension.

In conclusion, the study demonstrates significantly low skin capillary densities in patients with mild intermittent borderline essential hypertension, equivalent to the densities in patients with established hypertension. This suggests that rarefaction may be an early event in the development of hypertension and not secondary to the sustained elevation of blood pressure. The study also strongly suggests that much of the reduction in capillary density in hypertension is due to the anatomic absence of capillaries, rather than a functional reduction.
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