Insulin-Induced Decrease in Large Artery Stiffness Is Impaired in Uncomplicated Type 1 Diabetes Mellitus
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Abstract—Normal insulin action in vivo involves a decrease in stiffness of large arteries (a decrease in aortic pressure augmentation). We determined whether the ability of insulin to decrease arterial stiffness is altered in uncomplicated type 1 diabetes. Nine type 1 diabetic men (age 28±2 years, body mass index 24±1 kg/m²) and 9 matched normal men were studied under normoglycemic hyperinsulinemic (sequential 2-hour insulin infusions of 1 [step 1] and 2 [step 2] mU · kg⁻¹ · min⁻¹) conditions. Central aortic pressure waveforms were synthesized from those recorded in periphery with applanation tonometry on the radial artery and a validated reverse transfer function to construct the central aortic pressure wave every 30 minutes. This allowed the determination of aortic augmentation (the pressure difference between the first and the second systolic peaks) and the augmentation index (augmentation divided by pulse pressure), as the measure of stiffness of large arteries. Whole-body glucose uptake was 44% (step 1) and 37% (step 2) lower (P<0.001) in the diabetic patients than in the normal subjects. At baseline, before the insulin infusion, augmentation averaged 0±1 and 2±1 mm Hg (NS) and the augmentation index was −1.5±4.5% and 4.0±3.7% (NS) in the normal and diabetic subjects, respectively. After 1 hour of hyperinsulinemia, the augmentation index had decreased significantly (P<0.01) to −9.5±4.8% in the normal subjects but remained at 4.4±2.2% in the diabetic patients. A significant decrease was not observed in the diabetic patients until 150 minutes (−1.2±4.1%, P<0.05 versus baseline). Whole-body glucose uptake was significantly inversely correlated with the change in the augmentation index during step 1 (r=−0.61, P<0.01). Insulin resistance in type 1 diabetes involves a defect in the ability of insulin to decrease central aortic pressure. This defect could predispose these patients to premature stiffening of large arteries. (Hypertension. 2000;35:1043-1048.)
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Patients with type 1 diabetes are at a high risk of cardiovascular disease, which is inadequately explained by classic risk factors.¹ Regarding hypertension, recent evidence from, for example, the Systolic Hypertension in the Elderly Program (SHEP) and Framingham Heart Study has suggested that the pulsatile component of the hemodynamic load of the heart is a better predictor of cardiovascular events than either systolic or diastolic blood pressure.²³ In these trials, the pulsatile component was assessed from measurements of pulse pressure, a surrogate for arterial stiffening. With increasing stiffness, pulse wave velocity increases, causing an early return of pressure waves from reflectance sites. The early return of the pressure wave will increase or augment central systolic pressure and the afterload of the left ventricle and decrease diastolic pressure.⁴ The degree of augmentation of the central systolic pressure wave can be recorded noninvasively with applanation tonometry, a validated transfer function and pulse wave analysis.⁵

Type 1 diabetic patients have had stiffer large arteries in many,⁶–¹¹ although not all,¹²,¹³ studies. Intensive insulin therapy has been shown to slow arterial stiffening in these patients.¹⁴ Insulin therapy is also known to enhance insulin sensitivity via effects on chronic hyperglycemia,¹⁵ the major cause of insulin resistance in these patients.¹⁶ We recently demonstrated that insulin decreases central pressure augmentation independent of any effects on blood flow or peripheral vascular resistance.¹⁷ These data raise the possibility that insulin resistance in type 1 diabetes involves a defect in insulin regulation of arterial stiffness, possibly as a consequence of hyperglycemia.¹ In the present study, we determined whether the normal action of insulin to diminish central pressure augmentation independent of peripheral vascular resistance and blood flow is defective in type 1 diabetes.

Methods

Subjects
Nine type 1 diabetic men and 9 young normal subjects participated in the study. The physical and biochemical characteristics of the subjects are shown in Table 1. The normal subjects were healthy as judged on history and physical examination, ECG, and routine laboratory tests. They were not taking any medications. Their fasting plasma glucose and glycosylated hemoglobin A₁c (HbA₁c) concent-
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TABLE 1. Physical Characteristics of the Subjects

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Normal Subjects (n=9)</th>
<th>Type 1 Diabetic Subjects (n=9)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age, y</td>
<td>26±1</td>
<td>28±2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height, cm</td>
<td>178±2</td>
<td>181±2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body mass index, kg/m²</td>
<td>22.3±0.7</td>
<td>23.9±1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body fat, %</td>
<td>13±1</td>
<td>15±2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fasting plasma glucose, mmol/L</td>
<td>5.3±0.1</td>
<td>7.4±0.8*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fasting serum insulin, mU/L</td>
<td>3±1</td>
<td>8±1†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HbA1c, %</td>
<td>5.1±0.2</td>
<td>7.6±0.3†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serum cholesterol, mmol/L</td>
<td>4.3±0.3</td>
<td>4.4±0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serum HDL-cholesterol, mmol/L</td>
<td>1.5±0.1</td>
<td>1.5±0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serum LDL-cholesterol, mmol/L</td>
<td>2.5±0.2</td>
<td>2.5±0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serum triglycerides, mmol/L</td>
<td>0.7±0.1</td>
<td>0.9±0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration of diabetes, y</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>18±3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are given as mean±SEM. *P<0.05, †P<0.001.

The technique of pulse wave analysis was used to determine central aortic pressure and the augmentation index as previously described in detail. 5,17 All measurements were made from the radial artery with applanation tonometry with a Millar tonometer (SPC-301; Millar Instruments) twice at baseline and every 30 minutes during the insulin infusions. Data were collected directly into a desk top computer and processed with a SphygmoCor Blood Pressure Analysis System (BPAS-1; PWV Medical), which allows continuous on-line recording of the radial artery pressure waveform. The integral system software was used to calculate an average radial artery waveform and to generate the corresponding ascending aortic pressure waveform with a previously validated transfer factor. 20,21

The aortic waveform was then subjected to further analysis for calculation of aortic augmentation, the augmentation index, central blood pressure, and ejection duration (duration of systolic period in milliseconds). The augmentation index was calculated by dividing augmentation with pulse pressure. 3,22

The study consisted of 2 sequential 2-hour insulin infusions at rates of 30 and 139 mU/L for patients with type 1 diabetes versus normal subjects. Plasma glucose concentrations were measured in duplicate with the Beckman Glucose Analyzer II (Beckman Instruments). HbA1c was measured by HPLC with a fully automated Glycosylated Hemoglobin Analyzer System (Bio-Rad).

Statistical Analysis
Analyses of group, time, and group×time effects between normal subjects and type 1 diabetic patients were made with ANOVA for repeated measures followed by Bonferroni’s test. Correlation analyses were performed with Spearman’s nonparametric correlation coefficient. The best fit to characterize the relationship between hemodynamic parameters over time was determined by comparing the goodness of fit of linear and multiple nonlinear equations with the use of Prism v. 2.01 (GraphPad Software Inc). The results are expressed as mean±SEM, and P<0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Results

Glucose and Insulin Concentrations and Glucose Uptake
Fasting plasma glucose and serum free insulin concentrations are given in Table 1. During the insulin infusions, serum free insulin concentrations averaged 61±3 (step 1, 30 to 120 minutes) and 132±5 (step 2, 120 to 240 minutes) mU/L in the normal subjects and 63±5 (step 1) and 139±9 (step 2) mU/L in the patients with type 1 diabetes (NS for normal subjects versus patients with type 1 diabetes). Plasma glucose averaged 5.2±0.1 (step 1) and 5.2±0.1 (step 2) mmol/L in the normal subjects and 5.3±0.1 (step 1) and 5.1±0.1 (step 2) mmol/L in the patients with type 1 diabetes (NS). Whole-body glucose uptake was 44% lower during step 1 (22.2±2.2 versus 39.4±3.3 mmol · kg⁻¹ · min⁻¹) and 37% lower during step 2 (44.4±2.2 versus 70.0±5.0 mmol · kg⁻¹ · min⁻¹) for patients with type 1 diabetes versus normal subjects, P<0.001 and 37% lower.
Augmentation (ie, the pressure difference between the second and first systolic pressure peaks) decreased significantly during the first hour in the normal subjects, by $-2.6 \pm 1.5$ mm Hg ($P < 0.01$ versus baseline). Mean augmentation averaged $-0.5 \pm 1.5$ mm Hg at baseline in the normal subjects: $-2.2 \pm 1.3$ mm Hg during step 1 ($P < 0.001$ versus baseline) and $-3.0 \pm 1.3$ mm Hg during step 2 ($P < 0.01$ versus baseline, NS versus step 1). This decrease during step 1 could not be attributed to a decrease in peripheral vascular resistance because forearm blood flow, mean arterial pressure, and peripheral vascular resistance remained unchanged (Table 2). The measure of large artery stiffness, the augmentation index (ie, the ratio between augmentation and pulse pressure), decreased significantly at 60 minutes ($-9.5 \pm 4.8\%$, $P < 0.01$ versus baseline) (Figure 2). The augmentation index averaged $-1.5 \pm 4.5\%$ at baseline: $-8.7 \pm 4.5\%$ during step 1 ($P < 0.01$ versus baseline) and $-10.2 \pm 4.0\%$ during step 2 ($P < 0.01$ versus baseline, NS versus step 1) (Figure 2).

At baseline, before the insulin infusion, augmentation and the augmentation index were comparable between type 1 diabetic patients and normal subjects. In contrast to the normal subjects, however, augmentation did not decrease by insulin in the diabetic patients during the first hour. Mean augmentation averaged $1.6 \pm 1.3$ mm Hg at baseline: $1.3 \pm 1.2$ mm Hg during step 1 (NS versus baseline in the patients with type 1 diabetes) and $0.1 \pm 1.5$ mm Hg during step 2 (NS versus baseline and step 1). The augmentation index averaged $3.7 \pm 3.8\%$ at baseline: $3.5 \pm 4.0\%$ during step 1 (NS versus baseline) and $-0.9 \pm 4.5\%$ during step 2 (NS versus baseline, $P < 0.05$ versus step 1). The first significant decrease in the augmentation index occurred at 150 minutes ($0.9 \pm 4.0\%$, $P < 0.05$ versus baseline) in the patients with type 1 diabetes (Figure 2). The changes in the augmentation index were significantly different between the patients with type 1 diabetes and normal subjects from 30 until 90 minutes (Figure 2). The rate of whole-body glucose uptake was significantly inversely correlated with the change in the augmentation index by insulin during step 1 ($r = -0.61$, $P < 0.01$, Figure 3). The concentration of glycosylated $HbA_{1c}$ was correlated with the change in the augmentation index during step 1 ($r = 0.65$, $P < 0.01$, Figure 1).

**Hemodynamic Parameters**

Data on heart rate, ejection duration, peripheral and central blood pressures, pulse pressure, mean arterial pressure, forearm blood flow, and peripheral vascular resistance at baseline and during steps 1 and 2 are shown in Table 2. Basal heart rates, ejection duration, and peripheral vascular resistance were comparable at baseline. Heart rate remained unchanged in both groups during step 1, as did forearm blood flow and peripheral vascular resistance (Table 2). Brachial and aortic systolic and pulse pressures were slightly higher in the type 1 diabetic patients at baseline than in the normal subjects. Diastolic blood pressures were not significantly different between the groups.

**Discussion**

In the present study, we determined whether insulin resistance in type 1 diabetes involves a defect in the action of insulin on large artery stiffness and, if so, the clinical or biochemical parameters to which such a defect is related. We found the type 1 diabetic patients to be resistant not only to the action of insulin to stimulate glucose uptake but also to its ability to decrease central aortic pressure augmentation. Furthermore, the rate of insulin-stimulated glucose uptake was inversely correlated with the change in augmentation index by insulin, implying that the greater effect of insulin to stimulate glucose uptake, the more it diminished central aortic pressure augmentation. The latter effect was, as described previously, independent of peripheral vascular resistance and blood flow.
Changes in the augmentation index provide a measure of changes in stiffness provided both heart rate and peripheral vascular resistance remain unchanged. This was true in the present study during the 1 mU·kg⁻¹·min⁻¹ insulin infusion. During the higher-dose insulin infusion (2 mU·kg⁻¹·min⁻¹) in the normal subjects, heart rate increased and ejection duration shortened. This will result in a greater portion of wave reflection to occur in the diastole and decrease the augmentation index independent of any change in stiffness. This did not, however, influence interpretation of the present data because the augmentation index decreased maximally in the normal subjects during the first step, when ejection duration and heart rate remained unchanged. Similarly, the lack of change in the augmentation index in the type 1 diabetic patients could not be attributed to heart rate or ejection duration because these remained unchanged.

The degree of insulin resistance in type 1 diabetic patients was similar to that previously reported. Because whole-body and forearm glucose uptakes were significantly correlated and forearm blood flows were similar between the 2 groups during the first 2-hour insulin infusion, the insulin resistance can be attributed to a cellular rather than a vascular defect in peripheral tissues. Also, as in previous studies that include larger numbers of type 1 diabetic patients, the defect in peripheral glucose uptake tended to relate to the degree of chronic hyperglycemia as measured with HbA₁c (Figure 1). The new finding in the present study was that insulin resistance was also characterized by a defect in the ability of insulin to decrease large artery stiffness, as determined from the effect of insulin on the augmentation index. Under non—insulin-stimulated baseline conditions, the augmenta-

---

**Table 2. Hemodynamic Characteristics of the Subjects at Baseline and During Insulin Infusions of 1 (Step 1) and 2 (Step 2) mU·kg⁻¹·min⁻¹**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Normal Subjects (n=9)</th>
<th>Type 1 Diabetic Subjects (n=9)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Baseline</td>
<td>Step 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heart rate, bpm</td>
<td>55±2</td>
<td>58±3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ejection duration, ms</td>
<td>349±4</td>
<td>341±4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brachial systolic blood pressure, mm Hg</td>
<td>114±3†</td>
<td>117±2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brachial diastolic blood pressure, mm Hg</td>
<td>70±2</td>
<td>71±2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aortic systolic blood pressure, mm Hg</td>
<td>100±2*</td>
<td>100±2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aortic diastolic blood pressure, mm Hg</td>
<td>70±2</td>
<td>72±2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pulse pressure, mm Hg</td>
<td>44±2*</td>
<td>46±2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean arterial pressure, mm Hg</td>
<td>84±2*</td>
<td>86±2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Forearm blood flow, mL·dL⁻¹·min⁻¹</td>
<td>2.6±0.2</td>
<td>3.1±0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peripheral vascular resistance, mm Hg · mL⁻¹·dL⁻¹·min⁻¹</td>
<td>34±3</td>
<td>31±2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*P<0.05, †P<0.02 for type 1 diabetic patients vs normal subjects at baseline or during step 1 or 2. ‡P<0.05, §P<0.02 for change in parameter step 1 or step 2 vs basal.

---

**Figure 2.** The augmentation index during insulin infusions of 1 (0 to 120 minutes) and 2 (120 to 240 minutes) mU·kg⁻¹·min⁻¹. Euglycemia was maintained with the use of the insulin-clamp technique. *P<0.05, **P<0.01 for difference between the changes in the augmentation index in the normal subjects and type 1 diabetic patients. *P<0.05, †P<0.01 for change in the augmentation index at a given time point versus 0 minutes. DM indicates diabetes mellitus.

**Figure 3.** Relationship between whole-body glucose uptake and the change in augmentation index during the 1 mU·kg⁻¹·min⁻¹ insulin infusion. Spearman’s nonparametric correlation coefficient was −0.61 (P<0.01). DM indicates diabetes mellitus.
Intervention Study showed that intensive insulin therapy can retard the stiffening of large arteries in type 1 diabetic patients. Insulin resistance in type 1 diabetic patients also is ameliorated via normalization of glycemia. These data provide a rationale to test whether the insulin action of stiffness might respond to improved glycemia.
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