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Abstract—Endothelial release of nitric oxide (NO) contributes to the regulation of vascular tone by inducing vascular relaxation. In addition, NO may inhibit the synthesis and hemodynamic effects of endothelin-1 (ET-1), a powerful endothelium-derived vasoconstrictor peptide that may stimulate NO production. However, whether NO and ET-1 physiologically interact to regulate vascular tone in humans has not been defined. In this study, the interactions between the L-arginine NO pathway and the ET-1 system in the regulation of vascular tone in human forearm resistance vessels were examined in vivo. Vasomotor response to the NO synthase inhibitor N\(^{\text{\textcircled{-}}}\)-monomethyl-L-arginine (L-NMMA, 4 \(\mu\)mol/min for 30 minutes) was measured during either saline infusion or blockade of ET-1 receptors. Endothelin-A (ET\(_{A}\)) and endothelin-B (ET\(_{B}\)) receptor blockade was achieved by infusion of BQ-123 (100 nmol/min) and BQ-788 (50 nmol/min), respectively, separately and in combination. Drugs were infused into the brachial artery, and the forearm blood flow (FBF) response was measured by strain-gauge plethysmography. During saline infusion, L-NMMA administration significantly decreased FBF (25%, \(P<0.01\) versus baseline). This effect was significantly blunted during nonselective blockade of ET-1 receptors (7% decrease in FBF, \(P=0.02\) versus the effect of L-NMMA during saline infusion). Selective ET\(_{A}\) blockade did not modify the vasoconstrictor response to L-NMMA (26% decrease in FBF, \(P=0.66\) versus the effect of L-NMMA during saline infusion), but selective ET\(_{B}\) receptor antagonism caused significant diminution of the hemodynamic response to NO inhibition (8% decrease in FBF, \(P=0.04\) versus the effect of L-NMMA during saline infusion). Thus ET-1 contributes to the regulation of vascular tone by stimulating NO activity. This effect is mediated through endothelial ET\(_{B}\) receptors and may be relevant in conditions associated with endothelial dysfunction. (Hypertension. 2000;35:1237-1241.)
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Endothelial synthesis and release of vasoactive mediators has been widely recognized as one of the main mechanisms involved in the regulation of vascular tone. Among several players involved in this process, the L-arginine nitric oxide (NO) pathway and the endothelin (ET-1) system have generated much attention, not only in relation to their vasoactive properties but also because of their role in the overall modulation of vascular homeostasis. Thus, NO is not only a potent vasodilator, but it also inhibits platelet aggregation, monocyte adhesion, and smooth muscle proliferation. ET-1, in addition to its effects on vascular homeostasis, is mediated through endothelial ET\(_{B}\) receptors and may be relevant in conditions associated with endothelial dysfunction. In humans, the role of NO in the maintenance of vascular tone in human resistance vessels has been well established by the use of L-arginine analogues. However, there is little information about possible interactions between NO and ET-1 because there have been no appropriate tools with which to investigate ET-1 activity in vivo. Although plasma ET-1 levels have been frequently used in previous studies, they may not necessarily reflect the vascular activity of the peptide because ET-1 acts primarily as a local mediator and is secreted by endothelial cells toward the smooth muscle. Recently, selective and nonselective blockers of ET-1 receptors have become available for clinical studies. This has provided a more suitable tool to assess the role of ET-1 in vascular homeostasis.

This study was designed to investigate the interactions between NO and ET-1 in the regulation of vascular tone in the forearm circulation of healthy subjects. To accomplish this, we analyzed forearm blood flow (FBF) responses to NO synthesis inhibition in the absence or presence of selective or nonselective blockade of ET\(_{A}\) or ET\(_{B}\) receptors.
Effects of Nonselective ET-1 Blockade on NO Synthesis Inhibition

To investigate the effects of nonselective blockade of ET-1 receptors on vascular responses to NO synthesis inhibition, 12 subjects (8 men and 4 women; age 49 ± 2 years) underwent assessment of the hemodynamic response to L-NMMA (Calbiochem; 4 μmol/min, and baseline blood flow was measured. Then, intra-arterial infusion of BQ-123 and BQ-788 was administered at 60, 120, and 240 pmol/min (infusion rates 0.25, 0.5, and 1.0 μmol/min, respectively). Each dose was given for 5 minutes, and FBF was measured during the last 2 minutes. After a 60-minute resting period, another blood flow measurement was obtained, after which an intra-arterial infusion of BQ-123 and BQ-788 was administered at the same dose and for the same time interval described previously. The infusion of norepinephrine described previously was repeated during the concurrent blockade of ET-1 receptors.

Results

Mean arterial pressure and heart rate did not change significantly after infusion of any of the substances used in the different studies, which indicates that the hemodynamic effects of the study drugs were limited to the infused forearm.

Effects of Nonselective ET-1 Blockade on NO Synthesis Inhibition

During saline infusion, NO synthesis inhibition resulted in a significant vasoconstrictor response: Forearm blood flow
increased from 2.4±0.2 mL·min⁻¹·dL⁻¹ at baseline to 1.7±0.1 mL·min⁻¹·dL⁻¹ after 30 minutes of L-NMMA administration (25% decrease, P<0.01). Nonselective blockade of ET₄ and ET₂ receptors did not result in any significant change in FBF from baseline. Thus FBF was 2.6±0.2 mL·min⁻¹·dL⁻¹ at baseline and 2.6±0.2 mL·min⁻¹·dL⁻¹ after 60 minutes of coinfusion of BQ-123 and BQ-788 (Figure 1, left). In contrast to the results observed during concomitant saline administration, L-NMMA produced only a slight and nonsignificant decrease in FBF during nonselective blockade of ET-1 receptors: 2.5±0.2 mL·min⁻¹·dL⁻¹ before and 2.3±0.2 mL·min⁻¹·dL⁻¹ after L-NMMA (7% change, P=0.10). Thus the vasoconstrictor effect of L-NMMA was significantly higher in the absence than in the presence of nonselective ET-1 receptor blockade (Figure 2).

Infusion of norepinephrine resulted in a significant vasoconstrictor response during the infusion of either saline (FBF 2.9±0.2 mL·min⁻¹·dL⁻¹ before and 2.2±0.2 mL·min⁻¹·dL⁻¹ after norepinephrine; 25% change, P<0.01) or ET-1 receptor blockers (FBF 4.3±0.5 mL·min⁻¹·dL⁻¹ before and 3.3±0.3 mL·min⁻¹·dL⁻¹ after norepinephrine; 24% change, P=0.02). Thus, in contrast to the results obtained with L-NMMA, the vasoconstrictor effect of norepinephrine was not modified by nonselective ET-1 receptor antagonism (Figure 2).

**Effects of Selective ET₄ or ET₂ Blockade on NO Synthesis Inhibition**

Selective blockade of ET₄ receptors did not result in a change in FBF: 3.3±0.3 mL·min⁻¹·dL⁻¹ before and 3.7±0.8 mL·min⁻¹·dL⁻¹ after BQ-123 infusion (Figure 1, center), but selective blockade of ET₂ receptors was associated with a 15% decrease in FBF from 2.7±0.1 mL·min⁻¹·dL⁻¹ at baseline to 2.3±0.2 mL·min⁻¹·dL⁻¹ after 60 minutes of BQ-788 infusion, although this change did not achieve statistical significance (Figure 1, right).

During BQ-123 infusion, NO synthesis inhibition resulted in a significant decrease in FBF (from 3.6±0.6 mL·min⁻¹·dL⁻¹ before to 2.5±0.1 mL·min⁻¹·dL⁻¹ after L-NMMA; 26% change, P=0.01). The magnitude of this vasoconstrictor response was not significantly different from that observed during saline administration (Figure 3). In contrast, during selective ET₂ blockade there was only a slight decrease in FBF from baseline after L-NMMA administration (from 2.3±0.2 to 2.1±0.2 mL·min⁻¹·dL⁻¹ after L-NMMA; 8% change, P=0.14). Thus the vasoconstrictor effect of L-NMMA was significantly lower during BQ-788 administration than during saline infusion (Figure 3).

**Discussion**

The results of this study demonstrate that nonselective blockade of ET-1 receptors significantly diminishes the vasoconstrictor response to NO synthesis inhibition. This observation indicates that, in the forearm circulation of healthy humans, NO and ET-1 continuously released from endothelial cells reciprocally interact to maintain basal vascular tone.

Nonspecific inhibition of the vasoconstrictor capacity after the removal of ET-1–mediated smooth muscle contraction could account for the blunted response to L-NMMA during ET-1 receptor blockade. To rule out that possibility, we analyzed the effect of ET-1 receptor blockade on the vascular response to another vasoconstrictor agent, the adrenergic agonist norepinephrine. In contrast to the findings observed with NO inhibition, the norepinephrine-induced vasoconstriction was not affected by blockade of ET-1 receptors. This indicates that the blunted response to L-NMMA during ET-1 receptor blockade was specifically related to an interaction between ET-1 and NO.

Another potential mechanism that could explain the decreased response to NO synthesis inhibition after ET-1 receptor antagonism is the removal of ET-1–mediated vasoconstriction. Thus, because NO synthesis inhibition modifies
the balance between vasoconstrictor and vasodilator forces within the vessel wall, the ensuing vasoconstriction may be due to unopposed ET-1–related smooth muscle contraction mediated primarily by the stimulation of smooth muscle ET\(_A\) receptors.\(^{22}\) Although ET\(_B\) receptors that mediate vasoconstriction have been identified in human arteries,\(^{23,24}\) their functional role is questionable. Studies in animal models suggest that our results may be explained by the removal of ET-1–mediated vasoconstriction. Thus, administration of bosentan, a nonselective blocker of ET-1 receptors, reduced the pressor response to systemic administration of the NO synthase inhibitor L-NAME in rats.\(^{25}\) Similar results were obtained with selective ET\(_A\) antagonism by BQ-123\(^{25}\) or BQ-610,\(^{26}\) which suggests that in those animals, NO synthesis inhibition may unmask a tonic pressor influence exerted by ET-1 through its ET\(_A\) receptor subtype.

To test this hypothesis, we measured the vasoconstrictor response to L-NMMA after selective blockade of ET\(_A\) receptors. In our study, the administration of BQ-123 alone was not associated with significant changes in the hemodynamic response to L-NMMA. This suggests that in the forearm circulation of healthy humans, the effects of NO synthesis inhibition are not dependent on a tonic vasoconstrictor influence of ET-1 through its ET\(_A\) receptor subtype. The observation that selective ET\(_A\) blockade does not result in a significant hemodynamic effect conflicts with the findings from other studies,\(^{19,20,27}\) which have shown a vasodilator response to BQ-123 in healthy subjects. Because all studies have used similar populations of healthy subjects and similar doses and infusion times of BQ-123, these discrepancies cannot be explained easily. Interindividual variability in the hemodynamic responsiveness to blockade of ET\(_A\) receptors could account for the discrepancies among different studies, but the causes of that phenomenon are unknown.

Our findings could also be explained by the stimulatory effect that ET-1 may exert on NO production through stimulation of endothelial ET\(_B\) receptors.\(^{9,10}\) This mechanism would explain the reduced availability of NO and the consequently blunted response to L-NMMA during selective ET\(_B\) antagonism. To test this possibility, we analyzed the effects of selective ET\(_B\) receptor blockade by BQ-788 on the vasoactive effect of L-NMMA. In contrast with the results obtained with BQ-123, the administration of BQ-788 resulted in a significant reduction in the response to L-NMMA. This observation indicates that NO availability is decreased during blockade of ET\(_B\) receptors, which suggests that, in normal humans, endothelial release of ET-1 physiologically exerts autocrine regulation of NO activity through ET\(_B\) receptors. In this investigation as well as in previous studies,\(^{21,27}\) the selective blockade of ET\(_B\) receptor blockade was associated with mild local vasoconstriction. A recent report\(^{28}\) has demonstrated that systemic blockade of ET\(_B\) receptors results in increased vascular resistance. These findings suggest that the stimulation of NO activity through ET\(_B\) receptors is important in determining the hemodynamic effect of ET-1 in healthy humans, a theory that confirms the results obtained in experiments of ET-1 gene targeting. Thus mice in which ET-1 production is reduced by heterozygous knock-out of the ET-1 gene have higher blood pressure values than those of their normal counterparts,\(^{29}\) which suggests that the ET-1 system may act physiologically as a dilator rather than as a pressor mechanism.

Certain methodological aspects must be considered in the interpretation of the effect of NO inhibition during ET\(_B\) receptor blockade. First, the forearm vascular resistance is higher during selective ET\(_B\) blockade than during saline infusion, which could account for the blunted vasoconstrictor effect of L-NMMA during BQ-788 administration, because basal vascular tone affects the response to vasoactive substances. However, a blunted response to L-NMMA was also observed during nonselective ET\(_{A\&B}\) antagonism. Because nonselective blockade of ET-1 receptors did not modify forearm blood flow, the reduced vasoconstrictor effect of L-NMMA under those conditions must be related to the decreased availability of NO and not to differences in basal vascular tone. Second, because a single dose of L-NMMA was used in our study, we cannot ascertain that maximal inhibition of endogenous NO activity was achieved. Therefore we cannot rule out the possibility that higher doses of L-NMMA could result in a greater vasoconstrictor response in the presence of ET\(_B\) receptor antagonism. However, the observation that L-NMMA produced vasoconstriction only when it was infused with saline, and not when it was infused with BQ-788, indicates that ET\(_B\) receptor blockade diminishes the basal release of NO, even if the dose of L-NMMA used in this study did not produce maximal inhibition of NO activity.

In conclusion, the results of our study demonstrate that ET-1 is involved in the stimulation of basal release of NO through the activation of ET\(_B\) receptors. Because several cardiovascular conditions such as arterial hypertension and atherosclerosis are associated with endothelial dysfunction and reduced NO activity, the vasoconstrictor effect of ET-1 on smooth muscle receptors may remain unopposed. This hypothesis is supported by the results of previous studies\(^{21}\) indicating that ET-1–mediated vasoconstrictor activity is increased in the vasculature of patients with essential hypertension when compared with that in normal subjects. The prevailing vasoconstrictor and mitogenic effects of ET-1 may contribute to the increased risk of cardiovascular disease, and drugs targeting the ET-1 system may prevent cardiovascular complications in those patients.
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