

High Triglycerides and Low HDL Cholesterol and Blood Pressure and Risk of Ischemic Heart Disease

Jørgen Jeppesen, Hans Ole Hein, Poul Suadicani, Finn Gyntelberg

Abstract—Treatment of high blood pressure (BP) has not produced the expected reduction in risk of ischemic heart disease (IHD). Subjects with high BP often have the metabolic syndrome X, an aggregation of abnormalities in glucose and lipid metabolism. We tested the hypothesis that the BP level would be less predictive of risk of IHD in those with high triglycerides (TG) and low HDL cholesterol (HDL-C), the characteristic dyslipidemia in the metabolic syndrome than in those without. Baseline measurements of fasting lipids, systolic BP (SBP), diastolic BP (DBP), and other risk factors were obtained in 2906 men, age 53 to 74 years, free of overt cardiovascular disease. High TG/low HDL-C was defined as TG >1.59 mmol/L and HDL-C <1.18 mmol/L. Within an 8-year period, 229 men developed IHD. In men with high TG/low HDL-C, the incidence of IHD according to SBP (<120, 120 to 140, >140 mm Hg) was 12.5%, 12.9%, and 10.0% ($P=NS$), respectively, and according to DBP, the incidence of IHD was (<75, 75 to 90, >90 mm Hg) 13.7%, 10.6%, and 13.7% ($P=NS$), respectively. The corresponding figures for other men were 5.2%, 8.0%, and 9.7% for SBP ($P<0.001$), and 6.1%, 7.5%, and 9.9% for DBP ($P<0.03$). In conclusion, the BP level did not predict the risk of IHD in those with high TG/low HDL-C. This finding may explain the reason lowering BP has not produced the expected reduction in IHD. (*Hypertension*. 2000;36:226-232.)

Key Words: coronary disease ■ blood pressure ■ lipids ■ lipoproteins ■ risk factors

Although high blood pressure (BP) is a strong risk factor of ischemic heart disease (IHD),¹ lowering BP in patients with hypertension has not produced the expected reductions in morbidity and mortality from IHD.²⁻⁴ This paradox was highlighted by the results of the Hypertension Optimal Treatment (HOT) Study.⁵ In the HOT study, drug-treated hypertensive patients had the same risk of IHD during the study period whether they had a diastolic blood pressure (DBP) of 105 mm Hg or 75 mm Hg, and they basically had the same risk of IHD whether they had a systolic blood pressure (SBP) of 170 mm Hg or 120 mm Hg.⁵

As a group, patients with high BP have the metabolic syndrome X, a cluster of multiple interrelated abnormalities in glucose and lipid metabolism that tend to increase their risk of IHD.⁶ It is believed that resistance to insulin-stimulated glucose uptake with compensatory hyperinsulinemia is the primary culprit in the metabolic syndrome X.⁶ It has been proposed that it is the presence of this cluster of risk factors for IHD in patients with hypertension that explains why interventions directed solely to the lowering of BP has had relatively little beneficial effect on risk of IHD.⁷ However, this hypothesis has not yet been tested in a prospective study.

In the Copenhagen Male Study (CMS),⁸ we found that the characteristic dyslipidemia seen in subjects with the metabolic syndrome X, that is, high plasma triglycerides (TG) and

low HDL cholesterol, is an important risk factor of IHD. The present analysis was initiated to test the hypothesis that the level of BP would be less predictive of risk of IHD in those with high TG/low HDL cholesterol, the characteristic dyslipidemia in the metabolic syndrome X, than in those without.

Methods

Study Population

We have described the methods used in the CMS in greater detail elsewhere.⁹ The CMS began in 1970 as a cardiovascular study of 5249 men.^{10,11} From 1985 to 1986, a new baseline was established that was used for the present study. All survivors from the 1970 study were traced by means of the Danish Central Population Register. Between June 1985 and June 1986, all survivors (except 34 emigrants) from the original cohort were invited to take part in this study. Three thousand three hundred eighty-seven (75%) men agreed to participate in the study, and they gave informed consent. Their mean age was 63 years (range 53 to 74 years). Each subject was interviewed by a physician (H.O.H.) in regard to a previously completed questionnaire, and height, weight, and blood pressure measurements were performed. A venous blood sample for lipid measurements was taken after the subjects had fasted for ≥ 12 hours.

Men with a history of acute myocardial infarction (AMI), angina pectoris, stroke, or intermittent claudication were excluded from the follow-up study. Before the start of the study, hospital records were checked for all men who reported admission to a hospital because of AMI. Information on angina pectoris, stroke, and intermittent claudication was established from the questionnaire. Three hundred

Received November 3, 1999; first decision November 24, 1999; revision accepted February 23, 2000.

From the Copenhagen Male Study, Epidemiological Research Unit (J.J., H.O.H., P.S., F.G.), Copenhagen University Hospital, Denmark; and the Glostrup Population Studies (H.O.H.), Department of Internal Medicine C, Glostrup University Hospital, Denmark.

Correspondence to Jørgen Jeppesen, Copenhagen Male Study, Epidemiological Research Unit, Copenhagen University Hospital, Bispebjerg, Bispebjerg Bakke 23, DK-2400 Copenhagen NV, Denmark.

© 2000 American Heart Association, Inc.

Hypertension is available at <http://www.hypertensionaha.org>

TABLE 1. Clinical, Lifestyle, and Other Characteristics According to Level of SBP in Men Taking No Drugs to Lower BP

Variables	<120 mm Hg, n=1399	120–140 mm Hg, n=967	>140 mm Hg, n=253	P
Lipids, mmol/L				
Total cholesterol	6.43 (1.09)	6.55 (1.08)	6.55 (1.11)	0.006
LDL cholesterol	4.42 (1.03)	4.42 (1.05)	4.39 (1.06)	NS
HDL cholesterol	1.37 (0.35)	1.37 (0.36)	1.38 (0.37)	NS
Triglycerides	1.38 (0.70)	1.62 (1.31)	1.65 (1.03)	<0.001
Combined high TG/low HDL-C	15.9%	19.4%	21.2%	0.01
Lifestyle factors				
Alcohol use, beverages/wk	16.7 (13.4)	18.0 (13.7)	20.3 (15.6)	<0.001
Smoking	63%	51%	47%	<0.001
Physical activity, <4 h/wk	45%	46%	48%	NS
Clinical/paraclinical factors				
Body mass index, kg/m ²	24.9 (3.0)	25.9 (3.1)	26.4 (3.2)	<0.001
SBP, mm Hg	107 (9)	128 (6)	150 (9)	<0.001
DBP, mm Hg	66 (9)	76 (10)	82 (9)	<0.001
NIDDM	1%	2%	2%	NS
Other characteristics				
Low social class	51%	49%	52%	NS
Age, y	62.1 (5.0)	63.0 (5.2)	63.6 (5.3)	<0.001

Values are mean (SD) or frequencies in percent. P values of test for linearity in ANOVA or Kendall's Tau for trend. Combined high TG/low HDL-C, triglycerides >1.59 mmol/L and HDL cholesterol <1.18 mmol/L.

forty-two men (10.1%) were excluded because of cardiovascular diseases, and 139 men (4.1%) were excluded because of missing data. Thus, 2906 men were eligible for the prospective study.

Measurements

BP was measured on the right arm with the subject seated for ≥15 minutes by means of a manometer developed by the London School of Hygiene.¹² Information on the use of BP lowering drugs was obtained from the questionnaire. In untreated men, hypertension was defined as a SBP >140 mm Hg or a DBP >90 mm Hg.

Serum concentrations of total cholesterol, TG, and HDL cholesterol were analyzed by standard methods.^{13–16} The concentration of LDL cholesterol was determined according to the Friedewald formula.¹⁷ Approximately 1.5% of the study population had a TG level >4.5 mmol/L, at which point the indirect LDL cholesterol calculation becomes unreliable.¹⁷ However, excluding subjects with TG >4.5 mmol/L from the study did not materially affect any of the results; thus, we kept this subgroup in our study.

An ECG was recorded while the subject was supine at rest with a 3-channel Mingograph-34 from 12 standard leads. The traces were coded according to the Minnesota code.¹⁸

Self-reported non-insulin-dependent diabetes mellitus (NIDDM) was accepted, provided the diagnosis had been verified by a physician. No measurements of plasma glucose or insulin were performed in the present cohort. Body mass index (BMI, kg/m²) was calculated from weight and height measurements.

Total weekly consumption of alcohol was calculated from questionnaire items on average alcohol consumption on weekdays and weekends. Intakes of beer, wine, and other alcoholic beverages were reported separately. One drink corresponded to 10 to 12 g of ethanol. The men classified themselves as never smokers, previous smokers, or current smokers. As estimated by means of serum cotinine, the validity of tobacco reporting was high.¹⁹

With respect to leisure-time physical activity, the men classified themselves as either sedentary or slightly active, <4 hours per week, or physically more active on the basis of the questionnaire. Accord-

ing to the system of Svalastoga,²⁰ the men were divided into 5 social classes, on the basis of their level of education and job profile.

End Points

In 1995, a register follow-up was performed on morbidity and mortality between 1985 to 1986 and December 31, 1993. All men who had taken part in the 1985 to 1986 examination were traced from registers. Information on hospital admissions and death certificate diagnoses within the follow-up period were obtained. We used the diagnoses from official national registers. IHD diagnoses accepted were codes 410 to 414 (International Classification of Diseases, 8th revision). Previous studies have demonstrated a high validity of Danish National registers.^{21–25}

Statistical Analysis

Variables of interest were expressed as mean±SD or frequencies in percent. The study population was divided into various subgroups according to (1) SBP <120, 120 to 140, >140 mm Hg; (2) DBP <75, 75 to 90, >90 mm Hg; (3) presence of high TG/low HDL cholesterol, belonging to both the highest third of TG levels (>1.59 mmol/L) and lowest third of HDL cholesterol levels (<1.18 mmol/L) in the population; and (4) taking drugs to lower BP. Differences between groups were tested by ANOVA, Student's t test, χ² test for heterogeneity, or Kendall's Tau B test for trend when appropriate. The simultaneous contribution of several factors to the risk of IHD was analyzed with multiple logistic regression models and the maximum likelihood ratio method. All calculations were performed with the SPSSPC+ statistical software for Windows.^{26,27} A P≤0.05 was considered significant unless otherwise stated. The study was approved by the Ethics Committee for Medical Research in the county of Copenhagen.

Results

Of the 2906 men eligible for the study, 347 reported taking medication to lower BP. Nearly 67% of these men used diuretics, ≈34% used diuretics only, 20% used diuretics in

TABLE 2. Clinical, Lifestyle, and Other Characteristics in Men on Medical Treatment for High BP Compared to Others

Variables	On Medication, n=347	Others, n=2571	P
Lipids, mmol/L			
Total cholesterol	6.47 (1.14)	6.49 (1.10)	NS
LDL cholesterol	4.29 (1.07)	4.42 (1.03)	0.04
HDL cholesterol	1.28 (0.35)	1.37 (0.35)	<0.001
Triglycerides	1.93 (1.13)	1.49 (1.01)	<0.001
Combined high TG/low HDL-C	35.0%	18.0%	<0.001
Lifestyle factors			
Alcohol use, beverages/wk	19.8 (16.4)	17.6 (13.8)	0.006
Smoking	41%	57%	<0.001
Physical activity, <4 h/wk	55%	46%	0.001
Clinical/paraclinical factors			
Body mass index, kg/m ²	27.8 (4.2)	25.4 (3.1)	<0.001
SBP, mm Hg	133 (17)	119 (16)	<0.001
DBP, mm Hg	81 (12)	71 (11)	<0.001
NIDDM	4%	2%	0.003
Other characteristics			
Low social class	52%	50%	NS
Age, y	63.6 (5.2)	62.7 (5.1)	0.002

Values are mean (SD) or frequencies in percent. P values of Student's *t* test or χ^2 test for heterogeneity. Combined high TG/low HDL-C, triglycerides >1.59 mmol/L and HDL cholesterol <1.18 mmol/L.

combination with β -blockers, and 12.5% used diuretics in combination with other drugs; 22.5% used β -blockers as the only antihypertensive medication; \approx 4% used β -blockers in combination with other nondiuretics drugs; and the remaining 6% used other forms of antihypertensive medication including calcium channel blockers. There were no statistically significant differences in the number of drugs or the groups of drugs used between subjects with high TG/low HDL cholesterol and others.

Lipid and nonlipid IHD risk factor characteristics according to level of SBP in men taking no antihypertensive drugs are summarized in Table 1. Men with higher SBPs tended to have slightly higher total cholesterol and TG levels and a higher frequency of high TG/low HDL cholesterol. They also had a higher intake of alcohol, but fewer of them were

smokers. They tended to have a higher BMI, a higher DBP, and they were older.

Table 2 summarizes differences in lipid and nonlipid IHD risk factor characteristics between men taking antihypertensive medication and the rest of the study population. Drug-treated men had significantly lower levels of LDL and HDL cholesterol and substantially higher levels of TG and a substantially higher frequency of high TG/low HDL cholesterol. They also had a higher intake of alcohol, they were less physically active, and fewer of them were smokers. They had a higher BMI, a higher SBP and DBP, more of them had a diagnosis of NIDDM, and they were older.

During the 8-year follow-up period, 229 men had a first IHD event, approximately one quarter of these events was fatal. In total, 426 men died from all causes. Table 3 shows the absolute and relative risk of IHD according to levels of SBP and presence of high TG/low HDL cholesterol in the entire study population. Overall, in subjects with high TG/low HDL cholesterol, the absolute and relative risks of IHD were independent of the level of SBP. In the rest of the study population, there was a steady increase in risk of IHD with increasing SBP that remained significant after adjustment for the other major risk factors of IHD. Excluding men (n=312) with ECG signs of left ventricular hypertrophy (LVH), strain, and silent ischemia, high-amplitude R, ST-depression, and T-wave abnormalities did not change the results presented in Table 3.

Table 4 shows the absolute risk of IHD according to SBP and presence of high TG/low HDL cholesterol in untreated men and in men taking antihypertensive medication, respectively. As a group, men taking antihypertensive medication had a significantly higher absolute risk of IHD compared with others: 12.1% (42/347) versus 7.4% (187/2532), $P<0.01$. In untreated men, the results basically corresponded to the results from the entire study population. In drug-treated men with high TG/low HDL cholesterol dyslipidemia, the absolute risk of IHD was independent of the level of SBP. In drug-treated men without high TG/low HDL cholesterol, the absolute risk of IHD tended to increase with increasing SBP.

Table 5 shows the absolute and relative risk of IHD according to levels of DBP and presence of high TG/low HDL cholesterol in the entire study population. In subjects with high TG/low HDL cholesterol, the absolute and relative

TABLE 3. Crude Cumulative Incidence (%) and Relative Risk of IHD According to Levels of SBP and Presence of High TG/Low HDL-C Versus Others in the Entire Population

SBP, mm Hg	Crude Cumulative Incidence of IHD		Adjusted Relative Risk*	
	High TG/low HDL-C	Others	High TG/low HDL-C	Others
<120	12.5% (30/241)	5.2% (60/1162)	1†	1†
120–140	12.9% (33/255)	8.0% (72/901)	1.1 (0.6–1.9)	1.6 (1.1–2.4)‡
>140	10.0% (8/80)	9.7% (26/267)	0.9 (0.4–2.2)	2.2 (1.4–3.6)‡
	<i>P</i> =NS	<i>P</i> =0.001	<i>P</i> =NS	<i>P</i> =0.002
	(Trend test)	(Trend test)	(Trend test)	(Trend test)

Measurements of high TG/low HDL-C were triglycerides >1.59 mmol/L and HDL cholesterol <1.18 mmol/L.

*Confounders included age, total cholesterol, BMI, alcohol consumption, smoking, physical activity, NIDDM, and social class.

†Reference group in each category. ‡ $P<0.05$ compared to reference group.

TABLE 4. Crude Cumulative Incidence of IHD According to Levels of SBP and Presence of High TG/Low HDL-C Versus Others According to Medical Treatment for High BP

SBP, mm Hg	No Treatment		On Medication	
	High TG/low HDL-C	Others	High TG/low HDL-C	Others
<120	11.6% (25/215)	5.1% (57/1124)	19.2% (5/26)	7.9% (3/38)
120–140	11.8% (22/186)	7.8% (61/781)	15.9% (11/69)	9.2% (11/120)
>140	7.6% (4/53)	9.0% (18/200)	14.8% (4/27)	11.9% (8/67)

IHD is in percent. High TG/low HDL-C measurements were triglycerides >1.59 mmol/L and HDL cholesterol <1.18 mmol/L.

risks of IHD were independent of the level of DBP, although the relationship tended to resemble a U curve. In the rest of the study population, there was an increase in risk of IHD with increasing DBP that remained borderline significant after adjustment for the other major risk factors of IHD. Excluding subjects with ECG signs of LVH, strain, and silent ischemia did not change the results presented in the overall section of Table 5.

Table 6 shows the absolute risk of IHD according to DBP and presence of high TG/low HDL cholesterol in untreated men and in men taking antihypertensive medication, respectively. In untreated men, the results basically corresponded to the results from the entire study population. In drug-treated men with high TG/low HDL cholesterol, the absolute risk of IHD was substantially higher with a DBP <75 mm Hg, and this relationship remained significant after adjustment of the other major risk factors of IHD. In drug-treated men without high TG/low HDL cholesterol, the absolute risk of IHD was independent of the level of DBP.

In the entire study population, in subjects with high TG/low HDL cholesterol, the absolute risk of IHD was similar whether they had SBP >140 mm Hg and DBP >90 mm Hg or SBP <120 mm Hg and DBP <75 mm Hg, 11.1% versus 13.8%, respectively. In subjects without this dyslipidemia, the corresponding figures were 8.9% versus 4.9%.

Finally, we looked at the relationship between the lipid data from 1985 to 1986 and the BP values obtained from 1970 to 1971 when the CMS was initiated. Again, in men with high TG/low HDL cholesterol, the risk of IHD was not

directly related to the level of SBP, whereas that was clearly the case in the rest of the study population (data not shown). With respect to DBP, men with high TG/low HDL cholesterol had a slightly higher risk of IHD with increasing DBP, but the relative increase in risk with increasing DBP from <80 to >90 mm Hg was ≈25% of the increase seen in men without high TG/low HDL cholesterol (data not shown).

Discussion

Main Results

The major new finding in our study of middle-aged and elderly white men who were free of overt cardiovascular diseases at baseline was that the risk of IHD in subjects with high TG/low HDL cholesterol was not directly related to the level of SBP or DBP and that the previously described U-curved relationship between risk of IHD and level of treated DBP was only found in men with high TG/low HDL cholesterol.³ Because in BP-lowering trials investigators have not looked for special treatment effects in subgroups of hypertensive patients with and without this dyslipidemia, our findings may offer a possible explanation of the apparent paradox that lowering BP has not produced the expected reduction in risk of IHD in patients with hypertension.

Potential Bias and Study Limitations

Could our findings be the result of bias? In a previous paper we discussed the importance of validity of data and reporting bias.¹⁰ In the present cohort, well-known contributing factors to high BP such as age, alcohol use, physical inactivity, obesity, and a history of NIDDM were also highly correlated

TABLE 5. Crude Cumulative Incidence (%) and Relative Risk of IHD According to Levels of DBP and Presence of High TG/Low HDL-C Versus Others in the Entire Population

DBP, mm Hg	Crude Cumulative Incidence of IHD		Adjusted Relative Risk*	
	High TG/low HDL-C	Others	High TG/low HDL-C	Others
<75	13.7% (37/270)	6.1% (86/1417)	1†	1†
75–90	10.6% (27/255)	7.5% (58/771)	0.8 (0.5–1.5)	1.3 (0.9–1.9)
>90	13.7% (7/51)	9.9% (14/142)	1.1 (0.4–2.9)	1.9 (1.05–3.5)‡
	<i>P</i> =NS	<i>P</i> =0.03	<i>P</i> =NS	<i>P</i> =0.06
	(Trend test)	(Trend test)	(Trend test)	(Trend test)

Measurements of high TG/low HDL-C were triglycerides >1.59 mmol/L and HDL cholesterol <1.18 mmol/L.

*Confounders included age, total cholesterol, BMI, alcohol consumption, smoking, physical activity, NIDDM, and social class.

†Reference group in each category.

‡*P*<0.05 compared to reference group.

TABLE 6. Crude Cumulative Incidence of IHD (%) According to Levels of DBP and Presence of High TG/Low HDL-C Versus Others According to Medical Treatment for High BP

DBP, mm Hg	No Treatment		On Medication	
	High TG/low HDL-C	Others	High TG/low HDL-C	Others
<75	11.1% (27/243)	5.8% (79/1352)	37.0% (10/27)	10.8% (7/65)
75–90	11.0% (20/182)	7.3% (48/662)	9.6% (7/73)	9.2% (10/109)
>90	13.8% (4/29)	9.9% (9/91)	13.6% (3/22)	9.8% (5/51)

Measures of high TG/low HDL-C were triglycerides >1.59 mmol/L and HDL cholesterol <1.18 mmol/L.

with the level of BP and a diagnosis of hypertension.^{28,29} Thus our study population does not appear to be unusual, and the overall reporting appears to be precise. In Denmark, diagnoses from official national registers are known to have a high validity,^{21–25} and it seems unlikely that men with high TG/low HDL cholesterol would be treated differently than others with respect to a diagnosis of IHD in the present study. Because we had reasonably similar results whether we used BP measurements from 1970 to 1971 or from 1985 to 1986, our findings do not appear to be the result of a selection bias. In our study, treated hypertensive subjects with high TG/low HDL cholesterol had the highest risk of IHD. Could it be possible that this observation was due to severe hypertension being treated with drugs that raise TG and lower HDL cholesterol? This does not appear to be the case. There were no statistically significant differences in the number of drugs or the groups of drugs being used between subjects with high TG/low HDL cholesterol and others, and in medical literature, raised TG levels that are associated with drug treatment do not seem to have any impact on risk of IHD.²⁸

At first sight, our results appear to be in contrast with the medical literature. Other cohort studies that have examined the relation of lipids and BP to the risk of IHD have generally found graded relationships between lipids, BP, and risk of IHD.^{29,31,32} However, none of these studies^{29,31,32} focused on the characteristic dyslipidemia seen in subjects with the metabolic syndrome X, high TG/low HDL cholesterol, a circumstance that may explain the discrepancy between their findings and our findings. On the other hand, a manuscript by Sheu et al³³ provides substantial support for the notion that the increased risk of IHD in patients with hypertension is related to the metabolic syndrome X, with insulin resistance and its consequences. Sheu et al³³ showed in a cross-sectional study design that patients with hypertension and evidence of IHD by ECG criteria were insulin resistant, hyperinsulinemic, with higher TG and lower HDL cholesterol concentrations as compared with individuals who were equally hypertensive but had normal ECGs.

In the CMS, we have no measurements of plasma insulin or glucose, and simply basing the metabolic syndrome X on lipid criteria may not be adequate for some readers. However, although most readers probably would consider fasting insulin to be a better surrogate for insulin resistance in large-scale cohort studies, carefully conducted metabolic ward studies have found a similar relationship between fasting TG levels and insulin resistance ($r=0.65$) to that between fasting insulin

levels and insulin resistance ($r=0.47$).^{34,35} Thus, we believe it is justified to discuss the metabolic syndrome X on the basis of TG and HDL cholesterol levels, 2 lipid measurements readily available for most physicians, which makes our observations easy to apply in clinical medicine. Also, in the CMS, the men appeared to have lower BPs than expected. We used the manometer developed by the London School of Hygiene,¹² an instrument that compared with other BP recorders has been shown to give lower BPs,³⁶ therefore the actual level of BPs in our study population may have been higher than the values presented.

Biological Plausibility

Are our findings biologically plausible? High BP and high TG/low HDL cholesterol are components of the metabolic syndrome X,^{37,38} a constellation of interrelated metabolic changes that are believed to be major factors in the causes of IHD.^{37,38} The metabolic syndrome X with high TG/low HDL cholesterol includes an increased amount of atherogenic lipoproteins such as small, dense LDL particles^{39,40} and smaller TG-rich lipoproteins.^{34,41} The metabolic syndrome X with high TG/low HDL cholesterol includes higher levels of plasminogen activator inhibitor-1,^{38,42} which leads to a state of deficient fibrinolysis, and the metabolic syndrome X with high TG/low HDL cholesterol also includes hyperinsulinemia and hyperglycemia,^{37,38} 2 other changes that are known to be important risk factors of IHD.^{43,44} Thus, when high TG/low HDL cholesterol is present, several other important risk factors will also frequently be present to increase the risk of IHD independent of the actual level of BP and use of antihypertensive medications. Consequently, it is possible that in the hypertensive subject with the metabolic syndrome X, a substantial part of the risk associated with high BP is in fact caused by the other components in the syndrome and not high BP per se. In this context, it is interesting to note that in our cohort, the presence of high TG/low HDL cholesterol was a much stronger risk factor of IHD than the level of SBP and DBP.⁸

In the medical literature, there is evidence that in subjects with a high risk of AMI, such as patients with established IHD or hypertensives with LVH, the expected direct relationship between level of BP and risk of IHD is not always found,^{45,46} and even a negative relation between both untreated and treated DBP has been reported in several studies,^{45,46} such as the controversial J- or U-curved phenomenon.^{3,45} The J-curve is thought to be a consequence of

underlying heart disease (eg, a fall in coronary flow reserve) and not the cause of IHD.⁴⁵

On the basis of the observation described above, in high-risk subjects the risk of IHD is not necessarily directly related to the level of BP,⁴⁵ thus we think our findings are biologically plausible. Because subjects with high TG/low HDL cholesterol have a series of atherogenic and thrombogenic changes, they have a high risk of IHD, and therefore they tend to resemble other high-risk populations. In addition, their risk of IHD will not be directly related to level of BP.

Clinical Implications

Our findings may have important clinical implications. Our results suggest that in hypertensive subjects with high TG/low HDL cholesterol, it may be more important to normalize high TG/low HDL cholesterol and the other components in the metabolic syndrome X to lower risk of IHD than to normalize BP. However, although lowering BP in some groups may have less than the expected effect on IHD, it should be pointed out that it is also still very important to lower BP in subjects with high TG/low HDL cholesterol to reduce the risk of stroke.² In addition, the medical literature suggests that a multiple risk factor intervention strategy may be more important to lowering the risk of IHD in hypertensive patients than a strategy directed solely to the lowering of BP. In a prospective population-based observational study of 686 treated hypertensive men followed for 2 decades, the risk of IHD was still very high and the risk of IHD was not related to entry or in study BP but to lipid levels.⁴⁷ In addition, post hoc subgroup analyses from randomized, placebo-controlled trials have suggested that lipid-lowering therapy may be useful in lowering the risk of IHD in subjects with hypertension.^{48,49}

Acknowledgments

This study received grants from King Christian X's Foundation, the Danish Medical Research Council, the Danish Heart Foundation, and Else & Mogens Wedell-Wedellsborg Foundation.

References

- MacMahon S, Peto R, Cutler J, Collins R, Sorlie P, Neaton J, Abbott R, Godwin J, Dyer A, Stamler J. Blood pressure, stroke, and coronary heart disease. I: prolonged differences in blood pressure: prospective observational studies corrected for the regression dilution bias. *Lancet*. 1990;335:765-774.
- Collins R, Peto R, MacMahon S, Hebert P, Fiebach NH, Eberlein KA, Godwin J, Qizilbash N, Taylor JO, Hennekens CH. Blood pressure, stroke, and coronary heart disease, II: short-term reductions in blood pressure: overview of randomised drug trials in their epidemiological context. *Lancet*. 1990;335:827-838.
- Fletcher AE, Bulpitt CJ. How far should blood pressure be lowered? *N Eng J Med*. 1992;326: 251-254.
- Hebert PR, Moser M, Mayer J, Glynn RJ, Hennekens CH. Recent evidence on drug therapy of mild to moderate hypertension and decreased risk of coronary heart disease. *Arch Intern Med*. 1993;153:578-581.
- Hansson L, Zanchetti A, Carruthers SG, Dahlöf B, Elmfeldt D, Julius S, Ménard J, Rahn KH, Wedel H, Westerling S, for the HOT Study Group. Effects of intensive blood-pressure lowering and low-dose aspirin in patients with hypertension: principal results of the Hypertension Optimal Treatment (HOT) randomised trial. *Lancet*. 1998;351:1755-1762.
- Reaven GM, Lithell H, Landsberg L. Hypertension and associated metabolic abnormalities: the role of insulin resistance and the sympathoadrenal system. *N Eng J Med*. 1996;334:374-381.
- Reaven GM. Are insulin resistance and/or compensatory hyperinsulinemia involved in the etiology and clinical course of patients with hypertension. *Int J Obesity*. 1995;19(suppl 1):2-5.
- Jeppesen J, Hein HO, Suadicani P, Gyntelberg F. Relation of high TG-low HDL cholesterol and LDL cholesterol to the incidence of ischemic heart disease: an 8-year follow-up in the Copenhagen Male Study. *Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol*. 1997;17:1114-1120.
- Jeppesen J, Hein HO, Suadicani P, Gyntelberg F. Triglyceride concentration and ischemic heart disease: an 8-year follow-up in the Copenhagen Male Study. *Circulation*. 1998;97:1029-1036.
- Hein HO, Sørensen H, Suadicani P, Gyntelberg F. Alcohol consumption, Lewis phenotypes, and risk of ischaemic heart disease. *Lancet*. 1993;341:392-396.
- Hein HO, Suadicani P, Gyntelberg F. Alcohol consumption, serum low density lipoprotein cholesterol, and risk of ischaemic heart disease: six year follow up in the Copenhagen Male Study. *BMJ*. 1996;312:736-741.
- Rose GA, Holland WW, Crowley EA. A sphygmomanometer for epidemiologists. *Lancet*. 1964;i:296-300.
- Siedel J, Klose S, Ziegenhorn J, Wahlefeld AW. Improved reagent for the determination of serum cholesterol. *J Clin Chem Biochem*. 1981;19:838-839.
- Stahler F, Gruber W, Stinshoff K, Roshlau P. Eine praxis gerechte enzymatische Cholesterin-Bestimmung. *Med Lab*. 1977;30:29-37.
- Burstein M, Scholnick HR, Morfin R. Rapid method for the isolation of lipoproteins from human serum by precipitation with polyanions. *Lipid Res*. 1970;11:583-595.
- Lopes-Virella MF. Cholesterol determination in high-density lipoproteins separated by three different methods. *Clin Chem*. 1977;23:882-884.
- Friedewald WT, Levy RI, Fredrickson DS. Estimation of the concentration of low density lipoprotein in plasma, without use of the preparative ultracentrifuge. *Clin Chem*. 1972;18:499-502.
- Rose GA, Blackburn H, Gillum RF, Prineas RJ. *Cardiovascular Survey Methods*. 2nd ed. Geneva, Switzerland: World Health Organization; 1982.
- Suadicani P, Hein HO, Gyntelberg F. Serum validated tobacco use and social inequalities in risk of ischaemic heart disease. *Int J Epidemiol*. 1994;23:293-300.
- Svalastoga K. *Prestige, Class, and Motility*. Copenhagen, Denmark: Munksgaard; 1959.
- Mabeck CE, Wichmann B. Cause of death and death certificates: assessment of the diagnoses in 373 death certificates (in Danish). *Ugeskr Læger*. 1980;142:257-261.
- Asnæs S, Østergaard K. Reliability of death certificates: an autopsy investigation (in Danish). *Ugeskr Læger*. 1980;142:265-266.
- Asnæs S, Mabeck CE, Wichmann B. The significance of autopsies for statistics concerning causes of death: an investigation of death certificates (in Danish). *Ugeskr Læger*. 1980;142:261-264.
- Madsen M, Balling H, Eriksen LS. The validity of the diagnosis of acute myocardial infarction in two Danish registers: the Heart Register compared with the National Patient Register (in Danish). *Ugeskr Læger*. 1990;152:308-314.
- Mosbech J, Jørgensen J, Madsen M, Rostgaard K, Thornberg K, Poulsen TD. The Danish National Patient Register: evaluation of data quality (in Danish). *Ugeskr Læger*. 1995;157:3741-3745.
- Norusis MJ. *SPSS for Windows: Base System Users Guide*. Release 6.0. Chicago, Ill: SPSS Inc; 1994.
- Norusis MJ. *SPSS for Windows: Advanced Statistics*. Release 6.1. Chicago, Ill: SPSS Inc; 1994.
- Samuëlsson O, Pennert K, Andersson O, Berglund G, Hedner T, Persson B, Wedel H, Wilhelmsen L. Diabetes mellitus and raised serum triglyceride concentration in treated hypertension: are they of prognostic importance? observational study. *BMJ*. 1996;313:660-663.
- Kannel WB. Framingham Study insights into hypertensive risk of cardiovascular disease. *Hypertens Res*. 1995;18:181-196.
- Kaplan NM. Primary hypertension: pathogenesis. In: Kaplan NM, ed. *Clinical Hypertension*. 7th ed. Baltimore, Md: Williams & Wilkins; 1999:41-99.
- Kaplan NM. Primary hypertension: natural history, special populations, and evaluation. In: Kaplan NM, ed. *Clinical hypertension*. 7th ed. Baltimore, Md: Williams & Wilkins; 1999:101-132.
- Neaton JD, Wentworth D, for the Multiple Risk Factor Intervention Trial Research Group. Serum cholesterol, blood pressure, cigarette smoking,

- and death from coronary heart disease: overall findings and differences by age for 316099 white men. *Arch Intern Med.* 1992;152:56–64.
33. Sheu WHH, Jeng CY, Shieh SM, Fuh MMT, Shen DDC, Chen YD, Reaven GM. Insulin resistance and abnormal electrocardiograms in patients with high blood pressure. *Am J Hypertens.* 1992;5:444–448.
 34. Reaven GM, Chen Y-DI, Jeppesen J, Maheux P, Krauss RM. Insulin resistance and hyperinsulinemia in individuals with small, dense, low density lipoprotein particles. *J Clin Invest.* 1993;92:141–146.
 35. Reaven GM, Brand RJ, Chen YD, Mathur AK, Goldfine I. Insulin resistance and insulin secretion are determinants of oral glucose tolerance in normal individuals. *Diabetes.* 1993;42:1324–1332.
 36. Fitzgerald DJ, O'Callaghan WG, O'Malley K, O'Brian ET. Accuracy of the London School of Hygiene and Remler M2000 sphygmomanometers. *Clin Sci.* 1981;61(suppl 7):399s–401s.
 37. Grundy SM. Small LDL, atherogenic dyslipidemia, and the metabolic syndrome. *Circulation.* 1997;95:1–4.
 38. Reaven GM. Syndrome X: past, present, and future. In: Draznin B, Rizza R, eds. *Clinical Research in Diabetes and Obesity.* Totowa, NJ: Humana Press Publishers; 1997:357–382.
 39. Austin MA, Breslow JL, Hennekens CH, Buring JE, Willett WS, Krauss RM. Low-density lipoprotein subclass patterns and risk of myocardial infarction. *JAMA.* 1988;260:1917–1922.
 40. Lamarche B, Tchernof A, Moorjani S, Cantin B, Dagenais GR, Lupien PJ, Deprés JP. Small, dense, low-density lipoprotein particles as a predictor of ischemic heart disease in men: prospective results from the Québec Cardiovascular Study. *Circulation.* 1997;95:69–75.
 41. Mack WJ, Krauss RM, Hodis HN. Lipoprotein subclasses in the Monitored Atherosclerosis Regression Study (MARS): treatment effect and relation to coronary angiographic progression. *Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol.* 1996;16:697–704.
 42. Hamsten A, Winman B, Defaire U, Blombäck M. Increased plasma level of a rapid inhibitor of tissue plasminogen activator in young survivors of myocardial infarction. *N Eng J Med.* 1985;313:1557–1563.
 43. Deprés JP, Lamarche B, Mauriège P, Cantin B, Dagenais GR, Moorjani S, Lupien PJ. Hyperinsulinemia as an independent risk factor for ischaemic heart disease. *N Eng J Med.* 1996;334:952–957.
 44. Kuusisto J, Mykkänen L, Pyörälä L, Laakso M. NIDDM and its metabolic control predict coronary disease in elderly subjects. *Diabetes.* 1994;43:960–967.
 45. Kaplan NM. Treatment of hypertension: rationale, guidelines, and goals. In: Kaplan NM, ed. *Clinical Hypertension.* 7th ed. Baltimore, Md: Williams & Wilkins; 1998:133–158.
 46. Lindblad U, Rastram L, Rydén L, Ranstam J, Isacson SO, Berglund G. Control of blood pressure and risk of first acute myocardial infarction: Skaraborg hypertension project. *BMJ.* 1994;308:681–686.
 47. Andersson OK, Almgren T, Persson B, Samuelsson O, Hedner T, Wilhelmsen L. Survival in treated hypertension: follow up study after two decades. *BMJ.* 1998;317:167–171.
 48. Downs JR, Clearfield M, Weis S, Whitney E, Shapiro DR, Beere PA, Langendorfer A, Stein EA, Kruyer W, Gotto AM Jr. Primary prevention of acute coronary events with lovastatin in men and women with average cholesterol levels. *JAMA.* 1998;279:1615–1622.
 49. West of Scotland Coronary Prevention Group. West of Scotland Coronary Prevention Study: identification of high-risk groups and comparison with other cardiovascular intervention trials. *Lancet.* 1996;348:1339–1342.

High Triglycerides and Low HDL Cholesterol and Blood Pressure and Risk of Ischemic Heart Disease

Jørgen Jeppesen, Hans Ole Hein, Poul Suadicani and Finn Gyntelberg

Hypertension. 2000;36:226-232

doi: 10.1161/01.HYP.36.2.226

Hypertension is published by the American Heart Association, 7272 Greenville Avenue, Dallas, TX 75231

Copyright © 2000 American Heart Association, Inc. All rights reserved.

Print ISSN: 0194-911X. Online ISSN: 1524-4563

The online version of this article, along with updated information and services, is located on the World Wide Web at:

<http://hyper.ahajournals.org/content/36/2/226>

Permissions: Requests for permissions to reproduce figures, tables, or portions of articles originally published in *Hypertension* can be obtained via RightsLink, a service of the Copyright Clearance Center, not the Editorial Office. Once the online version of the published article for which permission is being requested is located, click Request Permissions in the middle column of the Web page under Services. Further information about this process is available in the [Permissions and Rights Question and Answer](#) document.

Reprints: Information about reprints can be found online at:
<http://www.lww.com/reprints>

Subscriptions: Information about subscribing to *Hypertension* is online at:
<http://hyper.ahajournals.org/subscriptions/>