Increase in Carotid Intima-Media Thickness in Grade I Hypertensive Subjects: White-Coat Versus Sustained Hypertension
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Abstract—We studied 74 never-treated grade I hypertensive subjects aged 18 to 45 years and 20 normotensive control subjects to define the rate of increase in carotid intima-media thickness (IMT) and the potential role played by the various risk factors. IMT was assessed as mean IMT and as maximum IMT in the right and left common carotid artery, carotid bulb, and internal carotid artery at baseline and at the 5-year follow-up. In grade I hypertensive subjects, both mean IMT and mean of maximum IMT were significantly higher compared with baseline values. Compared with normotensive subjects, both mean IMT and maximum IMT increased significantly (at least $P<0.01$) in each carotid artery segment. The increase in cumulative IMT was 3.4-fold for mean IMT and 3.2-fold for mean of maximum IMT. Levels of mean arterial pressure at 24-hour monitoring and total serum cholesterol were factors potentially linked to the increment in mean IMT and mean of maximum IMT. Age was also relevant for the increment in mean of maximum IMT, whereas body mass index played some role in the increment of mean IMT. During the follow-up, mean IMT and mean of maximum IMT increased to a greater degree in white-coat hypertensive subjects ($n=35$) and sustained hypertensive subjects ($n=39$) than in normotensive control subjects. No differences were found between white-coat hypertensive subjects and sustained hypertensive subjects for both mean IMT and maximum IMT. Levels of mean arterial pressure at 24-hour monitoring affected the increment in IMT in both white-coat hypertensive subjects and sustained hypertensive subjects. In conclusion, our findings indicate that carotid IMT is greater and grows faster in white-coat hypertensive subjects than in normotensive subjects without significant differences with sustained hypertensive patients. (Hypertension. 2008;51:1300-1305.)
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Epidemiological studies$^1$ and intervention trials$^2$ have established that carotid intima-media thickness (IMT), as measured by ultrasound, is a good marker of atherosclerotic disease. Moreover, ultrasound measurement of carotid IMT has repeatedly been shown to predict the occurrence of both stroke and myocardial infarction in the general population.$^3$ For these reasons, an increased carotid IMT has been considered by some authors as a marker of subclinical atherosclerosis,$^4$ although in hypertensive subjects, especially in young subjects, it seems more likely to represent target organ damage.$^5$ IMT has also been taken as a surrogate end point for clinical events in several intervention trials using antihypertensive medications.$^6$ Blood pressure (BP) plays a role in the increase in carotid IMT in many$^7$ but not all studies,$^8$ and prospective data on the time course of IMT growth and the relative impact of the various risk factors are not defined in untreated hypertensive subjects.

In a previous cross-sectional study, we have evaluated a cohort of never-treated, young subjects with grade I hypertension, enrolled at the University of Padova within the frame of the Hypertension and Ambulatory Recording VEnetia STudy (HARVEST).$^9$ It turned out that, compared with normotensive control subjects, a greater IMT was present in the common carotid artery, in the bulb, and in the internal carotid artery and that BP levels and plasma lipids were among the main determinants of carotid IMT.$^9$

We, therefore, sought to establish in the same cohort the time course of carotid artery remodeling along with the role played by the various risk factors in a 5-year follow-up study. One main aim of the present study was to evaluate the characteristics of carotid artery IMT in white-coat hypertension subjects (WCHs) in comparison with sustained hypertensive subjects (SHs).

Methods

Subjects
We studied 74 grade I hypertensive subjects (60 men and 14 women) aged at enrollment 18 to 48 years (30.5±8.7 years), never treated for...
hypertension, taking part in the HARVEST in the center of Padova. The HARVEST is a prospective study aimed at evaluating the prevalence and the progression of markers of each target organ damage in young subjects at low cardiovascular risk screened for stage I hypertension. Subjects having a systolic BP in the 140 to 159 mm Hg range or a diastolic BP in the 90 to 99 mm Hg range at screening were considered for inclusion in the HARVEST.6 All of the hypertensive subjects underwent 24-hour ambulatory BP monitoring (ABPM) during a routine working day. Subjects with daytime ambulatory BP <135/85 mm Hg were defined as WCHS.6 Twenty normotensive volunteers (10 men and 10 women) aged 32.8 ± 7.4 years (P not significant versus grade I hypertensive subjects) at enrollment underwent routine biochemical analyses and the same ultrasound procedures as the grade I hypertensive subjects. None of the subjects were taking medications. The study was conducted in accordance with the Helsinki Declaration and institutional procedures. All of the subjects gave their informed consent.

Carotid and Cardiac Ultrasound Studies

Carotid ultrasound examinations of the common carotid artery, bulb, and internal carotid artery were performed bilaterally using the B-sound 2000 II SA equipped with an 8-MHz annular array mechanical transducer (Esaote) according to our previous study.6 In each carotid artery segment, measurements of mean (mean IMT) and maximum IMT were taken. Moreover, the common carotid diameter, the mean of the maximum IMT recorded in 12 segments, and the prevalence of raised lesions (raised lesions: IMT maximum IMT recorded in 12 segments, and the prevalence of raised artery segment, measurements of mean (mean IMT) and maximum IMT were considered as well.

Statistical Methods

Hypertensive subjects and normotensive control subjects were compared by ANOVA and the 2-sided Tukey’s posthoc test. The mean IMT and the mean of maximum IMT were analyzed separately with ANCOVA after correction for age, sex, plasma lipids, smoking habits, and body mass index (BMI). Correlation between mean IMT and mean of maximum IMT, respectively, and other continuous variables was evaluated by double-precision multivariate analysis, indicating the multiple r and considering a P<0.05 as significant.

Table 1. Data From Grade I Hypertensive Subjects

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Baseline (N=74)</th>
<th>Follow-Up (N=74)</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BMI, kg/m²</td>
<td>24.4±2.9</td>
<td>25.2±3.0</td>
<td>&lt;0.0005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total cholesterol, mg/dL</td>
<td>195.9±38.0</td>
<td>213.1±37.2</td>
<td>0.005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No.</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>54</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDL-C, mg/dL</td>
<td>57.3±18.1</td>
<td>57.5±14.0</td>
<td>NS (0.844)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No.</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>24</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Triglycerides, mg/dL</td>
<td>114.9±53.2</td>
<td>120.0±59.0</td>
<td>NS (0.870)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No.</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>54</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glucose, mmol/L</td>
<td>89.7±11.7</td>
<td>94.7±11.3</td>
<td>NS (0.222)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No.</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>25</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Systolic BP, mm Hg</td>
<td>144.1±11.4</td>
<td>142.8±16.2</td>
<td>NS (0.522)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diastolic BP, mm Hg</td>
<td>91.6±6.3</td>
<td>92.8±10.4</td>
<td>NS (0.256)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAP, mm Hg</td>
<td>109.1±5.5</td>
<td>109.5±11.1</td>
<td>NS (0.771)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heart rate (bpm)</td>
<td>75.2±8.5</td>
<td>73.0±11.1</td>
<td>0.042</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ABPM systolic BP, mm Hg</td>
<td>128.3±9.3</td>
<td>132.6±11.3</td>
<td>&lt;0.0005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No.</td>
<td>64</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ABPM diastolic BP, mm Hg</td>
<td>79.5±6.7</td>
<td>83.7±7.9</td>
<td>&lt;0.0005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No.</td>
<td>64</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ABPM MAP, mm Hg</td>
<td>95.8±6.3</td>
<td>100.0±8.4</td>
<td>&lt;0.0005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No.</td>
<td>64</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ABPM heart rate (bpm)</td>
<td>71.7±7.4</td>
<td>72.7±7.4</td>
<td>NS (0.189)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No.</td>
<td>64</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

HDL-C indicates high-density lipoprotein cholesterol; NS, not significant.

Results

At baseline, 97 grade I hypertensive subjects and 27 normotensive subjects were enrolled in the carotid ultrasound study. At the 5-year follow-up, 74 grade I hypertensive subjects still untreated and 20 normotensive subjects completed the study.

Data related to main risk factors of grade I hypertensive subjects are reported in Table 1. Compared with values at enrollment, at follow-up, grade I hypertensive subjects showed higher BMI and plasma total cholesterol. Values of office systolic BP, diastolic BP, and mean arterial pressure (MAP) did not change with time, whereas ambulatory 24-hour systolic BP, diastolic BP, and MAP increased significantly. Compared with the 20 normotensive control subjects, at enrollment, grade I hypertensive subjects showed higher office systolic BP (144±11 mm Hg versus 121±10 mm Hg in control subjects; P<0.0005), diastolic BP (92±6 mm Hg versus 76±8 mm Hg in control subjects; P<0.0005), MAP (109±5 mm Hg versus 91±8 mm Hg in control subjects; P<0.0005), and BMI (24.4±2.9 versus 22.1±2.3 in control subjects; P=0.002). Age, plasma lipids, heart rate, smoking habits, and physical activity habits were comparable in the 2 groups (data not shown).

At enrollment, values of cumulative mean IMT and mean of maximum IMT were significantly higher in grade I hypertensive subjects compared with control subjects (Table 2). At the 5-year follow-up, values of the above IMT parameters increased significantly in grade I hypertensive subjects (Table 2). A less-remarkable, although statistically significant, increment was also observed in control subjects (Table 2). As far as mean IMT and maximum IMT in the various carotid artery segments is concerned, higher follow-up values were observed in grade I hypertensive subjects compared with control subjects (Table S1, available at http://hyper.ahajournals.org). The average increment in mean IMT in the 5-year time span was much more pronounced in all of the carotid artery segments of grade I hypertensive subjects compared with normotensive control subjects (Figure 1A). The same trend was observed for maximum IMT and its mean cumulative value (Figure 1B).

Accordingly, the prevalence of raised lesions was significantly higher in hypertensive subjects than in control subjects at baseline, as well as at follow-up: 10.3% in hypertensive subjects versus 3.7% in control subjects (P<0.001) and
16.2% in hypertensive subjects versus 5.0% in control subjects \( (P<0.001) \), respectively. It should be noted that, after adjusting for MAP at enrollment, almost all of the differences in the IMT parameters reported in both Table 2 and Figure 1 were no longer significant.

As far as the role played by the various risk factors is concerned, the multivariate regression analysis (Table 3) showed that levels of MAP at ABPM and total serum cholesterol at enrollment were factors linked to the increment in cumulative mean IMT and mean of maximum IMT. Age was also relevant for the increment in mean maximum IMT, whereas BMI played some role in the increment of mean IMT. Before and after adjustment for age, sex, heart rate, BMI, smoking, and serum lipids, both left and right carotid artery diameters were similar in the 2 groups at baseline, as well as at follow-up.

According to the present criteria,\(^{10}\) we stratified the 74 untreated stage 1 hypertensive subjects according to whether they were WCH \( (n=35) \) or SH \( (n=39) \) at baseline assessment. At baseline, WCHs were younger \( (27.9 \pm 8.3 \text{ vs } 32.7 \pm 8.6 \text{ years}; \ P=0.017) \) than SHs. No between-group difference was found as far as sex, BMI, total cholesterol, or triglycerides were concerned. In all of the carotid segments, the baseline mean IMT did not differ between the 2 hypertensive groups and was similar to that in the normotensive control subjects (data not shown). Segmental and cumulative means of maximum IMT were similar in WCHs and SHs, and values of both parameters were slightly higher compared with normotensive subjects (WCHs versus normotensive subjects: \( P=0.004; \) SHs versus normotensive subjects: \( P=0.049; \) data not shown). During the 5-year follow-up, cumulative mean IMT and mean of maximum IMT increased to a greater degree in the WCHs and SHs than in the normotensive control subjects (Figure 2). No significant differences were found between WCHs and SHs for both mean IMT \( (P=0.27) \) and mean of maximum IMT \( (P=0.18) \). At multivariate analysis (Table 4), the value of MAP at baseline ABPM was a common factor affecting the increment of IMT in both WCHs and SHs.

At follow-up, in grade I hypertensive subjects, a mild increase in the left ventricular mass index was observed \( (96.0 \pm 14.7 \text{ versus } 90.8 \pm 16.1 \text{ g/m}^2 \text{ at baseline}; \ P=0.028) \). However, neither absolute values of ventricular mass nor its increment were related to any IMT parameters or their changes over time. Albuminuria level did not change significantly over time \( (18.2 \pm 60.5 \text{ versus } 12.1 \pm 32.8 \text{ mg/24 hours}) \).
Increment in cumulative carotid artery IMT in normotensive controls and Grade I hypertensives

Figure 2. Time-related increment in cumulative carotid artery IMT in WCHs or SHs and control subjects.

Table 4. Multivariate Regression Analysis for Factors Potentially Linked to Increased IMT in White-Coat or Sustained Grade I Hypertension Subjects (Best Models)

Increment of mean IMT

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Individual Variables</th>
<th>White-Coat Hypertension (n=35)</th>
<th>Sustained Hypertension (n=39)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Coefficient</td>
<td>SE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intercept</td>
<td>−0.483</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAP at ABPM T1</td>
<td>0.417</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>0.372</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Triglycerides</td>
<td>0.317</td>
<td>0.0005</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Increment of mean of maximum IMT

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Individual Variables</th>
<th>White-Coat Hypertension (n=35)</th>
<th>Sustained Hypertension (n=39)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Coefficient</td>
<td>SE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intercept</td>
<td>−0.540</td>
<td>0.003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAP at ABPM T1</td>
<td>0.353</td>
<td>0.003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>0.533</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI</td>
<td>−0.275</td>
<td>0.004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Triglycerides</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Increment of mean IMT for WCHs was multiple $r^2=0.393$ ($P=0.002$) and for SHs was multiple $r^2=0.340$ ($P=0.001$). Increment of mean of maximum IMT for WCHs was multiple $r^2=0.477$ ($P=0.001$) and for SHs was multiple $r^2=0.239$ ($P=0.008$). T1 indicates value at baseline; NS, not significant.
of raised lesions was also found in previous intervention trials, but a direct comparison is not appropriate because of discrepancies in design among studies. It should be noted that the remodelling of carotid arteries occurred in the absence of any changes in carotid artery diameter, which may affect IMT measurements, and was endorsed by the good reproducibility of measurements of IMT.

It is worth noting that data from the Finnish Study involving 1257 healthy men >42 years of age showed that each increase in IMT of 0.1 mm resulted in an 11% increase in the risk of myocardial infarction. Therefore, it seems reasonable to infer that a similar increase in risk took place in our cohort of young subjects, because we found a cumulative increase of 0.111 mm/5 years and 0.105 mm/5 years in mean IMT and in mean maximum IMT (Figure 1), respectively.

The multivariate regression analysis for factors potentially underlying the increase in carotid artery IMT (Table 3) showed that baseline values of MAP at ABPM, plasma total cholesterol, and BMI were factors linked to the increment in mean IMT. Baseline values of MAP at ABPM, age, and BMI were factors related to the increment in maximum IMT. The importance of baseline MAP was further evidenced by the fact that, after adjusting for this parameter, most of the difference in IMT observed between the normotensive and the hypertensive subjects was lost.

The clinical importance of WCH remains a controversial issue. WCH has been considered a benign clinical condition for long, but recent studies performed in large samples followed for long periods of time indicated that WCHs may be at increased risk of events. In our series we found that, compared with SHs, WCHs were slightly younger and had lower BP at ABPM. In spite of these differences, the increment in cumulative carotid artery IMT (both mean and maximum IMT) was similar in WCHs and SHs and significantly higher in both groups compared with normotensive control subjects (Figure 2). Such an increment in IMT of carotid arteries of WCHs. This observation is in line with recent clinical studies in which prehypertension was associated with higher prevalence of metabolic syndrome compared with normotensive status. Psychological stress is another factor potentially involved in the progression of carotid IMT and hyperactivity to stressful stimuli has been described in WCHs.

**Perspectives**

Our study indicates that, in young grade I hypertensive subjects whose BP remained below the criteria for treatment, carotid IMT is greater and grows faster than in normotensive subjects. This potentially implies an increased risk of cardiovascular events and prompts for a more tight clinical control along with target organ surveillance. The unfavorable remodelling of carotid arteries observed in our WCHs, similar to SHs, represents a novel and partly unexpected observation. Thus, WCHs should not be regarded as having a benign condition, because it may increase susceptibility to vascular complications early in life. Future studies should be aimed at better defining the pathophysiological mechanisms underlying the increase in carotid artery IMT in WHCs, as well as the incidence of hard events, such as stroke and myocardial infarction in this category of hypertensive subjects. These observations indirectly support the policy for early and tight BP control strengthened by the recent European Society of Cardiology/European Society of Hypertension guidelines even in young subjects at low cardiovascular risk.
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Expanded Methods

**Subjects**

We studied 74 Grade I hypertensives (60 men and 14 women) aged at enrolment 18 to 48 years (30.5±8.7 years), never treated for hypertension, taking part in the Hypertension and Ambulatory Recording Venetia Study (HARVEST) in the centre of Padova. The HARVEST is an observational, perspective follow-up aimed at evaluating the prevalence and the progression of markers of each target organ damage in young subjects at low cardiovascular risk screened for stage I hypertension. Subjects having a systolic blood pressure (SBP) in the 140 to 159 mm Hg range or a diastolic blood pressure (DBP) in the 90 to 99 mmHg range (mean of six office measurements performed on two different occasions) at screening were considered for inclusion in the HARVEST. Patients whose BP exceeded the above values during the first year of follow-up or the 150/95 mmHg level during the following years, were put on antihypertensive treatment.

In summary, according to the HARVEST protocol, subjects were surveyed yearly by recording anamnestic, clinical and anthropometric data. Routine biochemical analyses were also part of the survey. Office BP was taken after a 5-min rest in the supine position, defining SBP and DBP according to Korotkoff sounds I and V, respectively. Mean arterial pressure (MAP) was defined as diastolic BP + (systolic BP - diastolic BP)/3. All hypertensives underwent 24-hour ambulatory BP monitoring (ABPM) during a routine working day. The A&D TM-2420 model-7 device (A&D Company, Tokyo, Japan) or the ICR Spacelabs 90207 monitor (Spacelabs Inc., Redmond, Washington, USA) were used for this purpose. Subjects with daytime ambulatory BP < 135/85 mmHg were defined as WCH. Twenty normotensive volunteers (10 men and 10 women) aged 32.8±7.4 years (p=NS vs Grade I hypertensives) at enrolment underwent routine biochemical analyses and the same ultrasound procedures as the Grade I hypertensive subjects. None of the subjects participating in the carotid ultrasound study had evidence of cardiovascular disease or
diabetes, or was taking medications. The study was conducted in accordance with the Helsinki Declaration and Institutional procedures. All subjects gave their informed consent.

**Carotid and Cardiac Ultrasound Studies**

Carotid ultrasound examinations were performed using the Biosound 2000 II SA equipped with a 8-MHz annular array mechanical transducer (Esaote, Florence, Italy), and were carried out according to the procedure described in previous studies\(^3,4\). The carotid arteries of each subject were examined by the same sonographer, who was blinded to the subject’s status. IMT, defined as the distance between the lumen-intima and the media-adventitia interfaces, was measured at end-diastole in the far wall of the right and left sides of the common carotid artery, the bulb, and the internal carotid artery in lateral and posterior projection. In summary, in 1 standard cm of the common carotid artery (CCA: proximal to the dilatation of the bulb), bulbs (proximal to the flow divider), and internal carotid artery (ICA: distal to the flow divider) measurements of mean (mean-IMT) and maximum IMT were assessed\(^4\). Moreover, the common carotid diameter, the mean of the maximum IMT recorded in the above 12 segments, and the prevalence of raised lesions (raised lesions: IMT >1 mm, and a 100% increase in thickness compared to normal adjacent wall segments) was established in each subject.

The mean difference for repeat measurements of mean-IMT was 0.012±0.052 mm (coefficient of variation 4.44%, coefficient of correlation \(r=0.878\), \(p<0.0005\)). The mean difference for repeat measurements of maximum IMT was 0.003±0.031 mm (coefficient of variation 9.65%, coefficient of correlation \(r=0.979\), \(p<0.0005\)). Finally, the Bland-Altman statistics confirmed the good reproducibility of measurements.

Subjects were also studied for albuminuria excretion rate and by M-mode and bi-dimensional echocardiography according to previously described procedures\(^4,5\).

**Statistical Methods**
Continuous variables were averaged and expressed as mean ± standard deviation. Normality of the distribution for the two groups was previously ascertained. Hypertensive subjects and normotensive controls were compared by analysis of variance and the two-sided Tukey’s post-hoc test. The mean-IMT and the mean of maximum IMT were analyzed separately with analysis of covariance after correction for age, sex, plasma lipids, smoking habits, and BMI. Differences in frequency of categorical variables were evaluated by multivariate frequency tables and compared with Pearson $\chi^2$. In all the analyses, a P<0.05 was considered significant. Correlation between mean-IMT and mean of maximum IMT, respectively, and other continuous variables (age, 24-hour BP and heart rate, BMI, serum cholesterol and triglycerides) was evaluated by double-precision multivariate analysis, indicating the multiple $r$ and considering as significant a $p<0.05$. Finally, the statistical analysis was repeated for the differences between values of IMT measurements recorded at follow-up minus values at baseline using the same adjustment as for the absolute values. The SYSTAT version 10.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA) package was used for this purpose.
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Supplemental Table S1.

(A) Values of mean-IMT (mm) and mean of maximum IMT (mm) recorded at baseline and follow-up in the two groups. (B) Details of IMT values recorded in the various carotid artery segments at the end of the study.

A.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Grade I</th>
<th>Control</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Hypertensives (n=74)</td>
<td>Normotensives (n=20)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean-IMT T1</td>
<td>0.46 ± 0.08</td>
<td>0.41 ± 0.08</td>
<td>0.033</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>p&lt;0.0005</td>
<td>p=0.003</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean-IMT T2</td>
<td>0.57 ± 0.09</td>
<td>0.46 ± 0.10</td>
<td>&lt;0.0005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean of maximum IMT T1</td>
<td>0.59 ± 0.09</td>
<td>0.51 ± 0.10</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>p&lt;0.0005</td>
<td>p=0.007</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean of maximum IMT T2</td>
<td>0.70 ± 0.12</td>
<td>0.54 ± 0.13</td>
<td>&lt;0.0005</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### B.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Grade I</th>
<th>Control</th>
<th>( p )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Hypertensives (n=74)</td>
<td>Normotensives (n=20)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean-IMT CCA T2</td>
<td>0.57 ± 0.09</td>
<td>0.46 ± 0.10</td>
<td>&lt; 0.0005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean-IMT B T2</td>
<td>0.60 ± 0.12</td>
<td>0.49 ± 0.13</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean-IMT ICA T2</td>
<td>0.54 ± 0.09</td>
<td>0.42 ± 0.10</td>
<td>&lt; 0.0005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum IMT RCCA T2</td>
<td>0.69 ± 0.13</td>
<td>0.55 ± 0.13</td>
<td>&lt; 0.0005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum IMT LCCA T2</td>
<td>0.69 ± 0.13</td>
<td>0.54 ± 0.15</td>
<td>&lt; 0.0005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum IMT RB T2</td>
<td>0.76 ± 0.17</td>
<td>0.61 ± 0.19</td>
<td>0.003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum IMT LB T2</td>
<td>0.78 ± 0.26</td>
<td>0.55 ± 0.28</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum IMT RICA T2</td>
<td>0.66 ± 0.15</td>
<td>0.55 ± 0.17</td>
<td>0.018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum IMT LICA T2</td>
<td>0.63 ± 0.13</td>
<td>0.47 ± 0.14</td>
<td>&lt; 0.0005</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

T1, baseline; T2, 5-year follow-up. R, right; L, left. CCA, common carotid artery; B, bulb; ICA, internal carotid artery.

Mean ± SD is reported. Differences of continuous variables between groups were analyzed by analysis of co-variance adjusted for sex, BMI, serum lipids, smoking, heart rate, and age. Differences between baseline and follow-up values were analyzed by paired t-test.