Marked Regional Left Ventricular Heterogeneity in Hypertensive Left Ventricular Hypertrophy Patients
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Abstract—Concentric hypertensive left ventricular (LV) hypertrophy is presumed to be a symmetrical process. Using MRI-derived intramyocardial strain, we sought to determine whether segmental deformation was also symmetrical, as suggested by echocardiography. High echocardiographic LV relative wall thickness in hypertensive LV hypertrophy allows preserved endocardial excursion despite depressed LV midwall shortening (MWS). Depressed MWS is an adverse prognostic indicator, but whether this is related to global or regional myocardial depression is unknown. We prospectively compared MWS derived from linear echocardiographic dimensions with MR strain(∊) in septal and posterior locations in 27 subjects with ECG LV hypertrophy in the Losartan Intervention for Endpoint Reduction in Hypertension Study. Although MRI-derived mass was higher in patients than in normal control subjects (124.0±38.6 versus 60.5±13.2g/m²; P<0.001), fractional shortening (30±5% versus 33±3%) and end-systolic stress (175±22 versus 146±28 g/cm²) did not differ between groups. However, mean MR(∊) was decreased in patients versus normal control subjects (13.9±6.8% versus 22.4±3.5%), as was echo MWS (13.4±2.8% versus 18.2±1.4%; both P<0.001). For patients versus normal control subjects, posterior wall(∊) was not different (17.8±7.1% versus 21.6±4.0%), whereas septal(∊) was markedly depressed (10.1±6.6% versus 23.2±3.4%; P<0.001). Although global MWS by echocardiography or MRI is depressed in hypertensive LV hypertrophy, MRI tissue tagging demonstrates substantial regional intramyocardial strain(∊) heterogeneity, with most severely depressed strain patterns in the septum. Although posterior wall 2D principal strain was inversely related to radius of curvature, septal strain was not, suggesting that factors other than afterload are responsible for pronounced myocardial strain heterogeneity in concentric hypertrophy.
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Left ventricular hypertrophy (LVH) is a major adaptive response to chronic pressure overload and a powerful independent predictor of adverse cardiovascular events in hypertension.1–3 However, indicators of left ventricular (LV) endocardial shortening, such as fractional shortening and ejection fraction (EF), do not predict cardiovascular events. LV midwall shortening (MWS), an indirect measure of myocardial performance assessed by transthoracic echocardiography, is decreased in a subset of patients with hypertensive LVH.4–7 Patients with LVH and decreased MWS are at increased risk for cardiovascular events despite normal endocardial fractional shortening.2 Functional studies of isolated papillary muscles from experimental models of chronic pressure overload demonstrated depressed contractility despite normal EF.8–10 Taken together, these findings suggest that depressed MWS identifies a maladaptive hypertrophic response in the continuum of adaptation to chronic pressure overload and serves as an important clinical predictor in patients with hypertensive LVH.

Echocardiographic calculation of MWS is a geometry-based index derived from linear measurements of the posterior and the septal walls and, consequently, cannot distinguish between septal versus posterior wall function. Thus, whether depressed MWS represents global or regional intrinsic depression of LV myocardial function in pressure-overload hypertrophy is unknown. Previous experiments demonstrated that increased LV pressure could have dissimilar effects on regional LV wall stress because of different radii of curva-
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ture, resulting in regional mechanical heterogeneity because of dissimilar afterload.\textsuperscript{11,12} MRI tissue tagging allows intramyocardial displacement and strain to be measured noninvasively by monitoring motion of identifiable material points distributed throughout the myocardium.\textsuperscript{13–16} We hypothesized that wide sampling of the myocardium using a nongeometric method, MRI tissue tagging, would identify regional heterogeneity of LV myocardial function in patients with hypertensive LVH. To our knowledge, there has been no direct comparison of echocardiographic MWS and MRI tissue tagging in patients with documented hypertensive LVH.

We evaluated patients enrolled in the Losartan Intervention For Endpoint reduction in hypertension (LIFE) Study and normal subjects to test the hypothesis that regional assessment of LV myocardial function by MRI tissue tagging would correspond globally with echocardiographic MWS but would also reveal segmental intramyocardial dysfunction in patients with significant LVH but preserved chamber function.

Methods

Patients

Patients 55 to 80 years old with arterial pressures 160 to 200/95 to 115 mm Hg were eligible if their ECG demonstrated LVH by Cornell voltage-duration product ($R_a + S_v$) [+6 mm in women]$\times$QRS duration >2440 mm $\cdot$ ms or Sokolow-Lyon voltage ($S_V + R_V - V_L$ >38 mm) criteria.\textsuperscript{17,18} Patients with known LV EF <40\% or myocardial infarction within 6 months were excluded. Nineteen (7 women) of 25 patients (76\%), aged 56 to 78 years, with no history or clinical evidence of heart failure entered the MRI substudy. All of the patients had normal LV EF (>60\%) except for 1 patient who had percutaneous transluminal coronary angioplasty twice in the past 5 years (EF: 51\%). After 2 weeks of washout of antihypertensive therapies, patients underwent transthoracic echocardiography and MRI tissue tagging within 24 hours. Eight nontensive volunteers (7 men) aged 25 to 38 years without history of cardiac disease underwent identical examinations. The protocol was approved by the institutional review board at the University of Alabama at Birmingham. All of the subjects gave written informed consent.

Echocardiography

Blood pressures were recorded by mercury sphygmomanometer before and after echocardiography. 2D targeted M-mode and 2D echocardiograms were recorded using a 2.25-MHz probe and a commercially available phased-array Hewlett Packard instrument. Linear septal and posterior wall thicknesses (PWTs) and LV chamber dimensions were measured from M-mode or 2D recordings according to American Society of Echocardiography methods.\textsuperscript{19–22} Derived Echocardiographic Parameters

Echocardiographic MWS was calculated according to previously described methods of de Simone et al.\textsuperscript{2,5,23,24} LV end-systolic circumferential stress (σc) was calculated according to methods described by Aurigemma et al.\textsuperscript{4} Relative wall thickness, the index of the geometric pattern of hypertrophy, was calculated as 2 times the PWT/LV end-diastolic dimension; relative wall thickness >0.44 is consistent with concentric LV geometry.\textsuperscript{25}

Magnetic Resonance Imaging

MRI was performed with a 1.5T scanner (ACS Philips). Scan parameters were repetition time/echo time/flap, 50/7.6/30 ms; slice thickness, 8 mm; field of view, 300 mm; matrix, 256$\times$256; and frame duration, 30 to 40 ms. After orthogonal scout scans, the 2- and 4-chamber long-axis views were acquired by triggered ECG R wave. Orthogonal tags were applied using common k-space techniques.\textsuperscript{26} A single short-axis tag was produced by a 6-element binomial pulse with a composite flip angle of 160\°, producing a tag spacing of 6 mm. Nontagged images from the 2 long-axis views were used to define short-axis planes. Six to 10 short-axis nontagged sections were acquired, covering base to apex with an intersection gap of 4 to 6 mm. Tagged images were acquired using modified respiratory ordered phase encoding compensation strategies taking input from abdominal belt and bellows (Figures 1 and 2).\textsuperscript{27} K-space lines were synchronized with the respiratory cycle in a similar fashion to respiratory ordered phase encoding except that respiratory segments with highest excursion data were not acquired. Investigators blinded to echocardiographic data performed all of the quantitative strain analyses. An MRI short-axis view was selected at the mitral valve leaflet tips, approximating echocardiographic acquisitions. The 2D strain analysis was performed using SPAMMVU.\textsuperscript{28,29} Tag intersection points created myocardial triangular tiling.\textsuperscript{14} End-systolic to end-diastolic deformation within triangles was measured, and eigenvalues and eigenvectors of the Lagrangian strain tensors were calculated. Maximum strain in each region formed the principal strain.

Strain data were related to each individual’s heart by resolving the principal strain into local circumferential and radial components. All of the strains were expressed as a percentage as follows:

Figure 1. MRI short-axis tissue tags in a normal subject illustrating normal wall thickness and high-resolution tagging. Vertices of tagging stripes at end diastole (A) and end systole (B) were tracked yielding circumferential and radial strain. Visual inspection alone reveals normal septal deformation.
where $\ell$ is the underformed length (end diastole) and $\ell_0$ is the deformed length (end systole). Circumferential strain is negative indicating myocardial shortening, whereas radial strain is positive, indicating thickening of primarily circumferentially oriented LV fibers.

To compare echo MWS directly with MRI strains, strains were analyzed in the anterior septum and posterior walls at the level of the mitral leaflet tips. These regions correspond with those used for parasternal LV linear echocardiographic measurements in the LIFE Study (Figure 3A and 3B). LV mass by MRI was calculated from short-axis data using standard techniques.\(^30\)

To examine relations between regional strains and radius of curvature, curvature was measured in the long- and short-axis planes. Curvature was defined as $1/r$, where $r$ is the radius of a circular arc. Curvature analysis was performed in a Matlab environment fitting an arc at the midwall of each region of interest by manually placing arc handles with a mouse evaluated at sections of interest.

**Statistical Analysis**

All of the data are presented as means±SDs. Means were compared using Student t tests (unpaired or paired). Two-tailed $P<0.05$ was considered statistically significant.

Heterogeneity of circumferential strain for the entire short axis was assessed by ANOVA after data passed normality and equal variance tests. Significance ($P<0.05$) was accepted for all of the pairwise multiple comparisons with Bonferroni correction (between regions). The Kruskal-Wallis ANOVA was performed on nonnormally distributed data. Regional differences between groups were assessed by 2-way ANOVA with posthoc Tukey test.

Power calculations for circumferential and radial strains to detect $>0.06\%$ change (normal variance) for patients versus control subjects yielded the following powers at $\alpha=0.05$: circumferential septal strain (power=0.99), radial septal strain (power=0.96), circumferential posterior wall strain (power=0.40), and radial posterior wall strain (power=0.15).

**Results**

**Population Characteristics**

Fractional shortening, end-systolic circumferential wall stress, LV end-diastolic dimension, and LV end-systolic dimension did not differ significantly between patient and control groups (Table 1). As expected, patients had higher mean end-diastolic posterior and septal wall thicknesses and relative wall thickness than control subjects. Importantly, among patients there was no difference between mean septal and PWTs, consistent with symmetrical concentric hypertrophy. LV mass by MRI was $>2$-fold greater in LVH versus control subjects and $>3$ SDs above normal. As has been described previously, MRI mass was less than echocardiographic LV mass.\(^31,32\)

**Strain Analysis**

In patients versus control subjects, mean MRI circumferential strain (24 to 28 loci evaluations per patient) was depressed, as was echocardiographic MWS (Table 2). Although mean echocardiographic MWS and MRI circumferential strain were similar in patients (13.4% versus 13.9%, respectively), there was only modest correlation between the 2 measurements ($r=0.46; P<0.05$). Moreover, comparisons between
Table 1. Population Characteristics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>Patients</th>
<th>Normal Controls</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sex</td>
<td>1 M, 5 F</td>
<td>7 M, 1 F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blood pressure, mm Hg</td>
<td>178/94±14/14*</td>
<td>119/70±9/5*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Posterior wall thickness diastole, cm</td>
<td>1.24±0.22*</td>
<td>0.75±0.08*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Septal wall thickness diastole, cm</td>
<td>1.29±0.24*</td>
<td>0.79±0.06*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Septa/posterior wall ratio</td>
<td>1.00±0.04</td>
<td>1.00±1.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LV end-diastolic dimension, cm</td>
<td>5.21±0.36</td>
<td>5.00±0.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LV end-systolic dimension, cm</td>
<td>3.63±0.35</td>
<td>3.34±0.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>End-diastolic posterior wall thickness, cm</td>
<td>1.24±0.21†</td>
<td>0.75±0.08†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>End-diastolic septal wall thickness, cm</td>
<td>1.29±0.24†</td>
<td>0.79±0.06†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relative wall thickness</td>
<td>0.48±0.08*</td>
<td>0.30±0.03*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LV mass by MRI, g</td>
<td>220.4±73.7‡</td>
<td>121.0±24.2‡</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LV mass/BSA by MRI, g/m²</td>
<td>97.5±32.6‡</td>
<td>55.7±11.2g‡</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LV mass by echocardiography, g/m²</td>
<td>65.7±23.4‡</td>
<td>28.1±6.0†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LV mass by echocardiography, g</td>
<td>280.8±87‡</td>
<td>131±29†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LV mass/BSA by echocardiography, g/m²</td>
<td>163±52‡</td>
<td>74±15†</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

BSA indicates body surface area; F, females; M, males.
*Patients vs normal control subjects: P<0.001.
†Patients vs normal control subjects: P<0.0001.

Table 2. Cardiac Function Characteristics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>Patients</th>
<th>Normal Controls</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>End-systolic circumferential stress, g/cm²</td>
<td>175±22</td>
<td>146±28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fractional shortening, %</td>
<td>30±5</td>
<td>33±3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EF, %</td>
<td>58.7±8.3</td>
<td>61.7±5.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Echocardiographic MWS, %</td>
<td>13.4±2.8*</td>
<td>18.2±1.4*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean MRI circumferential strain (in analogous MWS segment)</td>
<td>13.9±6.8*</td>
<td>22.4±3.5*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Posterior wall circumferential strain, %</td>
<td>−17.8±7.1</td>
<td>−21.6±4.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Posterior wall radial strain, %</td>
<td>23.6±16.5</td>
<td>32.2±16.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Septal wall circumferential strain, %</td>
<td>−10.1±6.8*</td>
<td>−23.2±3.4*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Septal wall radial strain, %</td>
<td>14.9±8.1*</td>
<td>25.9±6.1*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Patients vs normal control subjects: P<0.001.

Cardiac territories, circumferential strains were markedly lower in all of the regions except the inferolateral wall (P=0.000011). Compared with normal control subjects, all of the regions in patients had markedly reduced circumferential strains except the anterior and anterolateral walls, which were virtually identical (P<0.05).

Curvature Analysis

Mean curvatures (1/r) were uniformly, albeit not significantly, lower in the septum versus posterior wall for patients and normal control subjects (Table 3). Combining the short- and long-axis curvatures revealed a negative relationship with 2D principal intramyocardial strain in the normal and LVH groups for the posterior wall (r=−0.86, P=0.033 and r=−0.55, P=0.017, respectively) but not for the septum (r=0.23, P=0.55 and r=0.56, P=0.39, respectively).

Discussion

In patients with LVH, low MWS despite preserved LV chamber function constitutes a negative prognostic indicator. However, it was heretofore unknown whether this index reflected global or regional depression of LV myocardial function. The current investigation demonstrates that MWS by echocardiography and mean circumferential strain by MRI tissue tagging were significantly and similarly depressed in patients with hypertensive LVH but preserved LV chamber function. However, regional assessment of LV strain by MRI tagging demonstrated that hypertensive LVH patients experienced segmental reduction function, most pronounced in the interventricular septum with a >60% lower circumferential strain than normal control subjects, whereas posterior wall strain showed no significant difference between patients and normal control subjects. This suggests that depressed circumferential shortening seen by echocardiographic MWS reflects near-normal posterior wall function combined with severely depressed septal mechanics; ie, depressed MWS in hypertensive LVH with preserved LV chamber function does not predict a symmetrical contraction pattern. Furthermore, for the posterior wall in patients and normal control subjects, there was a significant relationship between wall curvature and principal strain, accounting for the observed circumferential strain. However, no such relationship was found for the septum, suggesting that abnormal afterload is not the driving
force for its depressed strain. This supports observations of earlier investigators, who suggested that forces (and resultant mechanics) acting on the interventricular septum, but not the free wall, are multifactorial.

In the patient group, curvatures of the septal and posterior walls were decreased relative to normal control subjects indicating that geometric remodeling, not just wall thickening, plays a role in the hypertrophic process. This curvature was correlated with principal strain in the posterior wall in patients and normal control subjects, suggesting the posterior wall conforms more classically to Laplacian models of circumferential stress. Lack of correlation between curvature and septal strain suggests that other factors fashion the resultant septal function, likely RV interaction, fiber orientation, rigidity, wall thickness, and intrinsic myocardial function.

Heretofore it was unknown whether decreased MWS in patients with hypertensive LVH represented myocardial dysfunction or was related to geometric assumptions inherent in calculations of MWS. Examining the calculation of MWS, any distance traveled by the midwall will be inherently reduced by a higher initial diastolic wall thickness. Indeed, LV MWS correlates inversely with LV mass and relative wall thickness. According to the mathematical formula of de Simone et al used here, MWS necessarily decreases as LV geometry becomes more concentric. Furthermore, echocardiographic calculation of MWS is designed to represent a global index derived from posterior and septal walls; consequently, it cannot distinguish between septal versus posterior wall performance.

The current investigation demonstrates agreement of means with only modest correlation between echocardiographic MWS and mean MRI circumferential strain. However, by regional strain analysis (Figure 6), the septum is preferentially depressed, whereas the posterior wall is relatively normal. Although we were primarily interested in the 2 regions subtended by the echocardiographic examination, an analysis of circumferential variation of circumferential strain showed that the observed intramyocardial depressed strain was not unique to the septum. Indeed, circumferential strain was heterogeneous, being relatively unaffected in the anterior wall, whereas inferolateral wall strain was intermediate between that of the anterior and septal walls but greater than inferior wall strain.

For the first time we have demonstrated that, despite uniform and symmetrical (concentric) LVH, regional myocardial contraction is far from uniform or symmetrical. Although other investigators have raised the possibility of such a finding, methodologic limitations have prevented unambiguous interpretation. Masuyama et al, using integrated backscatter in pressure overload models, demonstrated isolated septal dysfunction in aortic stenosis patients with LVH. Their septal/posterior wall ratio was 1.24:1.00 (14.7:11.9 mm), suggesting that the predominate pathology was disproportionately upper septal thickening. In contrast, our septal/posterior wall ratios were nearly identical (1.04 and 1.06 in LVH and controls, respectively). van Rugge et al noted regional wall motion differences between the septum and posterior walls after MRI dobutamine stress in normal control subjects, but that study did not include patients with LVH.

To our knowledge, only 1 other study evaluated hypertensive LVH patients using MRI tissue tagging. Palmon et al...
underlying anatopathologic considerations appear to exist viewed with a high-fidelity imaging method such as MRI. Underlying anatopathologic considerations appear to exist viewed with a high-fidelity imaging method such as MRI. The finding of reduced segmental deformation in the setting of preserved chamber function may at first appear counterintuitive. However, when geometric considerations are accounted for, the paradox is resolved. With progressive increases in wall thickness, progressive decreases in individual myocyte shortening are required to achieve similar (or greater) thickening and endocardial excursion. This is in keeping with the pathophysiology of hypertensive LVH that supports a compensatory hypertrophic process to normalize developed Laplacian stress.\(^7\)

**Limitations**

A potential limitation of the current study is that we did not study age-matched controls. Normal data were acquired to validate the tagging protocol, yet our normal strains were in accord with others.\(^14,16\) In addition, in an echocardiographic study of 464 clinically normal adults, Slotwiner et al\(^38\) demonstrated that LV MWS did not differ in subjects across ages of 16 to 41, 41 to 54, and 54 to 88 years. Similar findings were observed by Palmon et al.\(^16\) This argues against non-uniformity of myocardial contraction being an age-related phenomenon. Finally, our investigation was designed solely to explore the 2D nature of MWS by echocardiography related to MRI intramyocardial mechanics. A 3D strain analysis, beyond the scope of this investigation, could examine the local and global effects of the hypertensive LVH in greater detail.

**Conclusions**

To our knowledge, this study is the first to compare findings from echocardiographic MWS and MRI tissue tagging in hypertensive LVH patients. Although global MWS by echocardiography and average MRI circumferential strain are similarly depressed in hypertensive LVH, MRI demonstrates severely depressed strain localized to the septum in the face of preserved chamber function, normal end-systolic stress, and similar wall thicknesses. Symmetrical concentric LVH does not predict symmetrical LV contraction. The observed abnormal strain patterns may represent a novel marker for early regional myocardial dysfunction in LVH patients with otherwise preserved chamber function.

**Perspectives**

With the advent of cardiovascular MRI, the high spatial resolution affords a clinically relevant ability to evaluate myocardial characteristics often invisible by more traditional imaging modalities. Evidence from this study shows that it is no longer safe to assume that the apparent symmetrical contraction of concentric LVH is truly symmetrical until viewed with a high-fidelity imaging method such as MRI. Underlying anatopathologic considerations appear to exist that may account for this observation and may point toward further myocardial perturbations in LVH patients unrecog-
nized previously by lower-resolution imaging. A 3D strain analysis may shed further insight into these observations in addition to confirming the 2D findings.
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