Cardiac Baroreflex Sensitivity Is Not Correlated to Sympathetic Baroreflex Sensitivity Within Healthy, Young Humans
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Abstract—The purpose of this study was to evaluate the relationship between the cardiac and sympathetic baroreflex sensitivities within healthy, young humans. The sensitivities of the cardiac and sympathetic baroreflexes were compared in 53 normotensive individuals (28 men and 25 women; age: 24.0±0.9 years; body mass index: 24.0±0.3 cm/kg², mean±SEM). Heart rate, arterial blood pressure, and peroneal muscle sympathetic nerve activity were recorded under resting conditions (heart rate: 58±1 bpm; systolic blood pressure: 126±2 mm Hg; diastolic blood pressure: 72±1 mm Hg; mean arterial blood pressure: 89±1 mm Hg; muscle sympathetic nerve activity: 18±1 bursts per min) and during rapid changes in blood pressure induced by sequential boluses of nitroprusside and phenylephrine. Cardiac and sympathetic baroreflex sensitivities were analyzed using the slopes of the linear portions of the muscle sympathetic nerve activity-diastolic blood pressure and R-R interval-systolic blood pressure relationships, respectively. When individual cardiac baroreflex sensitivity was compared with sympathetic baroreflex sensitivity, no correlation (R-R interval: r=-0.13; heart rate: r=0.21) was observed when studied as a group. Analysis by sex unveiled a correlation in women between the cardiac and sympathetic baroreflex sensitivities (R-R interval: r=-0.54; P=0.01; no correlation with hazard ratio: r=0.29). No relationship was found in men (R-R interval: r=0.17; heart rate: r=0.12). These results indicate that, although both cardiac and sympathetic efferents function in baroreflex control of arterial pressure, there is no correlation in their sensitivities within healthy normotensive humans. However, sex-stratified data indicate that sex-based differential correlations might exist. (Hypertension. 2010;56:1118-1123.)

Key Words: baroreflex sensitivity ■ sympathetic ■ cardiac ■ sex differences

A primary mechanism through which the autonomic nervous system regulates blood pressure is through the arterial baroreflexes. Measurements of baroreflex sensitivity, whether spontaneous or via vasoactive drug injection, evaluate the responsiveness or gain in the reflex during transient changes in arterial pressure. Changes in the activity of the cardiac efferent arm are estimated by alterations in heart rate or R-R interval (RRI), whereas responses of the sympathetic component of the baroreflex are reflected by changes in muscle sympathetic nerve activity (MSNA).

Studies show that certain stimuli evoke changes in cardiac baroreflex sensitivity, whereas others alter sympathetic baroreflex sensitivity. Furthermore, the sensitivity of the cardiac baroreflex appears to be diminished with aging, whereas the sensitivity of the sympathetic baroreflex appears to be preserved. With evidence of this dissociation between cardiac and sympathetic baroreflexes, it cannot be assumed that either measurement on its own is a complete reflection of how effectively the baroreflex controls arterial pressure.

To our knowledge, a direct comparison of the relationship between individual cardiac and sympathetic baroreflex sensitivities has not been performed previously in humans or animals. There are reports in which simultaneous measurements of the cardiac and sympathetic baroreflex sensitivities have been performed, but there are minimal references on the interindividual correlation of these values. Furthermore, given the recent evidence of fundamental sex differences in autonomic mechanisms regulating blood pressure, there may be sex differences in the relationship between the 2 baroreflex sensitivities.

To better understand how these 2 components of the baroreflex interact in the regulation of arterial blood pressure within individuals, we evaluated the correlation of cardiac and sympathetic baroreflex sensitivities in individual subjects at rest. We hypothesized that, within individuals, an inverse correlation would exist between cardiac and sympathetic baroreflex sensitivities. Furthermore, the female sex hormones might affect blood regulation, because evidence dem-
onstrates that estrogen in the central nuclei of the brain stem alters baroreflex responsiveness and sympathetic nerve activity is not related to resistance of the peripheral vessels in women as it is in men. Therefore, we further hypothesized that the relationship between sympathetic and heart rate baroreflex sensitivities might be affected by sex, such that there may be no correlation between the 2 reflexes in women.

Methods

Subjects
All of the records used in this study were retrospectively analyzed from previous and ongoing trials completed in our laboratory. The investigations from which the data were obtained had ethical approval from the institutional review board of the Mayo Clinic. Fifty-three healthy normotensive nonsmokers (28 men and 25 women) gave their informed consent to participate in the specific studies (age: 24.0 ± 0.8 years; body mass index: 24.0 ± 0.3 cm/kg²; mean ± SEM). To minimize the effects of the reproductive hormones on autonomic control or cardiovascular function, all of the women were studied in the early follicular phase of the menstrual cycle or in the low hormone phase of oral contraceptive use.

Measurements
A 3-lead ECG was used for continuous monitoring of heart rate. Arterial blood pressure monitoring was performed via a 5-cm, 20-gauge brachial artery catheter. MSNA was measured with micro-neurography from the right peroneal nerve at the fibular head using tungsten microelectrodes as described by Sundlöf and Wallin. The recorded signal was amplified 80 000-fold, band passed filtered (700 to 2000 Hz), rectified, and integrated (time constant: 0.1 s) by a nerve traffic analyzer.

Protocol
All of the studies were performed in a clinical research laboratory in the Mayo Center for Translational Science Activities Clinical Research Unit, where ambient temperature was controlled between 22°C and 24°C. Subjects were asked to not consume anything except small volumes of water within 2 hours of the experiment and were asked to abstain from caffeine or alcohol consumption 24 hours before the study. On arrival to the laboratory, subjects rested in the supine positions during instrumentation. Baseline heart rate, arterial pressures, and MSNA were measured over a 5-minute period of quiet supine rest. This was followed by 2 modified Oxford trials to estimate baroreflex sensitivities as described previously. Briefly, sodium nitroprusside (100 μg) was infused through a venous catheter inserted into an antecubital vein in the dominant arm, which was followed by phenylephrine (150 μg) 60 s later. Data were collected for an additional 2 minutes.

Data Analyses
Data were analyzed only from control periods, that is, before any manipulations associated with the specific study. Data were sampled at 240 Hz using data acquisition software (Windaq, Dataq Instruments) and stored on a personal computer for offline analysis. Heart rate, RRI, MSNA, and systolic, diastolic, and mean arterial pressures were taken from the 5-minute period immediately preceding the nitroprusside administration. Sympathetic bursts in the integrated neurogram were identified using a custom-manufactured automated analysis program; burst identification was then corrected by inspection by a single observer (E.C.H.). The program then compensated for baroreflex latency and associated each sympathetic burst with the appropriate cardiac cycle.

Assessment of Baroreflex Sensitivity

Cardiac Baroreflex
The sensitivities of baroreflex control of the heart were assessed using the relationship between RRI and systolic blood pressure during the vasoactive drug boluses. The slope of the linear portion of this relation was used as an index of baroreflex sensitivity (12 to 15 points per regression line). Values for RRI from baroreflex trials were pooled over 2-mm Hg ranges for analysis to minimize variability because of nonbaroreflex influences, such as respiration. Analysis of baroreflex sensitivity in terms of RRI gives results that are directly related to efferent vagal activity to the heart. However, the reciprocal relationship between heart rate and RRI can result in a decrease in the slope of the RRI pressure relation with changes in baseline heart rate attributed to the mathematical effect that a given change in heart rate results in less of a change in RRI when baseline heart rate is higher. This effect is minimized when data are expressed in terms of heart rate. Data in terms of both RRI and heart rate are presented to be comprehensive in the analysis.

Sympathetic Baroreflex
An index of baroreflex control of sympathetic outflow was provided by the relationship between MSNA and diastolic blood pressure during the drug boluses. To perform a linear regression between the total MSNA activity and pressure, values for MSNA from baroreflex trials were first signal averaged over 3-mm Hg pressure ranges (“bins”) via custom software. This pooling procedure reduces the statistical impact of the inherent beat-by-beat variability in nerve activity because of nonbaroreflex influences. A window of nerve activity that was 1.0 s in length and synchronized by the R wave of the ECG was signal averaged. The window was time shifted to account for the latency between R waves and sympathetic bursts. The duration of the shift was varied as needed from subject to subject. Any cardiac cycle not followed by a burst was assigned a total integrated activity of 0. Diastolic blood pressure was used because MSNA correlates more closely with diastolic blood pressure than with systolic pressure. The sensitivity of the baroreflex measured by the modified Oxford method was defined as the slope of the linear regression between MSNA and the means of the diastolic blood pressure bins. Assessment of cardiac and sympathetic baroreflex sensitivities were performed by a single observer (E.C.H.).

Statistics
Baseline demographic data are presented as mean ± SEM. Linear regression analysis was used to evaluate the correlation between values for cardiac and sympathetic baroreflex sensitivity. The α level was set at 0.05. Subgroup analyses were performed to evaluate the

Table 1. Average Baseline Demographic Variables for Patients ± SEM

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Combined (n=53)</th>
<th>Men (n=28)</th>
<th>Women (n=25)</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age, y</td>
<td>24±0.9</td>
<td>24±1.3</td>
<td>25±1.0</td>
<td>0.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height, cm</td>
<td>173.7±1.2</td>
<td>179.7±1.2</td>
<td>161.3±1.3</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight, kg</td>
<td>71.8±1.5</td>
<td>77.0±1.9</td>
<td>66.1±2.0</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI, m/kg²</td>
<td>23.7±0.32</td>
<td>24.0±0.40</td>
<td>23.4±0.48</td>
<td>0.40</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

BMI indicates body mass index.

Table 2. Average Baseline Cardiovascular and Neural Variables ± SEM

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Combined (n=353)</th>
<th>Men (n=28)</th>
<th>Women (n=25)</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HR, bpm</td>
<td>58±1.1</td>
<td>58±1.4</td>
<td>57±1.7</td>
<td>0.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SBP, mm Hg</td>
<td>126±2.0</td>
<td>127±2.4</td>
<td>124±3.4</td>
<td>0.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DBP, mm Hg</td>
<td>72±1.2</td>
<td>73±1.4</td>
<td>70±2.2</td>
<td>0.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAP, mm Hg</td>
<td>89±1.3</td>
<td>89±1.5</td>
<td>89±2.5</td>
<td>0.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MSNA, bpm</td>
<td>18.1±1.2</td>
<td>19.1±1.6</td>
<td>16.9±2.0</td>
<td>0.40</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

HR indicates heart rate; SBP, systolic blood pressure; DBP, diastolic blood pressure; MAP, mean arterial pressure.
Table 3. Averaged Values±SEM for Cardiac Baroreflex Sensitivity Measured by RRI or HR Slope and Sympathetic Baroreflex Sensitivity Measured by MSNA Slope

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Baroreflex Sensitivity</th>
<th>Combined (n=53)</th>
<th>Men (n=28)</th>
<th>Women (n=25)</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RRI slope, ms/mm Hg</td>
<td>20.5±1.3</td>
<td>22.1±2.1</td>
<td>18.7±1.5</td>
<td>0.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HR slope, bpm/mm Hg</td>
<td>−1.3±0.07</td>
<td>−1.3±0.09</td>
<td>−1.2±0.10</td>
<td>0.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MSNA slope, AU per beat per mm Hg</td>
<td>−6.31±0.40</td>
<td>−6.6±0.51</td>
<td>−6.0±0.64</td>
<td>0.46</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

HR indicates heart rate; AU, arbitrary units.

Figure 1. Regression analysis shows no relationship between sympathetic (MSNA) and cardiac baroreflex sensitivities within individuals when studied as a group including men (□) and women (○). This absence of a relationship is evident whether cardiac baroreflex sensitivity is represented by (A) RRI slope or (B) heart rate (HR) slope. AU indicates arbitrary units.

Discussion

The major new finding of this study is that, within individuals, there does not appear to be any direct correlation between the sensitivities of the cardiac and sympathetic efferent arms of the baroreflex mechanism. These results emphasize the distinct pathways associated with cardiac and sympathetic baroreflex control and the need to use caution when drawing conclusions about the baroreflex as a whole if only one or the other arm of the reflex is studied.
individuals with more responsive cardiac baroreflex sensitivity might not “require” as much responsiveness in their sympathetic baroreflex to regulate arterial pressure and vice versa. With either scenario, a relationship between the cardiac and sympathetic baroreflex sensitivities would exist.

The data presented in the present analysis indicate that, when studied as a group, there is no correlation between the cardiac and sympathetic baroreflex sensitivities within individuals (Figure 1). There are several reasons for this lack of agreement between cardiac and sympathetic baroreflex sensitivities. First, the baroreflex could be affected by several factors, including alterations in baroreceptor input, alterations in the response of the central nuclei to these baroreceptor inputs, and changes in end organ responsiveness. The heart rate baroreflex may be affected by both changes in central nuclei responses to baroreceptor input (central) and end organ responsiveness (peripheral), whereas the sympathetic baroreflex is not affected by end-organ responsiveness (when measuring MSNA and not changes in total peripheral resistance). Future studies could focus on end organ responsiveness (ie, focusing on changes in vessel diameter or total peripheral resistance) while measuring changes in sympathetic nerve activity. Second, we hypothesized that sex could confound the relationship between sympathetic and cardiac baroreflex sensitivities, and, thus, analyzing the group as a whole might mask any relationship between the 2 reflexes.

### Potential Role of Sex in Correlation of Cardiac and Sympathetic Baroreflex Sensitivities

It is important to highlight that the aim of this article was not to investigate sex differences in baroreflex sensitivity (we did not find any sex differences between cardiac and sympathetic baroreflex sensitivities, which is similar to findings reported by Tank et al [24]). The aim of our investigation was to measure whether sex affected the balance between cardiac and sympathetic baroreflex sensitivities. We hypothesized that the relationship that exists between the cardiac and sympathetic arms of the baroreflex control is potentially different between men and women. When using changes in RRI as a measure of cardiac baroreflex sensitivity, higher sensitivity was associated with higher sympathetic baroreflex sensitivities, whereas the sympathetic baroreflex was not affected by changes in total peripheral resistance). Future studies could focus on end organ responsiveness (ie, focusing on changes in vessel diameter or total peripheral resistance) while measuring changes in sympathetic nerve activity. Second, we hypothesized that sex could
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**Figure 2.** Linear regression analysis of the relationship between individual baroreflex sensitivity (BRS) when separated on the basis of sex. In women there was a positive relationship between sympathetic and cardiac BRS shown by (A) RRI ($r = -0.54; P < 0.01$). This relationship was not significant when heart rate (HR) was used to estimate cardiac BRS (C) ($r = 0.29$). In men, there was no correlation between sympathetic and cardiac baroreflex sensitivities, whether RRI (B) or HR (D) was used. AU indicates arbitrary units.
It is possible that the sex differences observed in this study are related to the previously reported sex-related differences in the sympatho-hemodynamic balance controlling arterial blood pressure. In that study, normotensive men (but not women) with a high baseline MSNA were found to have a higher total peripheral resistance and lower cardiac output, suggesting that low cardiac output balances the pressor effects of high MSNA in men but not women. In this context, men with high sympathetic baroreflex sensitivity (responsiveness) may display a larger increase in total peripheral resistance in response to decreases in arterial blood pressure compared with women. This response would minimize the necessity for higher cardiac baroreflex sensitivity (heart rate response) during changes in blood pressure in men. In contrast, in young women there is no relationship between baseline MSNA and total peripheral resistance. Thus, women with high sympathetic baroreflex sensitivity might still require high cardiac baroreflex sensitivity to help maintain arterial blood pressure. These sex differences in arterial blood pressure regulation may be related to whether a sympathetic burst increases arterial pressure (or vascular resistance) differently in men and women.

The measurements used for this study evaluated baroreflex responses to sequential decreases and increases in arterial pressures using the gold standard modified Oxford test. Thus, our data reflect the sensitivity of the sympathetic and cardiac baroreflex pushed over a wide pressure range. It is possible that both sympathetic and cardiac baroreflex sensitivities may be different when responding to only increasing or decreasing arterial pressures, given that recent data indicates some "hysteresis" within the baroreflex. Along these lines, Studdinger et al. indicate that the sympathetic baroreflex is more responsive to increasing rather than decreasing pressures in young healthy subjects. Interestingly, data from the same group suggest that the cardiac sympathetic baroreflex is actually more responsive to increasing rather than decreasing pressures. Consequently, the responsiveness of the sympathetic and cardiac arms of the baroreflex may offset each other depending on the direction of the change in arterial pressure. Potentially, measuring the baroreflex responses to increases and decreases in arterial pressure might point to sex differences in the relationship between sympathetic and cardiac baroreflex sensitivities. In our study we tried splitting the decreasing and increasing pressures into separate baroreflex curves. However, because MSNA is often completely suppressed during the increasing pressure phase (phenylephrine bolus), we found that we could not construct significant baroreflex curves when this segment was analyzed alone.

**Cardiac Baroreflex Sensitivity: RRI Versus HR**

Previous studies regarding cardiac baroreflex sensitivity have sometimes drawn conflicting conclusions based on whether HR or RRI was used to evaluate the sensitivity of the reflex. The mathematical issues associated with use of one or the other variable add complexity to this issue and are most often related to conditions in which baseline heart rate/RRI is different between 2 conditions. These issues have been discussed in detail by O’Leary. However, because men and women had similar resting heart rates in the present study, we do not feel that the potential confound of differences in baseline occurred here. With regard to physiological interpretation of our present data, previous studies have demonstrated that changes in RRI reflect changes in parasympathetic tone, whereas changes in HR are not as tightly linked to changes in vagal activity. Thus, the correlation between cardiac (RRI) and sympathetic baroreflex sensitivities in women raises the possibility that there is some relationship between the separate autonomic arms of the baroreflex in women.

**Clinical Implications**

Evidence suggests that baroreflex sensitivity is lowered in hypertensive patients and has heritable qualities, including potentially altered baroreflex sensitivity in patients with family histories of hypertension. Measurements of baroreflex sensitivity in these studies are often indirect and have evaluated only the cardiac arm of the baroreflex. In contrast, it is controversial whether baroreflex modulation of sympathetic tone remains intact or is altered in hypertensive subjects. This information has led to a discussion about baroreflex sensitivity as a predictor of those individuals at risk for cardiovascular disease and hypertension. Our findings suggest that quantification of one arm of the arterial baroreflex does not necessarily reflect how well the other efferent arm is functioning, especially in men. Therefore, when using baroreflex sensitivity as a prognostic tool, both arms of the baroreflex should be considered, or, at the very least, one arm of the reflex should not be considered to represent the other. This is especially important with increasing interest in using baroreflex sensitivity measures as a prognostic tool for cardiovascular disease.

**Limitations**

There are several important limitations to this study. The relationship between the cardiac and sympathetic baroreflex sensitivities is complex and difficult to quantify by simple linear regression. For example, control of heart rate is mediated by both the sympathetic and parasympathetic nervous systems. Therefore, cardiac baroreflex sensitivity as analyzed by RRI and heart rate changes is a composite measurement of parasympathetic and sympathetic cardiac mediation. In addition, hormonal and other neurochemical signals, including locally released NO, angiotensin II, and circulating arginine vasopressin, exert differential modulation on various components of the baroreflex. Clearly all of these factors are potential sites of action and make studies in conscious humans challenging. In addition, measuring baroreflex sensitivity is complex, and our conclusions are based on modest sample sizes with subjects studied one time by one group of investigators. To further test and confirm the conclusions that we have drawn, baroreflex sensitivities will need to be repeated within individuals, and data will need to originate from multiple experimental groups. Finally, we could not estimate whether increases in cardiac sympathetic tone during baroreflex activation affected changes in RRI, which may confound our measurements of cardiac (vagal) baroreflex sensitivity.
Perspectives

There is no correlation between cardiac and sympathetic baroreflex sensitivities when men and women are combined and studied as a group. However, men and women studied separately might have different relationships between their cardiac and sympathetic baroreflex sensitivities. These findings suggest that, when measuring baroreflex sensitivity in humans, both efferent arms of the baroreflex should be considered.
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