Synergistic Effect of Norepinephrine Transporter Blockade and α-2 Antagonism on Blood Pressure in Autonomic Failure

Luis E. Okamoto, Cyndya Shibao, Alfredo Gamboa, Leena Choi, André Diedrich, Satish R. Raj, Bonnie K. Black, David Robertson, Italo Biaggioni

See Editorial Commentary, pp 548–549

Abstract—Patients with autonomic failure have disabling orthostatic hypotension because of impaired sympathetic activity. Norepinephrine transporter blockade with atomoxetine raises blood pressure in autonomic failure by increasing synaptic norepinephrine concentrations in postganglionic sympathetic neurons. This effect requires tonic release of norepinephrine, which is decreased in patients with low sympathetic tone. We hypothesized that increasing residual sympathetic outflow with the α-2 antagonist yohimbine would potentiate the pressor effect of norepinephrine transporter blockade with atomoxetine and improve orthostatic tolerance in peripheral autonomic failure. Seventeen patients received a single oral dose of either placebo, yohimbine 5.4 mg or atomoxetine 18.0 mg, and the combination yohimbine and atomoxetine in a single blind, crossover study. Blood pressure was assessed while patients were seated and standing for 10 minutes before and 1 hour postdrug. Neither yohimbine nor atomoxetine significantly increased seated systolic blood pressure or orthostatic tolerance compared with placebo. The combination, however, significantly increased seated systolic blood pressure and orthostatic tolerance (P<0.001 and P=0.016, respectively) in a synergistic manner. The maximal increase in seated systolic blood pressure seen with the combination was 31±33 mm Hg at 60 minutes postdrug. Only the combination showed a significant improvement in orthostatic symptoms. In conclusion, the combination of yohimbine and atomoxetine had a synergistic effect on blood pressure and orthostatic tolerance in peripheral autonomic failure, which may be explained by an increased release of norepinephrine in peripheral sympathetic neurons by α-2 antagonism combined with a reduced norepinephrine clearance by norepinephrine transporter blockade. Safety studies are required to address the clinical usefulness of this pharmacological approach. (Hypertension. 2012;59:650-656.)
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Severe orthostatic hypotension is one of the most disabling symptoms among patients with autonomic failure. Many patients require pharmacological therapy to reduce orthostatic symptoms and improve standing time. The current recommended first-line therapy is limited to medications that increase sodium reabsorption and expand plasma volume, such as fludrocortisone, or direct vasoconstrictors, such as midodrine. Their use, however, is frequently limited by the appearance of adverse effects or by the lack of response in the most severe cases of autonomic failure. Thus, in many patients with severe orthostatic hypotension, alternative pharmacological approaches must be considered in addition to or instead of these drugs.

Given that the loss of efferent sympathetic function may be incomplete in many patients with severe autonomic failure, medications manipulating norepinephrine metabolism can enhance residual sympathetic activity and produce profound pressor responses in autonomic failure patients. Atomoxetine, a selective norepinephrine transporter (NET) inhibitor, raises blood pressure (BP) in autonomic failure patients by increasing synaptic norepinephrine concentrations in postganglionic sympathetic neurons. Patients with central autonomic impairment (multiple system atrophy [MSA]), who have intact postganglionic sympathetic fibers and residual sympathetic tone, had large pressor responses to atomoxetine, whereas patients with peripheral sympathetic denervation and...
low sympathetic tone, such as pure autonomic failure (PAF) and Parkinson disease (PD), are less likely to have a pressor effect.\(^7\) It seems, therefore, that atomoxetine requires tonic release of norepinephrine from the nerve terminals to elicit a pressor effect in these patients.

In this study, we hypothesized that increasing residual sympathetic outflow with the selective α-2 adrenergic receptor antagonist yohimbine\(^8,9\) would potentiate the pressor effect of NET blockade with atomoxetine and improve orthostatic tolerance in patients with peripheral autonomic failure. In addition, we evaluated whether the effect of the combination on seated BP and orthostatic tolerance is synergistic and whether this interaction would translate into improvement of orthostatic symptoms.

### Methods

#### Subjects

A total of 17 patients with severe peripheral autonomic failure (12 with PAF and 5 with PD) were recruited from referrals to the Vanderbilt University Autonomic Dysfunction Center. PAF and PD with autonomic failure were defined using the diagnostic criteria of the American Autonomic Society.\(^10\) Orthostatic hypotension was defined as ≥20-mm Hg decrease in systolic BP (SBP) or ≥10 mm Hg of diastolic BP within 3 minutes on standing.\(^10\) Patients were excluded if they had secondary causes of autonomic failure (eg, diabetes mellitus or amyloidosis) or if they had contraindications to administration of pressor agents (eg, coronary artery disease). The Vanderbilt University Investigational Review Board approved this study, and written informed consent was obtained from each subject before initiating the study.

#### General Protocol

Patients were admitted to the clinical research center at Vanderbilt University and were fed a low-monoamine, caffeine-free diet containing 150 mg/dL of sodium and 70 mg/dL of potassium per day. Medications affecting BP, blood volume, and the autonomic nervous system were withheld for ≥5 half-lives before testing. The screening consisted of a medical history, physical examination, 12-lead ECG, and laboratory assessments. Standardized autonomic function tests were performed to evaluate the severity of the autonomic impairment.\(^11\) These included orthostatic stress test, Valsalva maneuver, cold pressor test, isometric handgrip, and sinus arrhythmia.\(^12\) BP and heart rate (HR) were obtained using an automated oscillometric sphygmomanometer (Dinamap, GE Medical Systems Information Technologies), finger photoplethysmography (Finometer, FMS, or Nexfin, BMEYE), and continuous ECG. During the orthostatic test, blood samples were obtained for catecholamines while patients were supine and upright, as described previously.\(^7\) Plasma catecholamines were determined by high-performance liquid chromatography with electrochemical detection.\(^13\)

#### Acute Medication Trials

Medication trials were conducted in the morning, in a postvoid state, and ≥2.5 hours after meals to avoid any acute hemodynamic effect from eating. Patients were seated on a chair with their feet on the floor. BP and HR were recorded every 5 minutes with an automated brachial BP cuff (Dinamap, Critikon, Tampa, Florida) and digitally acquired into a custom designed database (Microsoft Access, Microsoft Corporation). Baseline parameters were measured for 30 minutes, and orthostatic tolerance was tested by measuring BP and HR on standing for ≤10 minutes. After 5 minutes of drug administration, BP and HR were measured for 60 minutes, and the assessment of orthostatic tolerance was repeated at the end of this period, as described above. Patients were asked to rate the severity of their orthostatic symptoms immediately after the orthostatic stress tests using an orthostatic symptom questionnaire.\(^14\) The questionnaire consisted of 6 items, including the following: (1) lightheadedness, dizziness, feeling faint or like passing out; (2) blurred vision, seeing spots, or tunnel vision; (3) trouble concentrating; (4) weakness; (5) fatigue; and (6) head, neck, or shoulder discomfort. Each item was scored on a 0 to 10 scale (with 0 reflecting absence of symptoms), and the total scores (range: 0–60) before and after treatment were used as a measure of symptom burden.

Patients were given a single oral dose of placebo, yohimbine 5.4 mg (Goldline, Ft Lauderdale, FL) or atomoxetine 18.0 mg (Eli Lilly Pharmaceuticals, Indianapolis, IN), or the combination of yohimbine 5.4 mg and atomoxetine 18.0 mg in a single-blind, crossover fashion. Medication trials with placebo, yohimbine, and atomoxetine were done in a random order; but for safety reasons the combination was given on the third or fourth study day. We were concerned that patients who had a good pressor response to either atomoxetine or yohimbine alone could have a larger and unsafe pressor response to the combination. Thus, if yohimbine and/or atomoxetine alone produced an increase in seated SBP ≥150 mm Hg at 60 minutes postdrug, the study day with the combination was not performed.

#### Statistical Methods

We hypothesized that the combination had a greater effect on seated BP compared with each drug alone and that the combination had a synergistic effect on seated BP compared with the sum of pressor effect of the 2 drugs individually. The primary outcome was the seated SBP at 60 minutes postdrug, and the baseline seated SBP was adjusted along with age in the analysis. Both measurements were logarithmic transformed to reduce skewness in their distribution. A random-effects model was used to examine whether the mean of log seated SBP 60 minutes after the combination was higher than that of each drug alone (placebo, atomoxetine, and yohimbine), and than the sum effects of those during atomoxetine and yohimbine alone after adjusting for age and the log of baseline seated SBP. The model was also used to test whether the mean of log-seated SBP at baseline was different between the treatment groups. A similar approach was used to test whether there is any difference in the mean of log HR 60 minutes after the treatment between the treatment groups, after adjusting for the log of average HR at baseline.

Secondary outcomes included orthostatic tolerance and orthostatic symptom score. The orthostatic tolerance was defined as the area under the curve of standing SBP calculated by the trapezoidal rule (AUCSBP at 60 minutes up to SBP multiplied by standing time). The AUCSBP at 60 minutes postdrug was considered as the outcome, and the AUCSBP at baseline was adjusted along with age in the analysis. Both AUCs of SBP were logarithmic transformed because of skewed distribution. A random-effects model was used to test differences in the orthostatic tolerance between the treatment groups and between the combination versus the sum of effects after atomoxetine and

### Table 1. Patient Characteristics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>PAF (n=12)</th>
<th>PD (n=5)</th>
<th>All Patients (n=17)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sex, male/female</td>
<td>6/6</td>
<td>1/4</td>
<td>7/10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age, y</td>
<td>63 ± 11</td>
<td>66 ± 13</td>
<td>64 ± 11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI, kg/m²</td>
<td>25.0 ± 4.1</td>
<td>24.9 ± 4.0</td>
<td>24.9 ± 4.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Systolic blood pressure, mm Hg</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Supine</td>
<td>140 ± 35</td>
<td>148 ± 25</td>
<td>143 ± 31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Upright</td>
<td>63 ± 13</td>
<td>94 ± 10</td>
<td>74 ± 19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heart rate, bpm</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Supine</td>
<td>71 ± 7</td>
<td>74 ± 7</td>
<td>72 ± 7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Upright</td>
<td>87 ± 17</td>
<td>86 ± 12</td>
<td>86 ± 15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Norepinephrine, pg/mL</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Supine</td>
<td>100 ± 85</td>
<td>78 ± 46</td>
<td>93 ± 74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Upright</td>
<td>186 ± 216</td>
<td>121 ± 53</td>
<td>166 ± 184</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data are presented as mean ± SD. PAF indicates pure autonomic failure; PD Parkinson disease; BMI, body mass index.
yohimbine alone. Comparisons were made only for patients who could stand after all active medications. Wilcoxon signed-rank test was used to test whether each treatment decreased the orthostatic symptom score compared with the baseline. Differences in postdrug total symptom scores between the treatment groups were analyzed by using a random-effects model with adjustment of the baseline total symptom scores. Data are presented as mean±SD unless otherwise noted. All of the tests were 2 tailed, and a P value of <0.05 was considered significant. Analyses were performed with STATA 11.0 (Stata Corp, College Station, TX). 

Results

Patient Characteristics and Autonomic Testing

We studied 17 patients (7 men; 64±11 years) with severe peripheral autonomic failure, 12 met criteria for PAF and 5 for PD (Table 1). Supine SBP was similar in both groups (P=0.661), whereas upright SBP was higher in PD patients (P<0.01). Both groups had severe orthostatic hypotension (PAF, −77±29 mm Hg versus PD, −54±25 mm Hg; P=0.190). Supine and upright HRs did not differ between groups (P=0.518 and P=0.949, respectively). Supine and upright plasma norepinephrine were similarly low in PAF and PD patients (supine, 100±85 pg/mL versus 78±46 pg/mL, P=0.792; upright, 186±216 pg/mL versus 121±53 pg/mL, P=0.673). There were no significant differences in age or body mass index between groups. The results of the orthostatic stress test and autonomic function testing of the whole group are shown in Table 2. The mean supine BP and HR of the whole group were 143±31/82±14 mm Hg and 72±7 bpm, respectively. On standing, all of the patients had a pronounced decrease in SBP (−69±29 mm Hg) without an adequate compensatory HR increase (15±13 bpm). Sinus arrhythmia was markedly reduced in all of the patients. The decrease in SBP during phase II of the Valsalva maneuver was exaggerated compared with responses in normal controls, and the SBP overshoot during phase IV was absent. The Valsalva ratio was low, indicating inadequate compensatory changes of HR. Hyperventilation produced a substantial decrease in SBP. The pressor responses to pain stimuli (cold pressor test) and isometric exercise (handgrip) were absent. Thus, autonomic testing showed severe sympathetic and parasympathetic impairment in these patients.

Pressor Effect of Drugs

All of the participants (n=17) completed the 4 treatment arms. Average baseline seated SBP was similar among treatment groups (placebo: 100±24 mm Hg; yohimbine: 103±25 mm Hg; atomoxetine: 99±25 mm Hg; and the combination: 98±19 mm Hg; P=0.691). Changes in seated SBP are shown in Figure 1. One hour after drug administration, the maximal increase in seated SBP was seen with the combination (31±33 mm Hg [range: −24 to 112 mm Hg]; 95% CI: 14−48 mm Hg; Figure 1A and 1B), with an average SBP of 129±38 mm Hg. The change from baseline in seated SBP

Table 2. Autonomic Function Tests and Orthostatic Stress Test

| Parameters                         | All Patients | Normals*
|-----------------------------------|--------------|----------
| Orthostatic change in systolic BP, mm Hg | −69±29       | ≥20      |
| Orthostatic change in heart rate, bpm | 15±13        | 5−10     |
| Sinus arrhythmia ratio            | 1.05±0.03    | 1.2±0.1  |
| Depressor response to Valsalva in phase II, mm Hg | −61±27      | ≥20      |
| BP response to Valsalva phase IV, mm Hg | −38±14     | >20      |
| Valsalva ratio                    | 1.09±0.10    | 1.5±0.2  |
| Depressor response to hyperventilation, mm Hg | −22±17      | −5±6     |
| Pressor response to cold pressor, mm Hg | 1±10        | 24±13    |
| Pressor response to handgrip, mm Hg | 0±10         | 16±6     |

Values are expressed as mean±SD. Pressor responses are given as changes in systolic BP. BP indicates blood pressure.

*Normal values are from the Autonomic Dysfunction Database at Vanderbilt University.

†A negative value for phase IV of the Valsalva maneuver indicates that the blood pressure overshoot was absent.

Figure 1. Changes from baseline in seated systolic blood pressure (ΔSBP) during 1 hour after drug administration (A) and at 60 minutes postdrug (B). The combination significantly increased SBP at 60 minutes vs each drug alone. There was no significant difference between placebo vs atomoxetine and placebo vs yohimbine. Values are expressed as mean±SEM. The P values were generated by comparing the mean of log seated SBP 60 minutes after drug administration using random-effects model. Comparisons between placebo vs atomoxetine and placebo vs yohimbine (P>0.05 by random-effects model): ▲, yohimbine 5.4 mg; ◆, atomoxetine 18.0 mg; ■, yohimbine and atomoxetine; □, placebo.
produced by placebo (4±17 mm Hg) was similar to that of yohimbine (7±17 mm Hg) and atomoxetine (5±19 mm Hg). For our primary outcome, we found that seated SBP was significantly higher 60 minutes after the combination than after each drug alone (placebo: P<0.001; atomoxetine: P<0.001; and yohimbine: P=0.001), whereas the SBP after atomoxetine or yohimbine was not different from placebo (P>0.05; Figure 1B). SBP changes were not accompanied by significant changes from baseline in HR (placebo: 1±6 bpm; yohimbine: −1±5 bpm; atomoxetine: 0±6 bpm; and the combination: 0±7 bpm; all comparisons: P>0.05). We found that the combination had a higher seated SBP 60 minutes after drug administration compared with the sum of the pressor effects produced by the 2 drugs individually (P=0.024; Figure 2), suggesting a synergistic, rather than an additive, pressor effect.

Of the 17 patients studied, 12 were able to stand after all of the active arms and were included in the analysis of orthostatic tolerance. Compared with predrug administration, the increase in 1-minute standing SBP produced by placebo was 1±23 mm Hg, atomoxetine 8±16 mm Hg, yohimbine 9±22 mm Hg, and the combination 28±29 mm Hg. Figure 3 shows changes from baseline in standing SBP (AUCSBP) at 60 minutes postdrug. Only the combination showed an improvement in orthostatic tolerance, as indicated by a significantly higher AUCSBP (690±479) compared with that of each drug alone (placebo: AUCSBP 443±443, P=0.016; atomoxetine: AUCSBP 428±440, P=0.001; and yohimbine: AUCSBP 570±350, P=0.049), whereas the AUCSBP after atomoxetine and yohimbine alone were not different from placebo (P>0.05). Moreover, the combination had a significantly higher AUCSBP compared with the sum of those during the 2 drugs alone (P=0.041), suggesting a synergistic effect of the combination on orthostatic tolerance.

Orthostatic Symptoms
Orthostatic symptom scores were obtained at baseline and 1 hour after placebo (n=7), atomoxetine (n=10), yohimbine (n=9), and the combination (n=10). The total orthostatic symptom burden after 1 hour postdrug significantly improved with the combination (lower scores) as compared with baseline (15.7±17.9 versus 25.3±16.0, respectively; P=0.013; Figure 4). In contrast, the total symptom burden did not improve after atomoxetine (24.4±18.3 versus 26.9±14.1 at baseline; P=0.799) or yohimbine alone (26.4±12.9 versus 27.6±12.2 at baseline; P=0.905). Similar results were obtained if the analysis was restricted to patients with orthostatic symptom scores in all of the treatment arms.

Only the combination showed a postdrug total symptom score significantly smaller than placebo after adjusting for the baseline total score (mean difference: −11.1 [95% CI: −20.7 to −1.6]; P=0.022). On the other hand, the total symptom burden after atomoxetine or yohimbine alone was not significantly different from placebo (P>0.05).
Discussion

The main findings of this study were as follows: (1) administration of yohimbine or atomoxetine alone did not produce a significant increase in BP or orthostatic tolerance in this group of patients with severe peripheral autonomic failure; (2) the combination of yohimbine with atomoxetine, however, significantly increased seated SBP and orthostatic tolerance in a synergistic manner; and (3) the synergistic effect of the combination was associated with improvement in orthostatic symptoms. We propose that this interaction may be explained by an increased release of norepinephrine in peripheral sympathetic nerves by the α-2 antagonist combined with a reduced norepinephrine clearance by NET blockade.

Norepinephrine reuptake by the NET protein is the main mechanism by which the synaptic actions of norepinephrine are terminated. NET inhibition increases the synaptic concentrations of norepinephrine and enhances the activation of presynaptic and postsynaptic adrenoreceptors. In the periphery, NET blockade would lead to increases in BP and HR.15 This effect, however, seems to be partly counteracted by a central sympatholytic effect through activation of α-2 adrenoceptors in the brain (“clonidine-like” effect).16–19 This central sympatholytic effect is likely to be significant in subjects with intact autonomic function and probably accounts for the observation that NET inhibitors at therapeutic doses result in only minimal, if any, increases in BP.20 Thus, the overall effect of systemic NET inhibition seems to depend on the balance between peripheral sympathetic stimulation and central sympathetic inhibition.15 In support of this hypothesis, patients with central autonomic impairment (MSA), who lack of central autonomic modulation but have intact peripheral sympathetic fibers and residual sympathetic tone,21 had a profound pressor response (54 ± 26 mm Hg) to pediatric doses (18 mg PO) of atomoxetine.7 In contrast, we found that patients with peripheral autonomic impairment (PAF and PD), who have low sympathetic tone because of peripheral sympathetic denervation,22–24 showed no pressor response to atomoxetine, which is in agreement with our previous studies.7 Taken together, our findings suggest that the pressor effect of atomoxetine in autonomic failure requires a centrally unrestrained tonic release of norepinephrine from peripheral sympathetic fibers, which is not seen in patients with peripheral autonomic failure and low sympathetic tone.

Yohimbine increases norepinephrine release from sympathetic nerves by augmenting central sympathetic outflow via α-2 adrenoceptor inhibition in the brain (“anti-clonidine” effect) and by interfering with the inhibitory modulation of presynaptic α-2 adrenoceptors on peripheral sympathetic nerves.8,9 In autonomic failure patients, these actions result in a pressor response that appears to depend on the presence of residual sympathetic tone.22,24,25 This is supported by the observation that patients with autonomic failure attributed to a congenital absence of norepinephrine (dopamine-β-hydroxylase deficiency) had no pressor response to yohimbine;26 whereas patients with MSA, who have intact peripheral sympathetic nerves, showed profound increases in BP with low oral doses (5.4 mg) of yohimbine.24,27 Moreover, the pressor response to yohimbine in MSA patients positively correlated with the depressor response to ganglionic blockade with trimethaphan.24 On the other hand, the response to yohimbine in patients with peripheral autonomic failure is more heterogeneous. Some studies have reported similar and profound BP increases in PAF and MSA patients6,28; whereas others have shown smaller, but still significant, pressor responses in PAF and PD patients.22,27 Our results are in line with the latter findings. Furthermore, the BP response to yohimbine in this study was not statistically different from placebo, suggesting that our cohort of patients was more severely affected by autonomic impairment than those recruited in previous studies.

Although atomoxetine or yohimbine alone did not have any significant pressor effect, coadministration of both medications significantly increased seated SBP compared with placebo. Moreover, the magnitude of the pressor response to the combination was higher than the sum of the responses to each drug alone (Figure 2), suggesting a synergistic pressor effect. Given the lack of HR changes with the combination (0±7 bpm), which pointed to a significant cardiac autonomic denervation, it is tempting to speculate that the mechanism of the BP increase by the combination was most likely attributed to sympathetically driven vasoconstriction. This was, however, not directly measured in this study.

We propose that yohimbine and atomoxetine act synergistically at 2 distinct and complementary levels to enhance residual sympathetic tone. In the central nervous system, α-2 adrenoceptor inhibition with yohimbine would increase any residual central sympathetic outflow present in these patients and counteract the “clonidine-like” effect of the NET inhibitor atomoxetine. In the neurovascular junction, norepinephrine concentrations would be further increased through attenuation of the α-2 adrenoceptor-mediated feedback inhibition of norepinephrine release by yohimbine and through reduced norepinephrine clearance by the NET blocker atomoxetine. Consistent with this hypothesis, Cohen et al29 showed that yohimbine markedly reduced or abolished the dose-dependent central sympatholytic effect of desipramine, a tricyclic antidepressant with NET blockade actions, in rabbits with intact and impaired baroreflex function. Furthermore, previous studies in depressed patients with intact autonomic function taking clomipramine have shown that yohimbine, at doses (4 mg TID) that have no effect in normal subjects, induced significant increases in BP.30 The present finding of a large pressor response to the combination, despite the lack of pressor response to each drug alone, supports the hypothesis that the loss of efferent sympathetic function is incomplete in many patients with severe peripheral autonomic failure. Residual sympathetic efferent fibers, therefore, may be pharmacologically engaged to treat orthostatic hypotension in some of these patients.

The main goal in the treatment of orthostatic hypotension is to reduce orthostatic symptoms and improve standing time (ie, orthostatic tolerance). This is achieved by increasing and maintaining standing BP within the range of cerebral auto-regulation to preserve adequate cerebral perfusion while standing. Orthostatic tolerance, therefore, may be better represented by the ability to maintain standing BP above the threshold that overwhelms cerebral autoregulation rather than
by absolute BP levels measured at 1 minute of standing, which has been the efficacy end point in several orthostatic hypotension trials. This concept is supported by the observation that 24% of autonomic failure patients had severe cerebral autoregulatory failure, with a steep cerebral blood flow-BP curve.\(^1\) For the same initial upright BP, these patients would develop cerebral hypoperfusion sooner in response to small drops in BP compared with patients with intact autoregulation. To address this issue, we defined orthostatic tolerance as the area under the curve of standing SBP. This approach would take into account not only BP levels over time while standing but also the total standing time. Our results indicated that only the combination significantly improved orthostatic tolerance and orthostatic symptom burden. Although yohimbine and atomoxetine alone tended to increase SBP at 1 minute of standing (9\(\pm\)22 and 8\(\pm\)16 mm Hg, respectively), the orthostatic tolerance and orthostatic symptom burden did not improve. We propose that this synergistic interaction could be useful in the treatment of orthostatic hypotension in autonomic failure patients resistant to these drugs individually.

Our findings may also raise safety concerns. Medications with \(\alpha\)-2 adrenergoreceptor antagonism (eg, mirtazapine) or NET blockade actions (eg, bupropion, venlafaxine, and duloxetine) are commonly used for depression and attention deficit disorder. Inadvertent coadministration of these medications may cause potentially dangerous BP surges in subjects with impaired baroreflex function or in autonomic failure patients (eg, diabetic neuropathy). It should be noted, however, that most of these medications have other mechanisms of action, such as serotonin receptor antagonism, dopamine transporter blockade, or serotonin reuptake inhibition that may have different effects on BP regulation. Further research is required to test this hypothesis and to determine whether these interactions may contribute to the cardiovascular risks associated with these drugs in the general population.

The primary limitation of this study was that plasma levels of atomoxetine and yohimbine were not measured. Because yohimbine and atomoxetine are metabolized through the cytochrome P450 (CYP2D6 and CYP3A4) pathway,\(^2,3\) the impact of a pharmacokinetic interaction between these agents on the observed pressor effects cannot be excluded. In vivo studies have shown, however, that atomoxetine administration with substrates of the CYP2D6 and CYP3A did not result in clinically significant drug interactions. Coadministration of atomoxetine with desipramine or midazolam (a model compound for drugs metabolized by CYP2D6 and CYP3A, respectively) did not alter the plasma pharmacokinetics of any of these drugs.\(^4\) Another limitation was that we only assessed the pressor effects of these agents within 1 hour of drug administration. We did not systematically monitor BP for longer periods. Thus, the duration of the pressor effects cannot be estimated from our results. Although we predicted that the peak pressor response to the combination would occur at 1 hour of drug administration based on our results and previous studies,\(^5,6\) it is possible that some patients may have a larger pressor response to the combination after 1 hour postdrug, particularly those patients who are poor metaboliz-ers of CYP2D6 (\(-7\%) of whites and 2\% of blacks). Further research is required to address safety outcomes including the duration and magnitude of the pressor response to the combination beyond 1 hour of drug administration and the pressor effect after multiple dosing. Furthermore, the effect on long-term outcomes, such as frequency of falls, long-term safety, and quality of life, is unknown. Supine BP was not assessed in our study. It is likely that the combination induces new or worsens preexistent supine hypertension in these patients; however, this would not be an adverse effect unique to these drugs. Indeed, supine hypertension is one of the most common adverse effects of medications used in the treatment of orthostatic hypotension, such as pressor agents (eg, midodrine) or fludrocortisone. Most of these agents induce nonselective elevations of BP regardless of the body position. Thus, patients taking these agents should avoid the supine position for 4 to 5 hours after drug administration and omit a dose if supine or sitting BP is \(\geq\)180/110 mm Hg. Finally, yohimbine is no longer sold as a medication because of its reduced sales market. However, it can be compounded by pharmacies for prescription use and is readily available as a supplement in health stores and through Internet commerce.

**Perspectives**
Patients with autonomic failure offer a unique opportunity to explore human cardiovascular pharmacology, given that the hemodynamic effect of drugs are magnified or even “unmasked” in these patients because of the extreme sensitivity that they have to any pressor or depressor stimuli. Medications that enhance sympathetic activity, such as atomoxetine or yohimbine, produce large pressor responses in patients with central autonomic failure. In contrast, patients with peripheral forms of autonomic failure are less likely to respond to these drugs, given the low residual sympathetic tone. Our results have shown that the combination of yohimbine and atomoxetine elicited a profound and synergistic pressor effect in peripheral autonomic failure patients, despite the lack of response to each drug alone. This synergistic interaction can be exploited in the treatment of orthostatic hypotension in autonomic failure patients who do not respond to these drugs individually. Our results also raise safety concerns. Medications with \(\alpha\)-2 antagonism or NET blockade actions are commonly used for depression and attention deficit disorder. Coadministration of these drugs may cause potentially dangerous BP surges in subjects with impaired baroreflex function or in autonomic failure patients (eg, diabetic neuropathy) and could produce significant if less dramatic increases in BP in normal subjects. Further research is required to test this hypothesis and to determine whether these interactions may contribute to the cardiovascular risks associated with these drugs in the general population.
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