Diets Containing Pistachios Reduce Systolic Blood Pressure and Peripheral Vascular Responses to Stress in Adults With Dyslipidemia
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Abstract—Nut consumption reduces cardiovascular risk, and reductions in blood pressure and peripheral vascular resistance may be important mediators of this relationship. We evaluated effects of pistachios on flow-mediated dilation and blood pressure response to acute stress. Twenty-eight adults with dyslipidemia completed a randomized, crossover, controlled-feeding study. All of the meals were provided and calories were controlled. After 2 weeks on a typical Western diet (35% total fat and 11% saturated fat), test diets were presented in counterbalanced order for 4 weeks each, a low-fat control diet (25% total fat and 8% saturated fat), a diet containing 10% of energy from pistachios (on average, 1 serving per day; 30% total fat and 8% saturated fat), and a diet containing 20% of energy from pistachios (on average, 2 servings per day, 34% total fat and 8% saturated fat). None of the resting hemodynamic measures significantly differed from pretreatment values. When resting and stress levels were included in the repeated-measures analysis, average reductions in systolic blood pressure were greater after the diet containing 1 serving per day versus 2 servings per day of pistachios (mean change in systolic blood pressure, −4.8 vs −2.4 mm Hg, respectively; P<0.05). After the higher dose, there were significant reductions in peripheral resistance (−62.1 dyne-s×cm⁻³) and heart rate (−3 bpm) versus the control diet (P<0.0001). These changes were partially offset by increases in cardiac output. There was no effect of diet on fasting flow-mediated dilation. Reductions in peripheral vascular constriction and the resulting decrease in hemodynamic load may be important contributors to lower risk in nut consumers. (Hypertension. 2012;60:58-63.)
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Nut consumption is associated with significant reductions in cardiovascular disease (CVD) risk and all-cause mortality.1–5 In the Nurses’ Health Study, women who consumed >2 servings of nuts per week had an 18% reduction in cardiac death compared with women who did not eat nuts regularly.5 In short-term, randomized trials, almonds,6,7 walnuts,8–10 and pistachios9,11,12 significantly reduced low-density lipoprotein (LDL) cholesterol and total cholesterol, when compared with a typical Western diet or diets low in saturated fat (SFA; for review, see Sabate et al). We have shown previously that including 1 or 2 servings per day of pistachios in a healthy diet reduced LDL cholesterol by 9% to 12%.11 The effects of pistachios on the ratio of LDL cholesterol to high-density lipoprotein cholesterol were dose dependent, with larger improvements in LDL cholesterol/high-density lipoprotein cholesterol11 and greater reductions in oxidized LDL14 when participants consumed 2 servings per day.

In 1 epidemiological study, nut intake was associated with lower blood pressure (BP) and lower risk of hypertension.15 However, the protective effect of nuts was limited to lean individuals, and sodium was not considered (for review, see Casas-Agustench et al). Relatively few clinical studies of nuts have reported BP data, and findings have been inconsistent, with some studies reporting significant reductions in BP when nuts are consumed, whereas others report no significant change.6,9 Little is known about the mechanism(s) that may underlie the relationship between nut consumption and BP, and dose-response relationships have not been studied. Based on our previous work with walnuts, we hypothesized that lower peripheral vascular resistance may mediate the relationship between nut consumption and BP. Furthermore, given the putative role of stress in the development of hypertension, it is important to confirm whether reductions in BP persist during exposure to acute stress. Three previous studies have shown significant improvements in flow-mediated dilation (FMD), a measure of endothelial function, after walnut consumption. One unrandomized trial suggests that pistachios also increase FMD. We hypothesized that adding pistachios to a healthy diet would...
lower BP at rest and during stress, and that this change would be mediated by reductions in peripheral vascular resistance and increases in FMD.

Methods

Study Design and Participants

We measured changes in vascular reactivity in healthy, nonsmoking men (n=10) and women (n=18) with elevated LDL cholesterol who completed a 3-period, randomized, crossover, controlled-feeding study examining the effects of pistachios on risk factors of CVD.11 Participant demographics have been described previously.11 Participants had LDL cholesterol ≥2.86 mmol/L, triglyceride <3.94 mmol/L, BP <160/90 mm Hg, body mass index between 21 and 35 kg/m², and fasting blood glucose ≤6.9 mmol/L. Exclusion criteria included the following: BP or cholesterol-lowering medication; use of nutritional supplements; pregnancy; weight loss ≥10% of body weight in the previous 6 months; vegetarian or weight-loss diets; and history of liver, kidney, autoimmune, or vascular disease. Approval was given by the institutional review board at Pennsylvania State University, and all of the participants provided signed informed consent. One participant was unable to comply with the protocol and withdrew from the study.

All of the meals were provided, and calorie levels were customized to maintain body weight (Table 1). The diet design and detailed nutrient profile have been published previously.11 After 2 weeks on a typical Western diet (run-in diet, 35% total fat [TF], 11% SFA), the diet design was repeated with only the resting baseline values. Finally, a separate mixed-models analysis was conducted to examine the effects of acute stress on hemodynamic variables during the prerandomization testing session, with task as a fixed effect and subject as a random effect. Diet by task and diet by period interactions were uniformly nonsignificant (no evidence of carryover). The prerandomization (baseline) value was entered as a covariate, and it was statistically significant for all of the variables. Tukey tests were used to adjust for multiple comparisons. To facilitate comparison with other studies of nuts, the analysis was repeated with only the resting baseline values. Finally, a separate mixed-models analysis was conducted to examine the effects of acute stress on hemodynamic variables during the prerandomization testing session, with task as a fixed effect and subject as a random effect. This study was powered to detect a 10% change in TPR, a 5% change in SBP, and a 20% change in FMD (with power=0.80 and α=0.05).28 α≤0.05 was considered statistically significant. We report adjusted (least-squares) means±SEs.

Results

Effects of Acute Stress on Systemic Hemodynamics Before Randomization

The math task increased SBP, diastolic BP, heart rate, and CO compared with the resting period (main effects of task,
Table 2. Effects of Acute Stress and Diet on Hemodynamic Variables Measured ≥2 h After Eating a Meal

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Vascular Measurement</th>
<th>Task</th>
<th>Pretreatment Mean (End Run-In)</th>
<th>Change After Control Diet</th>
<th>Change After 1 Serving per d of Pistachios</th>
<th>Change After 2 Servings per d of Pistachios</th>
<th>P Value for Diet Effect</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Systolic BP, mm Hg</td>
<td>Rest</td>
<td>111.9±2.5</td>
<td>−5.2±1.9</td>
<td>−6.2±1.9</td>
<td>−5.0±1.9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Math</td>
<td>131.1±2.5</td>
<td>−1.0±1.9</td>
<td>−3.5±1.9</td>
<td>−0.9±1.9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Recovery</td>
<td>116.8±2.5</td>
<td>−2.9±1.8</td>
<td>−6.2±1.8</td>
<td>−3.3±1.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cold</td>
<td>130.4±2.5</td>
<td>−1.3±1.9</td>
<td>−2.1±1.9</td>
<td>1.8±1.9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Recovery</td>
<td>117.2±2.5</td>
<td>−1.5±1.8</td>
<td>−5.8±1.8</td>
<td>−4.7±1.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Average</td>
<td>−1.8±1.2</td>
<td>−4.8±1.2*</td>
<td>−2.4±1.2†</td>
<td>0.007</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diastolic BP, mm Hg</td>
<td>Rest</td>
<td>69.5±1.2</td>
<td>−2.2±1.0</td>
<td>−1.7±1.0</td>
<td>−2.3±1.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Math</td>
<td>78.6±1.2</td>
<td>−1.2±1.0</td>
<td>−2.6±1.0</td>
<td>−1.7±1.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Recovery</td>
<td>72.2±1.2</td>
<td>−2.3±1.0</td>
<td>−3.6±1.0</td>
<td>−2.8±1.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cold</td>
<td>79.5±1.2</td>
<td>−0.3±1.1</td>
<td>−0.8±1.1</td>
<td>−1.4±1.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Recovery</td>
<td>72.0±1.2</td>
<td>−1.8±1.0</td>
<td>−2.5±1.0</td>
<td>−3.3±1.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Average</td>
<td>−1.6±0.7</td>
<td>−2.2±0.7</td>
<td>−2.3±0.7*</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heart rate, bpm</td>
<td>Rest</td>
<td>70.5±1.5</td>
<td>−3.1±1.1</td>
<td>−3.4±1.1</td>
<td>−5.0±1.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Math</td>
<td>76.7±1.5</td>
<td>−0.2±1.1</td>
<td>−0.5±1.1</td>
<td>−1.3±1.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Recovery</td>
<td>71.9±1.5</td>
<td>−1.7±1.1</td>
<td>−3.2±1.1</td>
<td>−3.5±1.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cold</td>
<td>72.6±1.5</td>
<td>−0.1±1.1</td>
<td>−1.0±1.1</td>
<td>−1.9±1.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Recovery</td>
<td>70.1±1.5</td>
<td>−1.9±1.1</td>
<td>−3.1±1.1</td>
<td>−3.5±1.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Average</td>
<td>−1.3±0.8</td>
<td>−2.2±0.8</td>
<td>−3.0±0.8*</td>
<td>0.006</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stroke volume, mL per beat</td>
<td>Rest</td>
<td>68.3±3.0</td>
<td>2.5±2.0</td>
<td>2.1±2.0</td>
<td>7.0±2.0†</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Math</td>
<td>68.1±3.0</td>
<td>−1.8±2.0</td>
<td>−2.7±2.0</td>
<td>2.6±2.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Recovery</td>
<td>68.0±3.0</td>
<td>0.9±2.0</td>
<td>2.1±2.0</td>
<td>4.4±2.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cold</td>
<td>69.3±3.0</td>
<td>−0.2±2.0</td>
<td>1.7±2.0</td>
<td>4.1±2.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Recovery</td>
<td>69.2±3.0</td>
<td>−0.8±2.0</td>
<td>1.8±2.0</td>
<td>5.0±2.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Average</td>
<td>0.4±1.6</td>
<td>1.0±1.6</td>
<td>4.6±1.6†</td>
<td>0.0001</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cardiac output, L/min</td>
<td>Rest</td>
<td>4.74±0.19</td>
<td>−0.12±0.13</td>
<td>−0.16±0.13</td>
<td>0.07±0.13</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Math</td>
<td>5.22±0.19</td>
<td>−0.01±0.13</td>
<td>−0.11±0.13</td>
<td>0.23±0.13</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Recovery</td>
<td>4.80±0.19</td>
<td>−0.07±0.13</td>
<td>−0.11±0.13</td>
<td>0.04±0.13</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cold</td>
<td>5.95±0.19</td>
<td>−0.01±0.13</td>
<td>0.07±0.13</td>
<td>0.18±0.13</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Recovery</td>
<td>4.76±0.19</td>
<td>−0.10±0.13</td>
<td>−0.15±0.13</td>
<td>0.02±0.13</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Average</td>
<td>−0.1±0.1</td>
<td>−0.1±0.1</td>
<td>0.2±0.1†</td>
<td>0.0001</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

BP indicates blood pressure. Data are presented as least-squares means±SEs from the SAS MIXED procedure, with subject entered as a random effect. SEs for repeated measurements are identical using this method. At the pretreatment visit, there were significant task effects for all variables except stroke volume (P<0.0001). Treatment effects are presented as change scores (end of treatment—end of baseline run-in). Analyses are adjusted for the prerandomization value. When only resting values were analyzed, stroke volume was the only variable with a significant treatment effect (P=0.01).

*Significant difference vs the control diet using Tukey test (P<0.05).
†Significant difference vs 1 serving per day using Tukey test (P<0.05).

PB indicates blood pressure. Data are presented as least-squares means±SEs from the SAS MIXED procedure, with subject entered as a random effect. SEs for repeated measurements are identical using this method. At the pretreatment visit, there were significant task effects for all variables except stroke volume (P<0.0001). Treatment effects are presented as change scores (end of treatment—end of baseline run-in). Analyses are adjusted for the prerandomization value. When only resting values were analyzed, stroke volume was the only variable with a significant treatment effect (P=0.01).

*Significant difference vs the control diet using Tukey test (P<0.05).
†Significant difference vs 1 serving per day using Tukey test (P<0.05).

P≤0.0001; Tukey P≤0.0003; Table 2). The cold pressor increased SBP, diastolic BP, and TPR relative to baseline rest (P≤0.0001).

Effects of Diet on BP and Heart Rate

When only the resting values were considered, there was no effect of treatment on BP or heart rate (Table 2). When all of the measurements were tested with rest, task, and recovery periods in a repeated-measures analysis, SBP and heart rate differed by diet (P≤0.007; Table 2). Average reductions in SBP were significantly greater when the diet containing 1 serving per day of pistachios was consumed (mean change in SBP of −4.8 mm Hg) compared with the diet containing 2 servings per day of pistachios (−2.4 mm Hg; Tukey P<0.05) or the control diet (−1.8 mm Hg; Tukey P<0.05). SBP response to the higher dose of pistachios did not differ from control. Heart rate decreased to a greater extent after 2 servings per day versus the control diet (Tukey P=0.0001); the response to 1 serving per day was intermediate and did not differ from the other diets. Diastolic BP was reduced by ~2 mm Hg after all 3 of the diets (P≤0.02); there was no difference in the magnitude of this effect across diets (P=0.30).

Effects of Diet On Peripheral Vasodilation and Myocardial Response

Resting values of CO (Table 2) and TPR (Figure 1) did not differ by treatment (Table 2). Resting stroke volume was significantly higher after 2 servings per day. When all of the task periods were included in the analysis, there were signif-
significant effects of treatment on stroke volume, CO, and TPR ($P<0.007$; Table 2 and Figure 1). Reductions in TPR were greater after the diet providing 2 servings per day of pistachios versus control (Tukey $P<0.0001$; Figure 1). TPR change after 1 serving per day was intermediate and did not differ from control. CO and stroke volume showed the opposite pattern, with higher values after the diet containing 2 servings per day versus the control (Tukey $P=0.0001$; Table 2) and the diets containing 1 serving per day (Tukey $P<0.05$). Figure 2 shows percentage of change in SBP, CO, and TPR to allow visual comparison of the hemodynamic shifts across the 3 diets. There were no effects of diet on basal brachial artery diameter, postdeflation peak diameter, or FMD (percentage of change in artery diameter; Table 3).

**Discussion**

In the present randomized, controlled-feeding study, BP-lowering effects of a pistachio-supplemented diet were dose dependent, with the more moderate diet (1 serving per day of pistachios) eliciting greater average reductions in average SBP. This pattern was evident during exposure to acute stress tests in the laboratory, whereas resting levels of BP and heart rate were unchanged. Analysis of the underlying hemodynamics suggests an explanation for the pattern of BP changes. As shown in Figures 1 and 2, significant reductions in average TPR were observed only after the diet that provided 2 servings per day of pistachios. One would expect larger decreases in BP to accompany the diet with the largest decrease in peripheral vascular resistance. However, there was an increase in CO when participants consumed 2 servings per day. The increase in CO may be a compensatory response to peripheral vasodilation, although the temporal dynamics of this response are unknown.

The finding that resting BP is unchanged with nut consumption is in agreement with some but not all clinical studies of nuts. In this study, the significant diet effects emerged only when repeated observations collected during rest, stress, and recovery are included. Although it is tempting to speculate that the diet effects are more pronounced during stress or recovery, interactions of task and treatment were not significant for any variable. Furthermore, statistical power is significantly enhanced by including repeated measurements across the testing session, and significant differences may result from having more data points. This hypothesis could be easily tested in future studies. A recent review of the effects of nuts on BP recommended that future studies include ambulatory BP monitoring. This technique is powerful because it involves dozens of repeated measurements in the same individual, it is closely tied to end organ damage, and it reflects BP during the experience of daily life.

Another limitation of this study is that we cannot attribute the significant shifts in hemodynamics to a specific bioactive compound or nutrient in pistachios. With increasing doses of pistachios, TF increased from 25% kcal on the control diet to 34% kcal on the diet containing 2 servings per day. As pistachios replaced carbohydrates in the diet, intake of fiber, unsaturated fatty acids, lutein, zeaxanthin, $\gamma$-tocopherol, and potassium increased (and sodium decreased). It is unlikely that modest changes in sodium and potassium were sufficient to fully account for the BP change reported herein. Despite disparities in sodium and potassium content, the control diet and the diet containing 2 servings per day of pistachios elicited equivalent BP reductions. Furthermore, the diet that produced the largest BP reduction (1 serving per day) was not the diet with the lowest sodium and highest potassium content. Future studies of nuts and hemodynamic measures should carefully match diets for sodium, potassium, and magnesium content when possible.
Given the energy density of nuts and the need to control for body weight in studies in which BP is an outcome, controlledfeeding studies must always vary by ≥2 macronutrients to prevent changes in weight. In the present study, calories from carbohydrates were reduced as increasing doses of pistachios were incorporated into the meals, and future studies should address whether changes in hemodynamics observed in this study are independent of changes in the macronutrient or micronutrient profile.

In spite of the significant reductions in peripheral vasoconstriction with the higher dose of pistachios, brachial artery FMD was not significantly changed. We observed the same pattern in a previous study in which walnuts and walnut oil were included in the diet. We note that peripheral vascular resistance is regulated by multiple, overlapping (and sometimes oppositional) regulatory systems, including endothelial function, sympathetic and parasympathetic activity, and myogenic stimulation, as well as endocrine, autocrine, and paracrine factors. Future work will be required to identify the mechanism(s) responsible for the reduction in peripheral vascular resistance. In the present study, FMD measurements occurred in the fasted state; thus, we cannot rule out an acute increase in vascular reactivity. Future studies should measure FMD (and other measures of endothelial function, eg, adhesion molecules and NO metabolites) in the postprandial state, to determine whether there is an acute effect of pistachio consumption on vascular reactivity. Two of the 3 positive studies of walnuts conducted their vascular assessments in the postprandial state, which may explain, in part, the discrepant results between the FMD effects of pistachios and walnuts. It also is possible that α-linolenic acid contained in walnuts is driving their effect on FMD or that differences in processing are responsible (walnuts are typically eaten raw, whereas pistachios are roasted).

Current dietary guidelines place an emphasis on foods that improve multiple CVD risk factors. Taken together with significant reductions in LDL cholesterol and oxidized LDL cholesterol observed in study participants, decreases in peripheral vascular resistance and SBP after the pistachio diets would be expected to lower CVD risk.

**Perspectives**

Epidemiological studies show that individuals who regularly consume nuts are at lower risk of cardiovascular morbidity and mortality compared with individuals who do not eat nuts regularly. The American Heart Association recommends consuming ≥4 servings per week of nuts, legumes, and seeds. The 2010 Dietary Guidelines for Americans advise consumption of a variety of high-protein plant foods, including unsalted nuts and seeds. Taken together with improvements in dyslipidemia and antioxidant activity reported previously, reductions in peripheral vascular constriction (and the resulting decrease in hemodynamic load) may be important contributors to lower CVD risk in nut consumers. Future studies should examine the underlying mechanisms for peripheral vasodilation reported here and also seek to identify the bioactive components in pistachios that mediate this response. Furthermore, given the cost of certain nuts and the energy density of nuts, additional research is needed to establish the lowest required dose to achieve a reduction in left ventricular workload.
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