Renal Sympathetic Denervation Provides Ventricular Rate Control But Does Not Prevent Atrial Electrical Remodeling During Atrial Fibrillation
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Abstract—Renal denervation (RDN) reduces renal efferent and afferent sympathetic activity thereby lowering blood pressure in resistant hypertension. The effect of modulation of the autonomic nervous system by RDN on atrial electrophysiology and ventricular rate control during atrial fibrillation (AF) is unknown. Here we report a reduction of ventricular heart rate in a patient with permanent AF undergoing RDN. Subsequently, we investigated the effect of RDN on AF-induced shortening of atrial effective refractory period, AF inducibility, and ventricular rate control during AF maintained by rapid atrial pacing in 12 pigs undergoing RDN (n=7) or sham procedure (n=5). During sinus rhythm, RDN reduced heart rate (RR-interval, 708±12 versus 577±19 ms; P=0.0021) and increased atrioventricular node conduction time (PQ-interval, 112±12 versus 88±9 ms; P=0.0001). Atrial tachypacing for 30 minutes increased AF inducibility and decreased AF cycle length. This was not influenced by RDN. RDN reduced ventricular rate during AF episodes by ≈24% (119±9 versus 158±19 bpm; P=0.0001). AF episodes were shorter after RDN compared with sham (12±3 versus 34±4 s; P=0.0091), but atrial effective refractory period was not modified by RDN. RDN reduced heart rate and reduced atrioventricular node conduction time during sinus rhythm and provided rate control during AF. AF-induced atrial electrical remodeling, AF inducibility, and AF cycle length were not modified, but duration of AF episodes was shorter after RDN. Modulation of the autonomic nervous system by RDN might provide rate control and reduce susceptibility to AF. Whether RDN may provide rate control in a larger number of patients with AF deserves further clinical studies. (Hypertension. 2013;61:225-231.)
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Atrial fibrillation (AF) is a frequent complication of long-standing hypertension and represents the most common cardiac arrhythmia causing significant morbidity and mortality.1-3 During AF, normal atrioventricular (AV) node conduction leads to a rapid and irregular ventricular rate, resulting in an impairment of left ventricular function and exercise capacity.4 Rate control can be achieved by drugs modulating the autonomic nervous system, for example, by β-blockers. Genetic inhibition of inhibitory Gα protein in the AV node reduced heart rate (HR) and prevented AF-associated reduction of cardiac function in a porcine model for persistent AF.5 In addition, AF causes atrial electrical remodeling mainly characterized by a pronounced atrial effective refractory period (AERP) shortening perpetuating AF.6 Alterations in the autonomic nervous system may influence AF-induced atrial electrical remodeling and atrial arrhythmogenesis.7-9 Catheter-based renal denervation (RDN) is a new therapeutic approach to reduce sympathetic activity and blood pressure (BP) and to improve obstructive sleep apnea severity and glucose metabolism in resistant hypertension.10-15 However, the effect of RDN on ventricular HR response during AF (rate control) and AF-induced electrical remodeling is unknown.

Herein, we report on the effect of catheter-based RDN, shown previously to reduce renal and whole body sympathetic activity,12-14 on rate control in a patient with permanent AF and resistant hypertension. Subsequently, we investigated the effect of denervation of the afferent and efferent renal sympathetic nerves in a pig model with short-term AF maintained by rapid atrial pacing.

Methods

Catheter-Based RDN in a Patient With AF

The patient gave written informed consent. Catheter-based RDN was performed as described previously.11-15 Renal angiogram was performed via femoral access to exclude renal artery stenosis. The treatment catheter (Symplicity Catheter System, Ardian/Medtronic USA)
Atrial responses to the pacing procedure were visualized by monophasic action potential (MAP) recordings from the endocardium of the right atrium by a MAP pacing catheter (combined MAP and stimulation catheter, 7F catheter, Foehr Medical Instruments GMBH, Sheeheim, Germany). The catheter was inserted via a femoral vein. The tip of the catheter was advanced to the lateral right atrium to record a stable and sharp MAP signal. Determination of the diastolic pacing threshold (0.5–1.0 mV) before each tracheal occlusion maneuver revealed no significant changes during the experimental period. A significant reduction of a reproducible postapneic BP rise and the absence (<5% change) of a decrease in renal blood flow induced by tracheal occlusion for 2 minutes were taken as evidence of the completeness of RDN.17 Sham surgical procedure with kidney exposition without RDN was performed in the 5 other pigs serving as time controls.

**Electrophysiological Examinations in Pigs**

Atrial responses to the pacing procedure were visualized by monophasic action potential (MAP) recordings from the endocardium of the right atrium by a MAP pacing catheter (combined MAP and stimulation catheter, 7F catheter, Foehr Medical Instruments GMBH, Sheeheim, Germany). The catheter was inserted via a femoral vein. The tip of the catheter was advanced to the lateral right atrium to record a stable and sharp MAP signal. Determination of the diastolic pacing threshold (0.5–1.0 mV) before each tracheal occlusion maneuver revealed no significant changes during the experimental period. A train of 10 basic stimuli (S1, pulse duration 1 ms) at twice diastolic pacing threshold was followed by an extra stimulus (S2) starting at ≤50 ms below the expected AERP with a 5-ms increment (UHS 20, universal heart stimulator; Biotronik, Berlin, Germany). The shortest coupling interval able to elicit a propagated atrial response was taken as the AERP. AERP was measured at different stimulation frequencies (basic cycle length, 240, 300, and 400 ms) before and after RDN, as described previously.14 The AV node effective refractory periods (basic cycle length, 240, 300, and 400 ms) before and after RDN were measured at different cycle lengths during AF the AA- and RR-intervals, respectively, were measured over a 5-second interval and averaged.15 All in all, 5 consecutive AF episodes per animal and per time point have been analyzed and averaged.

**Statistics**

Data are presented as mean±SEM. For comparisons of single repeated measures only, a paired Student t test was used. For multiple repeated-measures comparisons with the same baseline, repeated-measures 2-way ANOVA was used followed by the Dunnet test to compare individual mean difference if ANOVA was significant. A P value <0.05 was considered significant. For all of the statistical calculations software Everstat version 5 based on SAS 8 was used.

**Results**

**Clinical Observations: Effect of RDN in a Patient With Permanent AF**

The patient was a 71-year-old, severely hypertensive male with a history of resistant hypertension for >20 years, 2-vessel coronary artery disease, and left ventricular hypertrophy. Secondary causes of hypertension had been excluded systematically several times. Despite full-dose antihypertensive treatment with a calcium channel blocker (lecaridinepine 10 mg daily), an angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitor (enalapril 40 mg daily), a β-blocker (bisoprolol 10 mg daily), a hydrochlorothiazide (25 mg), a loop diuretic (torsemide 10 mg), and an angiotensin receptor blocker (olmesartan 40 mg), the patient was poorly controlled (office BP at baseline, 172/90 mm Hg; 24-hour BP, 156/90 mm Hg, nondipper). The patient was in permanent AF with a baseline resting HR of 88 bpm. Twenty-four–hour Holter ECG revealed AF with a mean HR of 91 bpm and frequent polymorphic premature ventricular beats (5085 per day). The patient subsequently underwent catheter-based RDN of both renal arteries. There were no procedural or periprocedural complications. At 3, 6, and 12 months of follow-up, office BP was reduced by 31/11, 28/12, and 29/11 mm Hg, respectively. The office BP reductions were confirmed by ambulatory BP monitoring: 6 and 12 months after RDN, 24-hour BP decreased by 21/3 mm Hg and 19/11 mm Hg, respectively. Resting HRs at 3, 6, and 12 months were reduced to 64 (~24 bpm), 69 (~19 bpm), and 61 (~27 bpm). Twenty-four-hour mean ventricular HR decreased by 20 and 31 bpm at 6 and 12 months of follow-up, indicating rate control after RDN. Other than the reductions in resting and 24-hour HRs, the numbers of premature ventricular beats were 483 and 230 per day, demonstrating a significant decline. The patient underwent cardiopulmonary exercise testing before and 3 months after RDN with measurement of BP and HR at resting, 25%, 50%, and 75% and maximum achieved workload. Three months after RDN, HR and BP (Figure 1) were reduced at all exercise levels, whereas the maximum workload increased from 150 to 157 W. Of note, no BP- or HR-influencing medications were added and no dose adjustments were made during follow-up.
Experimental Observations in Pigs: Electrophysiological Effects of RDN on ECG

Figure 2 shows ECG parameters in sham and RDN pigs during sinus rhythm. RDN reduced HR by ≈17% (sinus cycle length, 708±12 versus 577±19 ms in sham; \( P=0.0021 \)), and PQ-interval was prolonged by ≈29% (PQ-interval, 112±12 versus 88±9 ms in sham; \( P=0.0001 \)), whereas P-wave duration was not changed by RDN.

Effect of RDN on Atrial Refractoriness, Electrical Remodeling, and AV Nodal Conduction

Figure 3 shows the effects of sham procedure (Figure 3A) and bilateral RDN (Figure 3B) on AERP at baseline and on atrial tachypacing-induced AERP shortening at different stimulation frequencies (basic cycle lengths, 240, 300, and 400 ms). RDN and sham procedure did not significantly influence AERP at baseline, and AERP shortening induced by atrial tachypacing (electrical remodeling) was not modified by RDN. AV node effective refractory period (240±7 versus 220±9 ms; \( P<0.01 \)) and antegrade Wenckebach point (222±8 versus 192±5 ms; \( P<0.01 \)) were prolonged by RDN.

Effect of RDN on Ventricular Rate Response and Atrial Refractoriness During AF

Figure 4 shows atrial (Figure 4A) and ventricular (Figure 4B) cycle length during AF induced by a premature stimulus after 15 and 30 minutes of atrial tachypacing in sham and RDN. Ventricular HR during AF was reduced by ≈24% in RDN (119±9 versus 158±19 bpm; \( P=0.0001 \)), whereas atrial cycle length was not different between the groups. On the right, representative original registration of the ECG and atrial multiple action potentials during AF induced by a premature stimulus after 30 minutes of atrial tachypacing in sham (Figure 4C) and after RDN (Figure 4D) is shown.

Effect of RDN on AF

Figure 5A shows representative atrial multiple action potentials during AERP measurement and during AF induced by the premature stimulus (S2) after 30 minutes of atrial tachypacing. Increased AF inducibility after atrial tachypacing was not changed by RDN (Figure 5B). AF cycle length (Figure 5C) after 30 minutes of atrial tachypacing was decreased compared with baseline and not further modulated by RDN. However, after 30 minutes of atrial tachypacing, duration of induced AF episodes (Figure 5D) was significantly shortened by RDN (12±3 versus 34±4 s; \( P=0.0091 \)).

Effect of RDN on BP

RDN did not influence normotensive systolic BP significantly when compared with sham (102±4 versus 108±3 mmHg in sham; \( P=0.7992 \)).

Discussion

RDN provided rate control by reducing resting HR, 24-hour HR, and HR during exercise in a patient with permanent AF other than marked reduction of BP and polymorphic premature ventricular beats. This observation motivated a subsequently conducted experimental pig study. RDN reduced HR as well as AV nodal conduction in pigs during sinus rhythm, prolonged AV node effective refractory period and antegrade Wenckebach point, and provided efficient rate control during induced AF. Duration of induced AF episodes was shortened after RDN when compared with sham. However, atrial tachypacing-induced AERP shortening and intra-atrial conduction (P-wave duration), increased AF inducibility (electrical remodeling), and BP was not modified.

The autonomic nervous system affects electrophysiological properties like chronotropy and dromotropy. 19 In the present study, RDN reduced HR during sinus rhythm, potentially because of alterations in sympathetic activity by RDN. This is of interest because HR reduction has become a therapeutic target in many cardiovascular diseases.20 The Systolic Heart Failure Treatment With the If Inhibitor Ivabradine Trial has shown a reduction by 18% of cardiovascular death and hospitalization for heart failure in patients with systolic heart failure, in whom a 5-bpm higher HR at baseline corresponded...
with a 15% higher risk.\textsuperscript{21,22} In addition, RDN modified AV nodal conduction time, AV node effective refractory period, and antegrade Wenckebach point, whereas P-wave duration was unchanged. AV conduction slowing by RDN resulted in decreased ventricular rate in a patient with permanent AF and in pigs with induced AF by \approx 24\%. The effect is comparable to pharmacological rate control\textsuperscript{23} and AV gene therapy in recent studies.\textsuperscript{5} However, pharmacological rate control in AF is limited by reduced efficacy, adverse effects, and safety issues in a large amount of patients.\textsuperscript{24} Nonpharmacological approaches like AV node vagal stimulation, moderate physical exercise, and genetic inhibition of inhibitory G\textsubscript{\alpha} protein in the AV node have been successfully tried to overcome these limitations.\textsuperscript{5,23,26} Our data indicate that RDN may provide an additional promising strategy for rate control in AF. This rate control effect was observed in a hypertensive patient with permanent AF other than marked BP reductions. However, rate control by RDN appears to be independent of changes in BP, because BP was not changed in normotensive pigs in our study.

AERP shortening induced by AF maintained by atrial tachypacing (electrical remodeling) was not modified by RDN. In a goat model of AF, Wijffels et al.\textsuperscript{6} showed that artificial maintenance of AF leads to a marked shortening of the AERP, a reversion of its physiological rate adaptation, and a decrease in AF cycle length together with increased inducibility and stability of AF (AF begets AF). This atrial electrical remodeling during AF was not mediated by changes in autonomic tone, because it was not prevented by atropine or \beta-receptor blockade in goats.\textsuperscript{27} However, rapid atrial pacing

\begin{figure}
\centering
\includegraphics[width=\textwidth]{figure3}
\caption{Effect of renal denervation (RDN) and sham operation on atrial refractoriness and on atrial tachypacing-induced atrial effective refractory period (AERP) shortening. Effect of sham operation (SHAM; A) and bilateral RDN (B) on AERP at baseline (square), 1.5 hours after sham operation or RDN (triangle), and after 30 minutes of atrial tachypacing (diamond) at different stimulation frequencies (basic cycle lengths [BCL], 240, 300, and 400 ms) in pigs.}
\end{figure}
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\caption{Ventricular and atrial cycle length during atrial fibrillation (AF) in sham and renal denervation (RDN). Atrial cycle length (CL; A) and ventricular CL (B) during atrial fibrillation after 30 minutes of atrial tachypacing in sham-operated pigs (SHAM) pigs with bilateral RDN. Original registration of electrocardiogram (ECG) recordings and atrial multiple action potentials during AF induced by a premature stimulus after 30 minutes of atrial tachypacing in (C) SHAM and (D) RDN pigs.}
\end{figure}
failed to shorten the AERP in dogs with ganglionated plexi ablation or autonomic blockade. This suggests that the intrinsic cardiac autonomic nervous system may contribute to the pathophysiology of atrial electrical remodeling. In a recent study we showed that sensitivity of ganglionated plexi (representatives of the intrinsic cardiac autonomic nervous system) was not modulated by RDN. Other mechanisms beyond changes in autonomic tone, which are not modulated by RDN, seem to play a more relevant role for atrial electrical remodeling during AF. Atrial electrical remodeling results mainly from calcium overload induced by frequent depolarizations (rapid atrial pacing), leading to alterations of ion currents, especially decreased L-type calcium current, which subsequently shortens the atrial action potential duration and refractoriness.

Although AF-induced AERP shortening and AF cycle length during induced AF were unchanged after RDN, duration of induced AF episodes was shorter. This suggests that mechanisms other than atrial refractoriness account for shorter AF episodes after RDN. Unchanged P-wave duration excludes pronounced changes in intra-atrial conduction. There is evidence suggesting that the autonomic nervous system plays an important role in both the initiation and the maintenance of AF in humans. RDN may display comparable effects. In addition, the activity of the intracardiac autonomic nervous system may be increased by rapid atrial pacing. Modulation of the autonomic nervous system has been shown to influence neural remodeling during AF. Thoracic epidural anesthesia reduced afferent and efferent sympathetic nerve impulse and prevented sustained AF promoted by rapid atrial pacing. This was associated with inhibition of atrial nerve sprouting. In contrast, cryoablation of sympathovagal nerves did not achieve the same effect in a similar model. These data suggest that a blockade of afferent and efferent sympathetic fibers is more effective than elimination of cardiac efferent nerve fibers by ablation alone. Ablation of the afferent and efferent renal sympathetic nerves by RDN has been shown to reduce renal and whole body sympathetic activity. Attenuation of atrial tachycardia-induced structural remodeling cannot account for shorter AF episodes after RDN in our study because the structural changes of the atria would require at least several days of pacing. Importantly, other than reduced inducible AF duration, increased AF inducibility by applied premature atrial stimuli was not influenced by RDN. Whether RDN can inhibit spontaneous triggers for AF (eg, spontaneous premature atrial extra beats) remains unknown.
The first supportive data for potential antiarrhythmic effect of acute RDN have been published recently. Ukena et al.\(^{18}\) reported reduced ventricular tachyarrhythmias in patients with electrical storm and congestive heart failure after catheter-based RDN. In addition, RDN inhibited pronounced shortening of AERP and increased AF susceptibility in a pig model for obstructive sleep apnea.\(^{30}\) Whether RDN may provide rate control in a larger number of patients with AF deserves further clinical studies.

**Limitations**

One might suggest that catheter-based RDN does possibly not completely abrogate renal sympathetic nerves, as indicated by a norepinephrine spillover reduction by \(\approx 50\%\). Herein, we performed combined surgical and chemical renal nerve ablation, which might be more complete compared with the catheter-based approach. However, in pilot studies, it has been shown that norepinephrine reduction in the kidney was similar to catheter-based RDN and surgical RDN by \(\approx 60\%\) (Paul A. Sobotka, personal communication, unpublished data). In addition, spontaneous occurrence of AF was too rare for a systematic evaluation. In patients with AF, Holter monitoring showed that paroxysmal AF is induced by spontaneous premature atrial beats in \(\approx 74.3\%\).\(^{39}\) Therefore, we applied a premature beat to induce AF, representing a good parameter to characterize atrial vulnerability.\(^{6,7,16,17,28}\) Moreover, only short-term effects of RDN could be investigated through the experimental approach used in the animals of this study. The long-term effects of RDN on AF and its recurrence are still to be explored in animal models for permanent AF.

**Conclusions and Perspectives**

During sinus rhythm, RDN reduces HR and prolongs AV nodal conduction. AV conduction slowing by RDN resulted in efficient rate control during AF and might be useful antiarrhythmic effects without changing atrial refractoriness and nodal conduction. A V conduction slowing by RDN resulted in efficient rate control during AF and might be useful antiarrhythmic effects without changing atrial refractoriness and nodal conduction.
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Novelty and Significance

What Is New?

- RDN provides efficient rate control during AF and reduces duration of AF episodes. However, AF-induced AERP shortening, P-wave duration, and AF inducibility (electrical remodeling) were not altered.

What Is Relevant?

- Modulation of the autonomic system by RDN displays rate control during AF and acute antiarrhythmic effects without changing atrial refractoriness or blood pressure.

Summary

Modulation of the autonomic nervous system by RDN is useful to reduce susceptibility to AF in patients with paroxysmal AF and provides efficient rate control during AF, a common comorbidity in hypertension.