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Abstract—In animal models, reduced nephron mass impairs renal arteriolar autoregulation, increasing vulnerability of the remaining nephrons to elevated systemic blood pressure (BP). A feature of the resulting glomerular capillary hypertension is an increase in glomerular permeability. We sought evidence of a similar remnant nephron effect in human chronic kidney disease. In participants from the United States National Health and Nutrition Examination Surveys 1999 to 2010 (N=23 710), we examined the effect of reduced estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR) on the relationship between brachial artery BP and albumin permeability. Renal albumin permeability increased exponentially with systolic BP >110 mmHg, and this association was modified by independent interactions with both excretory impairment and diabetes mellitus. Each 10 mmHg increase in systolic BP was accompanied by an increase in fractional albumin excretion of 1.10-, 1.11-, 1.17-, 1.22-, and 1.38-fold for participants with eGFR ≥90, 90>eGFR ≥60, 60>eGFR ≥45, 45>eGFR ≥30, and eGFR <30 mL/min/1.73 m², respectively, adjusted for age, sex, race, antihypertensive use, eGFR category, diabetes mellitus, smoking, history of cardiovascular disease, body mass index, and C-reactive protein. A 10 mmHg systolic BP increment was associated with increases in fractional albumin excretion of 1.10- and 1.21-fold in nondiabetic and diabetic participants, respectively. Using urine albumin creatinine ratio as an alternative measure of albumin leak in eGFR-adjusted analyses gave the same conclusions. Our findings are consistent with the presence of a remnant nephron effect in human kidney disease. Future trials should consider the nephroprotective benefits of systolic BP lowering in kidney disease populations stratified by eGFR. (Hypertension. 2015;65:510-516. DOI: 10.1161/HYPERTENSIONAHA.114.04656.) • Online Data Supplement
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glomerulur and sensitize to hypertensive renal injury. The extent to which diabetes mellitus is accompanied by a greater relative increase in FE_{alb} for a given BP increment was therefore also studied.

Methods

Study Population
The US NHANES uses a multistage sampling strategy to create a survey cohort representative of the noninstitutionalized US population. Oversampling of demographic subgroups (non-Hispanic Blacks, Mexican Americans, and those aged >60 years) increases the reliability of prevalence estimates in these groups, and sample weights are assigned to relate the selected sample to the US population.

For this analysis, data were combined from nonpregnant participants in the NHANES cycles 1999 to 2010 attending a mobile examination center. Participants aged ≥20 years with complete data for BP, weight, height, serum and urine albumin and creatinine, smoking status, diabetes mellitus status, and history of cardiovascular disease were included. Diabetes mellitus was defined as a response Yes to the question, Have you ever been told by a doctor or other health professional that you have diabetes or sugar diabetes or current use of insulin or oral hypoglycemic agents. Current smoking status was classified according to the question, Have you used tobacco or nicotine in the last 5 days, and a history of cardiovascular disease was defined by Yes to Have you ever been told that you had coronary heart disease/angina/heart attack. Race and ethnicity were self-reported and were categorized for analysis as non-Hispanic White, non-Hispanic Black, Hispanic, or other. Age was categorized into 10 years intervals. Antihypertensive medication constituents were classified using the Lexicon Plus (Cerner Multum Inc.) drug database.

The Research Ethics Review Board of the National Center for Health Statistics approved the NHANES data collection procedures, and written consent was obtained from all participants.

Measurements
Blood pressure was measured in a sitting position after 5 minutes quiet resting using a mercury sphygmomanometer. After ascertainment of the maximal inflation level by radial artery palpation, 3 pairs of systolic/diastolic BP measurements were taken and a fourth attempt if ≥1 was unsuccessful. The average of the readings was used, with exclusion of the first successful reading. Where only 1 reading was successful, this was taken as the average. A value of zero was not allowed in this analysis. Further details of the BP measurement protocol are provided in the NHANES Examination Procedures Manual. Body mass index was calculated as weight/height^2 and categorized for analyses into intervals separated by established cut points of 18.5, 25, 30, 35, and 40 kg/m^2.

A venous blood sample and a random clean-catch urine sample were obtained, allowing calculation of FE_{alb} as (urine albumin concentration × serum creatinine concentration)/urine creatinine concentration × serum albumin concentration). Urine ACR was also calculated and used as an estimate of total renal albumin excretion rate.

Serum creatinine concentration was recalibrated across cycles and the Chronic Kidney Disease Epidemiology Equation (eGFR) categorized using cut points at 90, 60, 45, and 30 mL/min/1.73 m^2.

Statistical Analyses
Analyses were performed using SAS version 9.3 (SAS Institute, Cary, NC) incorporating participant sample weights as per NHANES analytic guidelines. Taylor series linearization was used to estimate standard errors, accounting for the sampling design. Characteristics of the US population represented by these participants are shown according to eGFR category in Table 1. In univariate analyses, lower eGFR was accompanied by higher systolic BP, lower diastolic BP, and greater pulse pressure. Median urine ACR was 1.0-, 1.5-, 2.7-, and 13.3-fold greater at 90>eGFR≥60, 60>eGFR≥45, 45>eGFR≥30, and eGFR<30 c.f. eGFR≥90 mL/min/1.73 m^2, respectively. The accompanying increases in FE_{alb} were 1.2-, 2.2-, 5.6-, and 51.2-fold. Thus, predictably, increases in total albuminuria observed at lower eGFR signify a much greater increase in albumin permeability because more albumin must leak per functioning nephron.

BP and Measures of Renal Albumin Leak
Both FE_{alb} and ACR were positively skewed and were log-transformed for parametric analyses. In univariate analysis, log-transformed FE_{alb} and ACR increased progressively with increasing systolic pressure >110 mm Hg, whereas diastolic BP showed a bimodal relationship to FE_{alb}/ACR with a nadir at 70 mm Hg (shown for FE_{alb} in Figure 1).

Considering these findings and with a view to maintaining a parsimonious model that could be interpreted in clinical terms, the relationships between BP and FE_{alb}/ACR were examined using 2-slope linear regression models with inflection points at 110 mm Hg for systolic BP and 70 mm Hg for diastolic BP. This approach performed better than single-slope regression for each BP component and in the combined models (combined systolic and diastolic 2-slopes model for FE_{alb} R^2=0.104 versus single slopes model R^2=0.089). Back-transformed coefficients from these models are shown in Table 2. Increases in FE_{alb} and ACR accompanying systolic BP increments >110 mm Hg remained highly significant (P<0.001) when adjusted for sex, age, race, eGFR, C-reactive protein, diabetes mellitus, body mass index, number of antihypertensives, angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitor use, angiotensin receptor blocker use, renin inhibitor use, smoking status, and history of cardiovascular disease.

In the unadjusted model, increasing diastolic BP at <70 mm Hg was accompanied by a significantly lower FE_{alb} and ACR. However, adjustment for eGFR and age (both predictors of pulse pressure and albumin leak in univariate
analyses) abolished this association and revealed significant positive associations of increasing diastolic BP >70 mm Hg with FE alb and ACR. Pulse pressure was not itself included as a covariate in the adjusted models because, although increments at >40 mm Hg were associated with increases in FE alb/ACR, pulse pressure was not an independent predictor in models containing systolic and diastolic BP.

### Modification of the Relationship Between Systolic BP and Renal Albumin Leak by Excretory Impairment and Diabetes Mellitus

Because systolic BP showed the most consistent association with measures of albumin leak, we examined the interactions of excretory impairment and diabetes mellitus with the systolic BP–FE alb relationship. First, we determined whether there were different thresholds at which systolic BP increments were accompanied by increasing FE alb in participants with and without excretory impairment or diabetes mellitus. To this end, linear regression was performed with systolic BP entered as a separate categorical variable (in 10 mm Hg intervals) for participants with eGFR ≥ 60 versus <60 mL/min/1.73 m^2 and, in a second model, with versus without diabetes mellitus.

The back-transformed coefficients for each systolic BP category from these models are presented in Figure 2. Among participants with eGFR≥60 versus <60 mL/min/1.73 m^2, increments in systolic BP were associated with increases in FE alb that were statistically significant for all categories ≥120 mm Hg (versus
Table 2. Linear Regression Models of the Relationship Between BP Components and Measures of Renal Albumin Leak

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>BP Category</th>
<th>Fold-Change per 1 SD Increase in BP Component</th>
<th>95% CI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Systolic &lt;110 mm Hg</td>
<td>FEalbum</td>
<td>0.94 (0.84, 1.05); P=0.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Systolic ≥110 mm Hg</td>
<td>FEalbum</td>
<td>1.58 (1.53, 1.63); P&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diastolic &lt;70 mm Hg</td>
<td>FEalbum</td>
<td>0.88 (0.84, 0.92); P&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diastolic ≥70 mm Hg</td>
<td>FEalbum</td>
<td>1.02 (0.97, 1.06); P=0.51</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Adjusted model

| Systolic <110 mm Hg | FEalbum | 0.95 (0.84, 1.04); P=0.22 | 0.93 (0.84, 1.04); P=0.23 |
| Systolic ≥110 mm Hg | FEalbum | 1.29 (1.25, 1.32); P<0.001 | 1.30 (1.26, 1.33); P<0.001 |
| Diastolic <70 mm Hg | FEalbum | 1.01 (0.98, 1.05); P=0.57 | 1.01 (0.98, 1.05); P=0.53 |
| Diastolic ≥70 mm Hg | FEalbum | 1.14 (1.09, 1.19); P<0.001 | 1.13 (1.09, 1.18); P<0.001 |

Variable

| Diabetes mellitus | FEalbum | 1.88 (1.75 2.02); P<0.001 | 1.90 (1.76, 2.04); P<0.001 |
| 60<eGFR<90 | FEalbum | 1.12 (1.08, 1.16); P<0.001 | 0.88 (0.84, 0.91); P<0.001 |
| 45<eGFR<60 | FEalbum | 1.64 (1.49, 1.81); P<0.001 | 0.97 (0.88, 1.07); P=0.49 |
| 30<eGFR<45 | FEalbum | 3.63 (2.99, 4.40); P<0.001 | 1.61 (1.34, 1.95); P<0.001 |
| eGFR<30 | FEalbum | 27.60 (17.91, 42.53); P<0.001 | 6.68 (4.55, 9.82); P<0.001 |

ACR indicates albumin:creatinine ratio; BMI, body mass index; BP, blood pressure; CI, confidence interval; eGFR, estimated glomerular filtration rate; and FEalbum, fractional albumin excretion.

*19.5 mm Hg systolic and 12.5 mm Hg diastolic.
†Covariates in the adjusted model: systolic and diastolic BP (2-slope model), age, sex, race, diabetes mellitus, eGFR category, BMI, C-reactive protein, history of cardiovascular disease, number of prescribed antihypertensives, use of angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors, use of angiotensin receptor blockers, use of renin inhibitors, and smoking status.
‡eGFR<90 mL/min/1.73 m².

100–110 mm Hg). There was no evidence that in participants with eGFR<60 mL/min/1.73 m², the threshold for the association between systolic BP and FEalbum was lower. However, the slope of the relationship was steeper; a systolic BP of >170 versus 100–110 mm Hg was associated with 4.5-fold and 2.2-fold adjusted increases in FEalbum in participants with eGFR<60 and ≥60 mL/min/1.73 m², respectively.

Among participants without diabetes mellitus, significant increases in FEalbum were evident at systolic BP categories ≥130 mm Hg. In the diabetic population, significant increases began at ≥120 mm Hg, but the confidence intervals for the 120–130 mm Hg coefficients in diabetic/nondiabetic participants overlapped, and so a statistically significant difference in threshold was not proven. The slope of the relationship between systolic BP and FEalbum was steeper in diabetic versus nondiabetic participants. When ACR was used as the measure of renal albumin leak, the findings were the same as those shown for FEalbum in Figure 2 (not shown).

To examine the independent effects of diabetes mellitus and varying degrees of excretory impairment on the systolic BP–FEalbum relationship, interaction terms were entered into the linear regression model. Back-transformed coefficients from this model are presented in Table 3. In the whole population, a 10 mm Hg increase in systolic BP was associated with an adjusted increase in FEalbum of 1.10-fold. There was a significant interaction between excretory impairment and systolic BP, evident at eGFR<60 mL/min/1.73 m²; each 10 mm Hg increase in systolic BP was accompanied by a further adjusted increase in FEalbum of 1.01-, 1.07-, 1.11-, and 1.26-fold for participants with eGFR<60, 60≤eGFR<90, 90≤eGFR<120, and eGFR≥120 mL/min/1.73 m², respectively. Thus, the total increment in FEalbum associated with a systolic BP increase of 10 mm Hg (multiplying the above coefficients) was 1.10-, 1.11-, 1.17-, 1.22-, and 1.38-fold at eGFR<90, 90≤eGFR<120, 60≤eGFR<90, 90≤eGFR<120, and eGFR≥120 mL/min/1.73 m², respectively.

In the presence of diabetes mellitus, there was a further 1.10-fold increase in FEalbum associated with each 10 mm Hg systolic BP increment. This equates to increases in FEalbum of 1.10- and 1.21-fold per 10 mm Hg systolic BP for nondiabetic versus diabetic participants at eGFR<90 mL/min/1.73 m²; at eGFR<30 mL/min/1.73 m², the increases were 1.38- and 1.51-fold, respectively. There was no evidence of synergism between excretory impairment and diabetes mellitus in their interaction with systolic BP; product terms for diabetes mellitus × eGFR category × systolic BP were not significant when added to the model (not shown).

The findings with regard to ACR were the same as with FEalbum (Table 3). To confirm that the interaction between excretory impairment and systolic BP was not confounded by the greater...
Table 3. Interactions Between eGFR Category, Diabetes Mellitus, Systolic BP, and Renal Albumin Leak

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Systolic BP Term</th>
<th>FE\textsubscript{alb} Fold Change (95% CI) per 10 mm Hg Increase in Systolic BP</th>
<th>ACR Fold Change (95% CI) per 10 mm Hg Increase in Systolic BP</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Whole population coefficient</td>
<td>1.10 (1.08, 1.12); P&lt;0.001</td>
<td>1.11 (1.09, 1.13); P&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Further increment from interactions:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(60≤eGFR&lt;90) × systolic BP</td>
<td>1.01 (0.98, 1.03); P=0.62</td>
<td>1.00 (0.98, 1.03); P=0.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(45≤eGFR&lt;60) × systolic BP</td>
<td>1.07 (1.02, 1.11); P=0.004</td>
<td>1.06 (1.02, 1.11); P=0.007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(30≤eGFR&lt;45) × systolic BP</td>
<td>1.11 (1.02, 1.21); P=0.015</td>
<td>1.10 (1.02, 1.20); P=0.021</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(eGFR&lt;30) × systolic BP</td>
<td>1.26 (1.09, 1.45); P=0.002</td>
<td>1.23 (1.09, 1.40); P=0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes mellitus × systolic BP</td>
<td>1.10 (1.06, 1.15); P&lt;0.001</td>
<td>1.09 (1.05, 1.14); P&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Model adjusted for systolic and diastolic BP (2-slope model), age, sex, race, diabetes mellitus, eGFR, BMI, C-reactive protein, history of cardiovascular disease, number of prescribed antihypertensives, use of angiotensin converting enzyme inhibitors, use of angiotensin receptor blockers, use of renin inhibitors and smoking status.

ACR indicates albumin:creatinine ratio; BMI, body mass index; BP, blood pressure; CI, confidence interval; eGFR, estimated glomerular filtration rate; FE\textsubscript{alb}, Fractional excretion of albumin.

The prevalence of diabetes mellitus in participants with low eGFR, the analysis was repeated with exclusion of diabetic participants; this did not materially change the findings (not shown). The interaction between excretory impairment and systolic BP also did not seem to reflect a modifying effect of the greater prevalence of antihypertensive use or cardiovascular disease in participants with lower eGFR; interaction terms for antihypertensive use × systolic BP and cardiovascular disease history × systolic BP were not significant, and their forced inclusion into the model did not change the findings (not shown).

Interactions between excretory impairment/diabetes mellitus and the systolic BP–FE\textsubscript{alb} relationship were evident in regression analyses performed separately for men and women (Table S1 in the online-only Data Supplement). Although the number of participants with excretory impairment was insufficient to allow separate analyses within all racial/ethnic categories, confining the analysis to White participants gave the same findings (not shown). Therefore, the interactions of excretory impairment and diabetes mellitus with systolic BP did not result from modifier effects of accompanying differences in race.

Conclusions

Our work demonstrates that at lower eGFR, a given increase in systolic BP is accompanied by a substantially greater relative increase in renal albumin permeability, consistent with a remnant nephron effect increasing transmission of systemic hydrostatic pressure to the glomerulus. This phenomenon was only evident at eGFR<60 mL/min/1.73 m\(^2\), in keeping with uninephrectomy animal studies where, despite afferent arteriolar vasodilation and increased renal perfusion, autoregulation is relatively preserved.\(^{18}\) Similarly, kidney donors typically manifest increased renal blood flow and hyperfiltration without progressive renal injury or heavy albuminuria.\(^{19,20}\) A doubling of the relative change in albumin permeability associated with a given systolic BP increment in the presence of diabetes mellitus may reflect impaired afferent arteriolar autoregulation reported to accompany diabetes mellitus as well as nephron loss.\(^{12}\)

An alternative explanation underlying the interactions of diabetes mellitus and excretory impairment with systolic BP is that arterial stiffness accompanying renal disease and diabetes mellitus results in a higher central arterial pressure at any given brachial systolic BP.\(^{21}\) However, pulse pressure, a surrogate marker of arterial stiffness, did not contribute significantly to the regression models when systolic and diastolic BP was included. Furthermore, in the Chronic Renal Insufficiency Cohort, adding pulse wave velocity measurement to systolic BP accounted for minimal additional variation in 24 hour proteinuria.\(^{22}\) Therefore, it would seem unlikely that the substantial change in the systolic BP–FE\textsubscript{alb} relationship at low eGFR is mediated entirely by arterial stiffness.

The strong relationship between albumin permeability and the systolic component of BP is supported by animal models showing systolic BP to be the trigger signal for the renal autoregulatory response.\(^{23}\) In these models, autoregulatory impairment at remnant nephrons manifests as a lowering of the systolic BP threshold at which elevated pressure is transmitted to the glomerular microcirculation, a phenomenon demonstrated primarily in terms of histological injury rather than albumin permeability per se.\(^{6}\) In our study, the slope of the relationship between systolic BP and albumin permeability was modified at lower eGFR, but there was no evidence of a shift in the systolic BP threshold associated with increased albumin permeability. Even at eGFR≥60 mL/min/1.73 m\(^2\), significant increases in FE\textsubscript{alb} were evident from systolic BP ≥120 mm Hg, well below the level likely to overwhelm autoregulation.\(^{5}\) This likely reflects vascular dysfunction predisposing to both albumin leak and higher systolic BP\(^{24}\) rather than a manifestation of glomerular capillary hypertension. Therefore, the absence of a demonstrated shift in the systolic BP threshold associated with increased albumin permeability does not contradict the premise that nephron loss impairs autoregulatory protection. In this heterogeneous clinical study population, other factors, such as genetic polymorphisms\(^{25}\) and renal macrovascular disease, may modulate the relationship between systemic BP and glomerular permeability; in fact, a proportion of individuals with hypertension and CKD maintain a normal albumin permeability. Therefore, it would not be surprising if a remnant nephron effect resulted in an altered slope of the systolic BP–FE\textsubscript{alb} relationship without a defined shift in threshold.

As a consequence of its cross-sectional nature, our analysis neither demonstrates that even markedly elevated systolic BP causes an increase in albumin permeability, nor proves that eGFR reductions sensitize the kidney to systolic BP increments. Nevertheless, the findings are consistent with pathophysiological paradigms established in animal models, indicating that tailoring nephroprotective antihypertensive strategies to the degree of excretory impairment could be helpful. This applies both to BP targets and the preferential use of angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors/angiotensin receptor blockers, also currently guided by absolute albuminuria.\(^{1}\) Of course, although nephroprotection is an important goal of BP control in CKD, macrovascular end points are a more
common occurrence than end-stage renal disease. Potential increased nephroprotective effects of systolic BP control at lower eGFR must therefore be considered in the context of macrovascular risks and benefits.

With regard to adding excretory function to CKD BP management algorithms alongside albuminuria, there is another issue that may be important and is highlighted by our findings: For a given total albumin excretion rate, subjects with reduced functioning nephron mass must have greater renal albumin permeability. The relationship between changes in ACR and FE_{alb} across the range of excretory function in the NHANES cohort is predictable, but demonstrates the difference between assessments of total albumin excretion rate and albumin permeability. In the absence of excretory impairment, a greater creatinine clearance is reported to be accompanied by a proportional increase in albumin excretion rate. This is what would be expected with normal albumin permeability, where increased filtration will reflect a greater convective driving force or diffusion area for albumin efflux. The prognostic/pathogenic implications of albumin permeability versus absolute albumin excretion are uncertain. However, to our knowledge, no explanation has been proposed which would make total albumin excretion rate a more logical assessment of intrarenal physiology (and BP targets) than albumin permeability.

Measuring the fractional excretion of a marker that does not freely cross the glomerular filtration barrier is the standard approach for assessing glomerular permselectivity. We used the fractional excretion of albumin relative to creatinine, which is readily determined from paired serum and urine samples. Because albumin undergoes reabsorption in the proximal tubule, FE_{alb} is admittedly not purely a measure of glomerular permeability, but rather reflects whole nephron albumin leak. Our use of the term permeability with regard to FE_{alb} must thus technically be interpreted as kidney permeability rather than glomerular permeability. The amount of albumin that is normally filtered and reabsorbed remains controversial, nevertheless, the relationship between albuminuria and BP is generally considered to reflect primarily changes in glomerular permeability. Although an increased relative contribution of tubular creatinine secretion in subjects with more severe excretory impairment may result in an underestimation of permeability increases, there is no reason to suppose this affects the relationship between systolic BP and eGFR-stratified relative changes in FE_{alb}.

A limitation of this work is the lack of characterization of the causes of CKD, which are clearly an important determinant of FE_{alb} and may influence the relationship between systolic BP and FE_{alb}. We also did not have ambulatory BP data or measures of arterial stiffness. However, a strength of the study is the use of a population-representative cohort containing participants with a spectrum of excretory function and mixed diabetes mellitus status, allowing us to determine how these factors affect the systolic-BP/FE_{alb} relationship.

Perspective
We find that in subjects with low eGFR, a given increment in systolic BP is associated with a greater relative increase in renal albumin permeability. This is consistent with animal models demonstrating vulnerability of the renal microvasculature to elevated BP when nephron mass is reduced. Future trials should examine the benefits of antihypertensive interventions in CKD populations stratified by excretory impairment as well as by albuminuria.
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**Novelty and Significance**

**What Is New?**

- Although animal models demonstrate increased renal vulnerability to hypertensive injury in the setting of reduced nephron mass, the extent to which this phenomenon operates in human chronic kidney disease is unknown.
- Albuminuria is a feature of renal hypertensive injury and is used to direct chronic kidney disease blood pressure (BP) targets, but the influence of excretory impairment on the association between BP and albuminuria has not previously been reported.

**What Is Relevant?**

- At lower estimated glomerular filtration rate, an increase in systolic BP is associated with a much greater relative increase in measures of albumin leak, consistent with increased sensitivity of surviving nephrons to BP.
- A similar phenomenon is evident in subjects with diabetes mellitus.

**Summary**

In a large representative general population sample, the relative increase in albumin permeability associated with a given systolic BP increment is much greater among subjects with excretory impairment or diabetes mellitus.
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**Table S1.** Interaction between eGFR category, diabetes, systolic BP and renal albumin leak by gender.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Systolic BP term</th>
<th>Fold change (95% CI) per 10mmHg systolic BP increase</th>
<th>( F_{\text{Ealb}} )</th>
<th>ACR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Men (n=12,095)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Whole population coefficient</td>
<td>1.13 (1.09, 1.17) p&lt;0.001</td>
<td>1.14 (1.10, 1.18) p&lt;0.001</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Further increment from interaction terms</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>((60\leq \text{eGFR}&lt;90) \times \text{systolic BP})</td>
<td>1.01 (0.98, 1.05) p=0.55</td>
<td>1.01 (0.97, 1.05) p=0.64</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>((45\leq \text{eGFR}&lt;60) \times \text{systolic BP})</td>
<td>1.11 (1.03, 1.20) p=0.007</td>
<td>1.10 (1.02, 1.19) p=0.014</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>((30\leq \text{eGFR}&lt;45) \times \text{systolic BP})</td>
<td>1.28 (1.08, 1.52) p=0.005</td>
<td>1.26 (1.07, 1.50) p=0.005</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>((\text{eGFR}&lt;30) \times \text{systolic BP})</td>
<td>1.06 (0.83, 1.37) p=0.63</td>
<td>1.09 (0.86, 1.37) p=0.49</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes \times \text{systolic BP}</td>
<td>1.09 (1.02, 1.17) p=0.017</td>
<td>1.08 (1.01, 1.16) p=0.025</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Women (n=11,615)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Whole population coefficient</td>
<td>1.09 (1.06, 1.12) p&lt;0.001</td>
<td>1.10 (1.07, 1.13) p&lt;0.001</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Further increment from interaction terms</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>((60\leq \text{eGFR}&lt;90) \times \text{systolic BP})</td>
<td>1.00 (0.97, 1.03) p=1.0</td>
<td>1.00 (0.97, 1.03) p=0.77</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>((45\leq \text{eGFR}&lt;60) \times \text{systolic BP})</td>
<td>1.06 (1.01, 1.11) p=0.020</td>
<td>1.06 (1.01, 1.11) p=0.025</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>((30\leq \text{eGFR}&lt;45) \times \text{systolic BP})</td>
<td>1.08 (0.98, 1.18) p=0.11</td>
<td>1.07 (0.98, 1.17) p=0.14</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>((\text{eGFR}&lt;30) \times \text{systolic BP})</td>
<td>1.34 (1.12, 1.61) p=0.002</td>
<td>1.30 (1.11, 1.51) p&lt;0.001</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes \times \text{systolic BP}</td>
<td>1.13 (1.08, 1.19) p&lt;0.001</td>
<td>1.13 (1.07, 1.18) p&lt;0.001</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Model adjusted for systolic and diastolic BP (2-slope model), age, gender, race, diabetes, eGFR, BMI, CRP, history of cardiovascular disease, number of prescribed antihypertensives, use of ACE inhibitors, use of angiotensin receptor blockers, use of renin inhibitors and smoking status.