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Adverse Impact of Sleep Restriction and Circadian Misalignment on Autonomic Function in Healthy Young Adults
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Abstract—Insufficient sleep and circadian rhythm disturbances have been each associated with adverse cardiovascular outcomes in epidemiological studies, but experimental evidence for a causal link is scarce. The present study compares the impact of circadian misalignment (CM) to circadian alignment (CA) on human autonomic function using a nonrandomized parallel group design to achieve the same total sleep time in both conditions. After baseline assessments (3 days with 10-hour bedtimes), 26 healthy young adults were assigned to sleep restriction (SR; eight 5-hour bedtimes) with either fixed nocturnal bedtimes (CA; n=13) or bedtimes delayed by 8.5 hours on 4 of the 8 days (CM; n=13). Daytime ambulatory blood pressure and heart rate (HR; CA, n=11; CM, n=10) and 24-hour urinary norepinephrine levels (CA, n=13; CM, n=13) were assessed at baseline and the end of SR. Nocturnal HR and HR variability were analyzed during sleep at baseline and during the fourth and seventh nights of SR (CA, n=8; CM, n=12). SR resulted in a significant increase in daytime HR in both groups, without changes in blood pressure. SR increased 24-hour urinary norepinephrine in the CM group (30±4 versus 21±2 μg), but not in the circadian alignment group (group×condition, P=0.005). In contrast to the lack of detectable impact of CM on daytime autonomic function, SR with CM elicited greater increases in nocturnal HR, as well as greater reductions in vagal indices of HR variability, than SR without CM (group×condition, P<0.05). In conclusion, SR and CM both result in impaired autonomic function that could lead, under chronic conditions, to enhanced cardiovascular risk.
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The proportion of short sleepers in the adult US population has increased significantly over the past few decades.¹ A recent review² highlights that the majority of epidemiological studies report a significant association between short sleep and hypertension. Several of these epidemiological studies have been prospective in nature, and a recent meta-analysis of longitudinal cohort studies reported that short sleep was associated with increased risk for hypertension.³ In contrast, data from well-controlled laboratory studies, thus far, have failed to support a cause and effect relationship between short sleep and hypertension.⁴ Meier-Ewert et al⁴ and Sauvet et al⁵ found that 10 and 6 days, respectively, of experimental sleep restriction (SR) did not change daytime arterial blood pressure (BP). Additionally, experimental SR has been shown to impair daytime heart rate (HR) or HR variability (HRV) in some,⁴,⁶ but not all,⁵,⁷ studies.

Circadian misalignment (CM) is a condition typically associated with SR⁴ and occurs when endogenous circadian rhythms are desynchronized from the sleep–wake and feeding cycles. During CM, a number of rhythms of autonomic activity and endocrine release remain locked to the master circadian pacemaker located in the suprachiasmatic nucleus of the hypothalamus, whereas multiple circadian oscillators located in peripheral tissues, including cardiac tissue and vascular smooth muscle, are partly synchronized by the timings of activity, sleep, and food intake.⁷ It is well recognized that shift work and jet lag are both associated with CM, but other factors such as chronotype, feeding habits, technological devices, and psychiatric health can influence CM.¹⁰ As such, the prevalence of acute, recurrent, or chronic CM is growing,¹¹ and this has been suggested to have adverse cardiovascular consequences. Forced desynchrony, the gold standard laboratory approach to examine CM, has been shown to increase daytime BP in humans.¹² However, this protocol involves reductions in total sleep time, and thus, the role of sleep loss in causing the cardiovascular alterations could not be fully elucidated.⁸
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The present study aims to determine the impact of CM on autonomic nervous system control of cardiovascular function. To this effect, we designed an SR protocol comparing healthy adults under conditions of circadian alignment (CA) versus CM, keeping the amount of daily SR identical. We hypothesized that SR would impair autonomic function and that these impairments would be potentiated by CM when compared with CA.

**Methods**

**Protocol and Participants**

The study complied with the Declaration of Helsinki, all participants signed a written informed consent, and the Institutional Review Board of the University of Chicago approved the protocol (Figure 1).

Participants included 26 young healthy men (n=19) and women (n=7) aged 20 to 39 years who have been described in a previous article that focused on glucose metabolism.12 The current article focuses on autonomic and cardiovascular outcomes that have not been reported. All subjects were free of endocrine, cardiovascular, psychiatric, and sleep disorders. Other exclusion criteria included medication use, smoking, excessive alcohol (>2 drinks/d) or caffeine consumption (>300 mg caffeine/d), shift work, or travelling across time zones during the past 2 months. Self-reported habitual sleep duration was 7.5 to 8.5 hours. Participants in each group were recruited from the community around the University of Chicago campus using the same advertisement materials. They were not pair-matched or group-matched. The CM and CA groups did not differ in sex distribution, age, or body mass index (BMI).12

Before the study, participants underwent a full in-laboratory polysomnography to exclude sleep apnea (apnea/hypopnea index >5 events/h) and periodic leg movement during sleep (>5 events/h).13 Participants were asked to follow standardized schedules (23:00–07:00 hours bedtimes) for a week before the study. Compliance was verified using wrist activity recordings (Actiwatch, MiniMitter Inc). In women, the study was initiated during the early follicular phase of the menstrual cycle.

The study followed a nonrandomized, parallel group design comparing 2 interventions, each involving ≈2 weeks of hospitalization. Both interventions (Figure 1) consisted of 3 days with 10-hour bedtimes (22:00–08:00 hours: BL1–BL3; baseline rested condition), followed by 8 days with 5-hour bedtimes (SR1–SR8), resulting in a total of 24 hours of lost sleep opportunity over 8 days. Bedtimes were either always centered at 03:00 hour (00:30–05:30 hours, CA) or delayed by 8.5 hours on 4 days (09:00–14:00 hours on days SR2–SR3, SR5–SR6; CM). Participants abstained from caffeinated beverages throughout the protocol. On days BL3 and SR8, 24-hour blood sampling for hormonal and metabolic assessments was performed at 15- to 30-minute intervals (for more details, see Leproult et al12).

**Sleep**

Sleep during each night was polygraphically recorded (Neurofax EEG-1100A, Nihon Kohden, Foothill Ranch, CA) using frontal, central, and occipital electroencephalographic channels, electrooculograms, submental electromyogram, ECG, chest and abdominal belts. Sleep recordings were visually scored in 30-s epochs in stages Wake, I, II, slow-wave sleep (SWS), and rapid eye movement (REM) sleep according to standardized criteria.14 Total sleep time was defined as the sum of the minutes of intra-sleep stages I, II, SWS, and REM.

**Ambulatory BP and HR**

BP and HR measurements were obtained from the nondominant arm at BL3 and SR8 while the subjects remained at bedrest. BP and HR were recorded at 15-minute intervals from 14:00 to 21:00 hour and again from 8:00 to 14:00 hour via an ambulatory monitoring device (Accutracker II, Suntech Medical Instruments, Morrisville, NC). The first hour of each measurement was not included in the analysis to eliminate possible artifacts related to the beginning of the recording (Figure 1). Each isolated measurement that corresponded to a peak or a drop >15 mm Hg or bpm over or below the previous and next measurements was considered to be a technical artifact and was interpolated. In 5 subjects, the ambulatory BP monitoring device failed to record multiple and consecutive measurements over ≥2 hours time frame. As such, these 5 individuals were excluded from the repeated-measure analysis, resulting in a total of 21 subjects with complete daytime ambulatory BP (CA, n=11; CM, n=10). Mean arterial pressure was estimated using the standard calculation of one third pulse pressure plus diastolic pressure.15

---

**Figure 1.** Schematic representation of the study protocol. BP indicates blood pressure; and HR, heart rate.
Urinary Norepinephrine
Urine norepinephrine (NE) was obtained over 3 time intervals (15:00–21:00, 21:00–9:00, and 9:00–15:00 hours) during BL3 and SR8 (Figure 1). Fractionated urinary NE was assayed using a high-performance liquid chromatography with electrochemical detection system (Coulome Electrode Array System; ESA, Inc.). Twenty-four-hour NE excretion and urinary NE levels for the 3 time intervals normalized per hour were computed.

HRV During Sleep
HRV analyses were performed during 1 baseline night (BL2) and 2 nights of nocturnal bedtime restriction (SR4 and SR7; Figure 1). In 3 participants, baseline HRV assessments were derived from night BL1 because recordings during BL2 were of poor quality (n=1 in CA and n=2 in CM).

HRV analyses focused on the first 3 cycles of sleep (ie, approximately the first 5 hours of sleep). For each cycle, HRV analysis was performed on 5-minute periods during stage II, SWS, and REM sleep. An experienced neurologist (D. Grimaldi), blinded to the intervention condition (ie, CM versus CA), visually selected each 5-minute period to exclude portions of recording with breathing instabilities, arousals,16 body movements, ectopic beats, and artifacts. To ensure a stationary signal,17 the same selection criteria were applied during the 30-s epoch preceding and the 30-s epoch following each 5-minute period.

HR and HRV were quantified during each 5-minute interval to derive time and frequency domain indices (PRANA software; Phitools, Strasbourg, France). For each sleep stage, results of the analysis of the 5-minute periods in the 3 sleep cycles were averaged. The time domain index of HRV is reported as the square root of the mean of the squares of the successive differences between adjacent R-R intervals (RMSSD), which is strongly correlated with the parasympathetic modulation of HR.17 Spectral analysis of HRV was performed by fast Fourier transform, and spectral power was calculated in the high frequency band (HF; 0.15–0.40 Hz), reflecting mostly vagal activity, and in the low frequency band (LF: 0.04–0.14 Hz), reflecting a combination of vagal and sympathetic activities. Limitations associated with frequency domain analyses are acknowledged.18

Statistical Analysis
Results are expressed as mean (standard deviation) for normally distributed data or as median (25th, 75th percentiles) for data not normally distributed. We maintained the use of SEM in figures to maximize legibility. Repeated measures ANOVA were performed, including condition (baseline versus day of SR) and time (time of day), when applicable, as the within-subject factors and group (CA versus CM) as the between-subject factor. During nocturnal HR and HRV analyses, each stage was analyzed independently. Age and BMI were included as covariates. Between-group differences in changes from baseline of HR and HRV indices were also analyzed using a generalized linear model for repeated measures. When not normally distributed, the variables were log-transformed to meet the requirements for linear model analysis. All statistical calculations were performed using JMP software (SAS Institute Inc, Cary, NC). Statistical significance was set at P<0.05.

Results
Participants
Demographics for the 26 participants have been previously reported.12 Daytime BP and HR were available for 21 participants and nocturnal HR and HRV for 20 participants. There were no significant differences in demographic characteristics between the CA and the CM groups in any of the analyses.

Polysomnography
The protocol was successful in achieving similar sleep durations in both the aligned and misaligned conditions because total sleep time during each night of the study (3 nights of baseline and 8 nights of SR) was nearly identical in the CM and CA groups, as previously reported.12 For the subset of 20 participants in whom we report nocturnal HR and HRV, total sleep time, as well as the amounts of stage II, SWS, and REM

Figure 2. Mean (±SEM) heart rate (top) and mean arterial pressure (bottom) measured every 15 minutes during daytime hours at baseline (black) and after 8 days of sleep restriction (red). Heart rate, but not mean arterial blood pressure, significantly increased after sleep restriction in both the circadian alignment and misalignment groups (condition, P<0.001; condition×group, P>0.46).
sleep, was also similar in the 2 groups (see Figure S1 in the online-only Data Supplement).

Daytime Ambulatory BP and HR
Figure 2 illustrates that SR significantly increased daytime HR from baseline by 5 to 10 bpm above baseline in both CM and CA groups (condition, \( P<0.001 \)). These clinically relevant increases in daytime HR were not different between CM and CA groups (condition×group, \( P=0.46 \)). SR did not alter daytime mean arterial pressure in either CM or CA groups (condition, \( P=0.14 \); condition×group, \( P=0.52 \)). Similarly, mean systolic and diastolic BP during evening and morning hours were not affected by SR in either group (data shown in Table S1).

Daytime and Nocturnal Urinary NE
Baseline 24-hour NE levels were within the normal range for healthy adults (<80 μg/24-hour) in all subjects. Baseline NE values were higher in subjects exposed to CA (group, \( P<0.01 \)), and this difference was not explained by differences in demographic characteristics.

Figure 3 (upper panel) illustrates that 24-hour urine NE increased significantly after 8 days of SR in subjects exposed to CM (baseline, 21±8 μg; SR, 30±13 μg; \( P=0.013 \)), but not in participants exposed to SR alone (baseline, 39±15 μg; SR, 34±14 μg; \( P=0.26 \); condition×group, \( P=0.009 \)).

When urinary NE levels were examined during the 3 time intervals of urine collection, there was a significant group×condition interaction (\( P=0.005 \)), and post hoc analysis revealed that NE levels increased more during nocturnal and morning hours as compared with baseline in the CM group, whereas no significant changes in urinary NE were observed in the CA group (Figure 3, lower panels). Specifically, SR with CM increased urine NE by \( 76\% \) during the nighttime period (baseline, 0.6±0.3 μg/h; intervention, 0.9±0.4 μg/h; \( P=0.047 \)) and by \( 78\% \) during the morning period (baseline, 1.1±0.5 μg/h; intervention, 1.7±0.8 μg/h; \( P=0.012 \)).

Nocturnal HR and HRV
In the subset of participants in whom nocturnal HR and HRV could be analyzed, there were no significant group differences in sex distribution (CA, 3/8 women; CM, 4/12; \( P=0.85 \)), BMI (CA, 23.1±2.4 kg/m²; CM, 22.1±2.5 kg/m²; \( P=0.19 \)), and age (CA, 24.5±4.5 years; CM, 22.7±4.0 years; \( P=0.09 \)). Nocturnal HR and HRV indices and respiratory frequency at baseline were not different between the 2 groups during all sleep stages (see Table S2). Changes in respiratory frequencies during
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**Figure 3. Top.** Mean (+SEM) 24-h norepinephrine urine concentrations at baseline and at 8th day of sleep restriction (group×condition, \( P=0.009 \)). **Bottom.** Mean (± SEM) norepinephrine levels during daytime and nighttime periods (normalized per hour; group×condition, \( P>0.005 \)). *\( P<0.05 \) vs corresponding baseline.
the intervention nights were comparable in the 2 groups in all sleep stages (group×condition: Stage II, \( P=0.82 \); SWS, \( P=0.28 \); REM, \( P=0.51 \)).

Figure 4 illustrates that during SWS, normally the most restorative state of sleep, SR increased HR, in both the CM and CA groups (condition, \( P=0.030 \)), but these increases in HR were greater in the CM group (group×condition, \( P<0.001 \)). During SWS, SR decreased RMSSD (condition, \( P<0.01 \)) and increased LF/HF ratio (condition: \( P<0.01 \)) in the CM group, but not in the CA group (group×condition: \( P=0.008 \) and \( P=0.045 \), respectively).

Similarly, SR increased HR during stage II in both CM and CA groups (condition, \( P=0.013 \)), and these increases in HR were greater in the CM group (group×condition, \( P=0.016 \)). SR increased LF/HF ratio during stage II (condition, \( P<0.01 \)) in the CM group, but not in the CA group (group×condition, \( P=0.045 \)). SR tended to decrease RMSSD during stage II in the CM group (\( P=0.049 \)), but not in the CA group (group×condition, \( P=0.082 \)). SR increased LF/HF ratio (condition: \( P<0.01 \)) during REM sleep in the CM group, but not in the CA group (group×condition, \( P=0.038 \)). SR, with or without CM, did not change HR during REM sleep (condition, \( P=0.921 \); group×condition, \( P=0.303 \)) or REM RMSSD (condition, \( P=0.887 \); group×condition, \( P=0.301 \)).

**Discussion**

This well-controlled laboratory study is the first to determine the impact of CM on autonomic and cardiovascular function in sleep-restricted humans. Indeed, when controlling for the amount of SR, our data reveal 3 novel findings. First, SR with CM, but not with CA, significantly increased urinary NE and reduced nocturnal HRV. Second, SR with CM elicited greater increases in nocturnal HR when compared with SR alone. Third, these alterations in autonomic indices did not translate into an augmentation of arterial BP, suggesting that other compensatory mechanisms are engaged to offset the observed impairment in sympathetic and parasympathetic functions during SR with CM. Collectively, these findings demonstrate a clear adverse impact of CM on autonomic function in sleep-restricted healthy adults.

Several recent studies have suggested that CM can impair autonomic and cardiovascular function. Of particular interest, Scheer et al. used a forced desynchrony protocol to examine the impact of CM on autonomic and cardiovascular function. The protocol involved 10 days of forced desynchrony between endogenous circadian cycles and the imposed sleep–wake schedule. When participants slept and ate ≈12 hours out of phase with their endogenous circadian rhythm, their BP was elevated during waking periods, whereas HR was unchanged. However, the authors report that total sleep time was reduced and acknowledged that the role of this reduction of sleep duration in the observed cardiovascular alterations could not be fully determined. Accordingly, the present study used a novel protocol in which sleep was restricted to the same extent with or without CM to examine the impact of CM in the presence of nearly identical levels of sleep loss. Our results reveal that this approach successfully increased sleep pressure and yielded similar total sleep times throughout all baseline and SR nights in both the CM and CA groups. However, our findings do not demonstrate that an impact of CM could be detected in the absence of sleep loss.

Epidemiological studies have reported significant associations between sleep loss and hypertension. A recent meta-analysis of longitudinal epidemiological studies reports that short sleep is associated with increased risk for hypertension. Moreover, acute experimental models using 24 to 88 consecutive hours of total sleep deprivation consistently report increases in arterial BP. Taken together, these prospective epidemiological and acute total sleep deprivation studies hint toward a causal relationship between sleep loss and hypertension, but experimental data of well-controlled semichronic SR do not support this concept. Meier-Ewert et al. and Sauvet et al. both reported that experimental SR of, respectively, 10 and 6 days did not affect daytime arterial BP. Our findings advance this prior work in an important way. Indeed, these 2 previous studies obtained only a single time point snapshot.
of arterial BP, one using a 10-minute period of calibrated Portapres recordings\textsuperscript{3} and the other using unspecified casual General Clinical Research Center BP measurements.\textsuperscript{9} In contrast, the present study used a carefully controlled ambulatory BP monitoring system providing BP values at 15-minute intervals throughout waking hours. Our findings demonstrate unambiguously that 1 week of experimental bedtime restriction to 5 hours per night does not result in significant elevations of arterial BP at any time of the waking period in healthy young adults, even when sleep loss is combined with CM, thus confirming and greatly extending the previous studies.\textsuperscript{4,5}

There are several possible explanations for the discrepancies between the prospective epidemiological studies and the laboratory SR studies. First, studies of experimental SR, including our own, have ranged in duration from 7 to 10 days, whereas prospective epidemiological studies have ranged from 2 to 12 years.\textsuperscript{2} Second, participants in laboratory studies were young and healthy, whereas epidemiological studies enrolled a subject population with a wider range of demographics. Third, the vast majority of epidemiological studies were based on self-reported sleep duration, which is not strongly correlated with objectively defined sleep duration. Finally, it is possible that the well-controlled conditions of the laboratory studies eliminate effects of SR that could, under real-life conditions, cause elevations in BP. For example, participants in our laboratory studies typically report low levels of stress on validated scales,\textsuperscript{6} while meeting professional and personal demands when being chronically sleep-deprived may be much more stressful. In addition, in the laboratory, intake of caffeine, salt, and caloric-dense food is carefully controlled, whereas, under real-life conditions, insufficient sleep often results in increased caffeine, salt, and caloric intake that may in turn increase BP.

Despite similar ambulatory BP responses to SR in CA and CM groups, our data reveal significant differences in several key autonomic variables between the 2 groups that may precede changes in ambulatory BP. Of particular interest, SR increased urinary NE levels only when combined with CM. NE baseline values were higher in subjects exposed to CA compared with those exposed to CM. However, all values were within the normal range for healthy adults,\textsuperscript{19} and the demographic characteristics of the 2 groups were similar. The difference in baseline NE levels, therefore, reflects random sampling within the wide physiological range of basal NE levels. Of note, despite a wide interindividual variability in 24-hour NE levels, intraindividual levels are relatively stable over time.\textsuperscript{26} Moreover, it is worth noting that Faraut et al\textsuperscript{27} recently reported that 1 night of sleep deprivation increased urinary NE from \textasciitilde 40 \(\mu g/d\) to \textasciitilde 70 \(\mu g/d\). Our CA group displayed a similar baseline value to that of Faraut et al (ie, 39 \(\mu g/d\)); thus, we are confident that our subjects were well within the range of being able to demonstrate further increases in urinary NE with an adequate stressor (ie, not a ceiling effect). We acknowledge that the urinary NE baseline value for the CM group was on the lower side of the normative range (ie, 15–80 \(\mu g/24\) hours),\textsuperscript{19} and thus, we cannot rule out regression to the mean as an alternative explanation for the increase in NE levels in the CM group.

Urinary NE provides a reliable marker of sympathetic function that is highly dependent on glomerular filtration rate and renal function.\textsuperscript{28} The sympathetic nervous system is highly specific and regionalized; thus, we do not infer that SR with CM elicits a global increase of sympathetic activation. However, the increase of urinary NE after SR with CM suggests that it may be valuable to use more invasive and regionally specific techniques, such as microneurography and NE spillover, to increase our understanding of the impact of SR and circadian dysfunction on sympathetic function.

Consistent with prior work,\textsuperscript{4} SR increased daytime ambulatory HR. The present study advances this prior work by demonstrating that CM does not seem to exacerbate this tachycardia response to SR. However, in contrast to the daytime ambulatory HR, we observed a significant influence of CM on nocturnal HR and HRV responses to SR. During sleep, HRV analysis explores the integrity of autonomic nervous system function in the absence of confounding factors, such as physical activity and higher cortical functions. A reduction of HRV has been associated with an adverse prognosis in multiple cardiovascular diseases.\textsuperscript{17,29} Previous studies that have explored the cardiovascular alterations associated with CM and sleep have primarily focused on assessments during daytime sleep when the circadian rhythm of autonomic nervous system activity is in an opposite phase relative to nighttime sleep.\textsuperscript{21,30} These prior studies\textsuperscript{21,30} report that HR and LF:HF ratio were significantly increased during daytime sleep compared with nighttime sleep, suggesting that daytime sleep cannot provide the same cardiovascular restorative effects as nighttime sleep. In contrast, we investigated the cardiovascular consequences of SR with CM during the first realigned night after daytime sleep, when the behavioral sleep–wake cycle was identical to that of the CA group. We found that SR with CM was associated with higher HR and lower HRV during non-REM stages (ie, SWS and Stage II) as compared with SR with CA. Given the importance of SWS and non-REM sleep in cardiovascular restoration,\textsuperscript{31} these findings suggest that recurrent or chronic CM has adverse cardiovascular effects that are not readily corrected as soon as a normal daytime schedule is reinstated. The rate of recovery of nocturnal autonomous nervous system activity after abrupt shifts of the sleep–wake cycle needs further study to improve our understanding of the adverse cardiovascular consequences of shift work and design preventive strategies.

Morris et al\textsuperscript{32} recently reported that 3 days of CM (12-hour inversion of the behavioral and environmental cycle) without bedtime restriction elicited a reduction of daytime vagal indices of HRV, an increase in 24-hour BP and inflammatory markers, but no changes in 24-hour urinary NE excretion. The reduction in diurnal vagal cardiac control described by Morris et al\textsuperscript{32} corroborates our findings from nighttime HRV analysis, indicating a reduction in vagal activity in subjects exposed to CM. There is, however, a discrepancy between our findings and those of Morris et al\textsuperscript{32} regarding BP and urinary NE responses to CM. The differences in the 2 experimental approaches (ie, CM and CA with similar levels of SR versus CM via 12-hour inversion of the behavioral and environmental cycle without control for sleep duration) likely explain these divergent findings. Indeed, Morris et al\textsuperscript{32} report that sleep duration was different between their CM and CA groups. It is important to note that although these in-laboratory studies are well-controlled, they are still...
relatively short in duration (ie, 3–8 days) compared with real-life CM. It remains possible that longer duration CM, with or without SR, would negatively impact both urinary NE and ambulatory BP. Nevertheless, when taken together, these two studies complement one another in suggesting the possibility of an increased cardiovascular risk in subjects exposed to CM and suggest that there may be a complex interaction between CM and SR that warrants further investigation.

We acknowledge some limitations in the present study. First, as previously reported, the 2 interventions could not be conducted simultaneously for logistic reasons, and therefore, assignment of the participants to the CA or CM group was not randomized in a traditional fashion. However, the same advertisement materials were used for both groups, and the subjects were not aware that an alternate intervention existed. It is possible that the lack of randomization contributed to the differences in NE baseline values between the 2 groups, but we think this is unlikely because baseline differences were not observed for other autonomic variables or for metabolic variables. Second, we introduced age and BMI as covariates in our analyses despite our limited sample size, and therefore, there is a potential for statistical overfitting. However, when we excluded age and BMI from our statistical models, the primary findings remained unchanged.

In conclusion, the present study reports that SR with CM, as typically occurs in shift workers, results in altered autonomic function during wakefulness and sleep. In particular, SR with CM increases NE secretion and reduces cardiac vagal modulation which could, under chronic conditions, lead to an increased risk of cardiovascular disease.

**Perspectives**

Our findings are of importance to several conditions associated with SR with CM. For example, insufficient sleep is particularly common in shift workers, who represent 15% to 30% of the working population. Irrespective of the schedule, shift work is typically associated with chronic CM. Prospective studies report adverse cardiovascular outcomes in shift workers, and a recent meta-analysis of cross-sectional studies found that shift work is associated with an increased risk for myocardial infarction and all coronary events. In healthy subjects, the highest vagal influence on HR occurs during non-REM sleep, together with the highest feedback contribution of the baroreflex, consistent with a cardiorestorative role of non-REM sleep. The results of this nonrandomized parallel group study suggest that shift workers might not benefit from the restorative cardiovascular effects of aligned nights of sleep after a shift work rotation and may therefore not readily recover from their irregular sleep–wake pattern. Our findings hence suggest a mechanistic link between the reduction of cardiac vagal modulation during sleep found in our subjects exposed to CM and the increased risk to develop adverse cardiac events observed in shift workers.

Evidence from rodent models has revealed that there are distinct phase relationships between rhythms of circadian gene expression in cardiac tissue, the liver, and the suprachiasmatic nucleus, and that these phase relationships need to be stable to achieve optimal cardiometabolic function at each time of day. In addition to shift work, other factors, such as jet lag, chronotype, feeding cycles, technological devices, and psychiatric health, can influence CM. Therefore, the autonomic dysfunction reported during SR with CM in the present study is relevant to an increasingly wide range of individuals.
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Statistical Methods
The ANOVA was performed using JMP 10.0 software using a multivariate repeated measures approach and checking for "Univariate Tests" to obtain univariate and adjusted univariate tests. The test of sphericity was performed to check whether the unadjusted univariate F tests were appropriate. Because the sphericity condition was met in all our analyses, the unadjusted univariate F tests was used. All variables were checked for normal distribution and Ln transformed if not normally distributed.

Heart Rate Variability Results
To examine between-group differences, changes from baseline of HR and HRV indices were analyzed using a generalized linear model for repeated measures.

During stage 2, the HR progressively increased from baseline during the two nights of sleep restriction (time \( p = 0.016 \)) but the amount of this increase was consistently higher in the CM group (group \( p = 0.025 \); group x time \( p = 0.47 \)). Similarly, the increase of LF/HF ratio from baseline during stage 2 was higher in the CM group (group \( p = 0.008 \)). During stage 2, a trend towards a greater reduction of RMSSD from baseline was observed in the CM group without reaching the significance level (group \( p = 0.067 \)).

During SWS, the increase of HR and LF/HF ratio and the reduction of RMSSD from baseline were enhanced in the CM group (group \( p = 0.004 \), \( p = 0.043 \), \( p = 0.013 \), respectively).

During REM sleep, the increase of HR and LF/HF ratio from baseline were higher in the CM group (group \( p = 0.040 \) and \( p = 0.047 \), respectively). Sleep restriction during REM sleep did not affect RMSSD changes from baseline (group \( p = 0.11 \)).
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ambulatory Blood Pressure</th>
<th>Circadian Alignment (n= 11)</th>
<th>Circadian Misalignment (n= 10)</th>
<th>P-value</th>
<th>P-value</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Time</td>
<td>Group</td>
<td>Time</td>
<td>Group</td>
<td>Time</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Baseline</td>
<td>118 ± 8</td>
<td>113 ± 8</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>0.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sleep Restriction</td>
<td>119 ± 8</td>
<td>112 ± 7</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>0.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Systolic BP (mmHg)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Baseline</td>
<td>68 ± 6</td>
<td>64 ± 4</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>0.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sleep Restriction</td>
<td>68 ± 5</td>
<td>61 ± 5</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>0.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diastolic BP (mmHg)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Baseline</td>
<td>66 ± 5</td>
<td>65 ± 7</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>0.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sleep Restriction</td>
<td>66 ± 5</td>
<td>61 ± 7</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>0.59</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Mean (±SD) systolic and diastolic blood pressure (BP) in evening and morning hours
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Baseline Values</th>
<th>Circadian Alignment (n=8)</th>
<th>Circadian Misalignment (n=12)</th>
<th>p-level</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>HR (bpm)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stage II</td>
<td>58.0 (6.6)</td>
<td>56.4 (6.2)</td>
<td>0.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SWS</td>
<td>58.6 (7.2)</td>
<td>56.2 (6.3)</td>
<td>0.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>REM sleep</td>
<td>58.8 (7.6)</td>
<td>56.0 (5.7)</td>
<td>0.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Respiratory Frequency (Hz)</strong>*&lt;br&gt;Stage II</td>
<td>0.18 (0.01)</td>
<td>0.17 (0.01)</td>
<td>0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SWS</td>
<td>0.17 (0.02)</td>
<td>0.17 (0.02)</td>
<td>0.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>REM sleep</td>
<td>0.18 (0.02)</td>
<td>0.16 (0.01)</td>
<td>0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>RMSSD (ms)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stage II</td>
<td>60 (49, 79)</td>
<td>56 (43, 87)</td>
<td>0.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SWS</td>
<td>67 (46, 77)</td>
<td>60 (56, 80)</td>
<td>0.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>REM sleep</td>
<td>66 (52, 78)</td>
<td>67 (50, 100)</td>
<td>0.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>LF/HF ratio (a.u.)</strong>&lt;br&gt;Stage II</td>
<td>0.5 (0.4, 0.7)</td>
<td>0.5 (0.3, 0.9)</td>
<td>0.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SWS</td>
<td>0.4 (0.2, 0.5)</td>
<td>0.4 (0.3, 0.6)</td>
<td>0.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>REM sleep</td>
<td>1.0 (0.6, 1.1)</td>
<td>1.1 (0.7, 1.5)</td>
<td>0.59</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data are expressed as mean (standard deviation) for normally distributed data, or as median (25th, 75th percentiles) for data not normally distributed. P-levels were calculated using an unpaired Student t-test for data normally distributed and a Wilcoxon signed-rank test otherwise. RMSSD, root mean square of sequential differences of RR-intervals; LF/HF, low frequency to high frequency ratio; bpm, beats per minute; a.u., arbitrary units. * Breathing activity could not be recorded in four subjects in the circadian misalignment group due to technical problems with the respiratory belts.
Figure S1

Minutes (± SEM) of total sleep time, stage II, SWS and REM sleep during baseline (BL) and the two sleep restriction nights (SR4 and SR7) selected for the analysis of HR and HRV.