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Abstract—Long-term exposure to ambient fine particulate pollution (PM$_{2.5}$) has been associated with cardiovascular diseases. Hypertension, a major risk factor for cardiovascular diseases, has also been hypothesized to be linked to PM$_{2.5}$. However, epidemiological evidence has been mixed. We examined long-term association between ambient PM$_{2.5}$ and hypertension and blood pressure. We interviewed 12,665 participants aged 50 years and older and measured their blood pressures. Annual average PM$_{2.5}$ concentrations were estimated for each community using satellite data. We applied 2-level logistic regression models to examine the associations and estimated hypertension burden attributable to ambient PM$_{2.5}$. For each 10 μg/m$^3$ increase in ambient PM$_{2.5}$, the adjusted odds ratio of hypertension was 1.14 (95% confidence interval, 1.07–1.22). Stratified analyses found that overweight and obesity could enhance the association, and consumption of fruit was associated with lower risk. We further estimated that 11.75% (95% confidence interval, 5.82%–18.53%) of the hypertension cases (corresponding to 914, 95% confidence interval, 453–1442 cases) could be attributable to ambient PM$_{2.5}$ in the study population. Findings suggest that long-term exposure to ambient PM$_{2.5}$ might be an important risk factor of hypertension and is responsible for significant hypertension burden in adults in China. A higher consumption of fruit may mitigate, whereas overweight and obesity could enhance this effect. (Hypertension. 2017;69:806-812. DOI: 10.1161/HYPERTENSIONAHA.116.08839.) • Online Data Supplement
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Long-term exposures to ambient air pollution have been linked with cardiovascular morbidity and mortality. Hypertension is 1 important risk factor for cardiovascular diseases. It has been therefore hypothesized that exposure to air pollution could chronically raise blood pressure, thereby increasing hypertension.

Such a link has been investigated in a few studies. These studies showed that long-term exposure to ambient PM$_{2.5}$ and NO$_2$ was significantly associated with increased hypertension. However, the association between ambient PM$_{2.5}$ and hypertension has been inconclusive. One recent meta-analysis pooling 5 studies found a positive association, but the association was nonsignificant, indicating that more studies are warranted.

It is important to identify effect modifiers of the health effects of air pollution, which may provide important clues for targeting intervention programs. Previous studies have examined the effect modifiers of the association between particulate matter pollution and cardiopulmonary health and found that body weight and dietary intake of oxidants might be the important effect modifiers. Few studies have explored the potential effect modification of overweight/obesity and dietary factors (consumption of fruit and vegetables) on the effects of PM$_{2.5}$ on hypertension. After inhaled in the respiratory tract, the particles could trigger oxidative reactions leading to oxidative stress and damage because of the pro-oxidant–antioxidant imbalance. Antioxidants play a critical role in defense against inflammatory oxidative stress induced by air pollutants. Dietary consumption of fruit and vegetables is the primary source of antioxidants and related compounds, in particular vitamin C, carotenoids, and other phytochemicals. Therefore, we hypothesize that higher consumption of fruit and vegetables may mitigate the adverse effects of PM$_{2.5}$ on hypertension.
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To address these research questions, we used a large nationwide survey among adults in China with 3 specific objectives: (1) to examine whether long-term exposure to ambient PM$_{2.5}$ is associated with risk of hypertension; (2) to determine whether overweight/obesity and dietary factors could modify the association, and (3) to estimate hypertension risk attributable to ambient PM$_{2.5}$ exposure.

Methods

Population
As part of the World Health Organization (WHO) SAGE (Study on Global Ageing and Adult Health), we surveyed a group of Chinese respondents during 2007 to 2010. The participants were interviewed through a face-to-face household survey. A 5-stage sampling approach was applied. At the first stage, the city of Shanghai and 7 provinces of Guangdong, Hubei, Jilin, Shaanxi, Shandong, Yunnan, and Zhejiang (Figure shows their locations) were selected. One county from a rural area and 1 district from an urban area in each province were then randomly selected. In total, we selected 64 principle sample units (2 urban and 2 rural townships/communities from each county/district), 127 secondary sample units (2 villages/enumeration areas per township/community), and 254 tertiary sample units (2 residential blocks per village). The participants were randomly selected to do the interview and body check-up. SAGE-China Wave 1 consisted of a total of 15050 participants. Among them, 1671 were aged 18 to 49 years and 13379 aged 50 years and older. This study was restricted to those aged 50 years and older. Among them, 12665 had complete information and blood pressure measurement.

Approval to conduct this study was granted by the Ethics Committee of the Chinese Centre for Disease Control and Prevention. Informed consent was obtained from each participant before the interview.

Hypertension
The arterial blood pressure of each participant was measured 3× on the right arm/wrist of the seated participants using a wrist blood pressure monitor. The average value of the latter 2 was used as the blood pressure for this analysis. Hypertension was defined as systolic blood pressure ≥140 mm Hg or diastolic blood pressure 90 mm Hg, or self-reported current treatment of hypertension with antihypertensive medication within the past 2 weeks before the interview.

Air Pollution
We applied the method developed by van Donkelaar et al to estimate the outdoor PM$_{2.5}$ concentrations. These values provided a long-term average level of exposure to PM$_{2.5}$ at ≈10×10 km resolution. They are derived from a combination of observations from the Moderate Resolution Imaging Spectroradiometer and Multiangle Imaging Spectroradiometer instruments from the Terra satellite and simulations with the Goddard Earth Observing System (GEOS) chemical transport model. The community locations were geocoded using Google Earth. The estimated annual ambient PM$_{2.5}$ concentrations were then matched to the participants in the corresponding communities. We used the average PM$_{2.5}$ concentrations for the 3 years preceding the survey as the estimated surrogate of exposure.

Consumption of Fruit and Vegetables
We solicited information on the consumption of fruits (such as apple, pear, peach, banana, orange, watermelon, etc.) and vegetables (such as cabbage, spinach, leek, tomato, cucumber, celery, green pepper, eggplant, etc.). For the stratified analyses, we classified responses into 2 levels: sufficient and insufficient intake. Two or more servings were considered sufficient for fruit consumption, and ≥5 servings of vegetables were considered sufficient. In keeping with previous research, fewer servings were considered insufficient.

Covariates
Many covariates were collected, including demographic, socioeconomic, and lifestyle factors, such as age, sex, marital status, body mass index (BMI), smoking status and amount, alcohol consumption, physical activity, education, annual household income, and consumption of fruit and vegetables. Marital status was divided into married (currently married or cohabiting) and unmarried (never married, separated, divorced, or widowed). Overweight was defined as BMI between 24 and 28 kg/m$^2$, and obesity was defined as BMI of 28 kg/m$^2$ or higher. Physical activity was measured in terms of intensity, duration, and frequency of physical activity, as described elsewhere. The total time spent in physical activity during a typical week, including the number of days and intensity, was used to generate low, moderate, and high categories of physical activity levels.

We also collected information on indoor air pollution. Domestic fuel type and ventilation apparatus were included as the indicators. Two fuel types were mainly used: clean fuels, including electricity and natural gas, and unclean fuels, such as coal, wood, dung, and agricultural residues.

Figure. The geographic location of the study areas showing PM$_{2.5}$ concentration and prevalence of hypertension.
Statistical Analysis

Blood pressure and hypertension for participants in the same community may be correlated with each other, violating the independence assumption of regression models. We therefore considered a 2-level logistic regression model to examine the effects on hypertension and linear mixed models to examine the effects on blood pressure, where participants were considered as the first-level unit and the community as the second-level unit.

After the univariate analyses, multivariate models were fit to control for potential confounding factors, such as age, sex, BMI, consumption of fruit and vegetables, smoking, alcohol drinking, physical activity, education, annual household income, fuel type, and ventilation. The effect estimates were presented for per 10 μg/m³ increase in ambient PM₁₀⁻²⁵.

Stratified Analysis

Stratified analyses were conducted to examine potential effect modification of body weight, consumption of fruit and vegetables, and region of the study. We fit separate models for weight (normal, overweight, and obesity), consumption of fruit and vegetables (sufficient and insufficient), and region of the survey (north and south region). One study from north China has reported an association between ambient PM₁₀ and hypertension, but the evidence in south China is limited. There are significant differences between the north and south areas of China in characteristics, such as diet, lifestyle, climate, chemical components of PM₁₀, and some unmeasured factors, which may potentially confound the associations between PM₁₀ and hypertension. We thus conducted stratified analyses by dividing the survey region into south (including 4 provinces: Guangdong, Yunnan, Zhejiang, and Shanghai) and north (including the other 4 provinces: Jilin, Shandong, Hubei, and Shaanxi). We tested the statistical significance of differences in the effect estimates between the strata by calculating the 95% confidence interval (CI) as follows:

\[(b_1 - b_2) ± 1.96 \sqrt{(SE_1)^2 + (SE_2)^2}\]

where \(b_1\) and \(b_2\) were the effect estimates for each stratum, and \(SE_1\) and \(SE_2\) were the standard errors.

Estimating Attributable Hypertension Risk

We further estimated the hypertension burden attributable to ambient PM₁₀. We used 2 indicators, namely, attributable cases and population attributable fraction, to reflect the hypertension burden. The ambient PM₁₀ level (25 μg/m³) set by the WHO Air Quality Guidelines was used as the reference concentration.

Sensitivity analyses were performed. First, we used average PM₁₀ concentrations for 1, 2, 4, and 5 years before the survey. Second, we excluded the participants with respiratory and cardiovascular diseases.

All analyses were conducted using R version 3.2.2. P value <0.05 was used to determine statistically significance.

Results

The average age of the participants was 63.0 years, and 5895 (46.6%) were males. Among the participants, 7777 participants were hypertensive, giving a prevalence rate of 61.4%. Among them, 3538 (45.5%) were hypertensive before the survey, 4239 (54.5%) were found to be hypertensive by this survey, and 2802 (36.0%) received antihypertensive treatment, of which 722 (25.8%) were under control (systolic blood pressure <140 mmHg and diastolic blood pressure <90 mmHg). In general, areas with higher PM₁₀ tended to have higher prevalence of hypertension (Figure).

The general characteristics of the participants are presented in Table S1 in the online-only Data Supplement. Participants with hypertension were statistically older than the normotensive participants (64.4 versus 60.8 years), had higher BMI (24.62 versus 23.21 kg/m²), and were exposed to higher ambient PM₁₀ (33.70 versus 30.85 μg/m³). Hypertensive participants had lower consumption of fruit (2.40 versus 2.51 servings per day), but higher consumption of vegetables (7.14 versus 6.82 servings per day). Normotensive participants were more likely to be married, live in urban areas, have higher educational levels, to smoke, and to have higher physical activity levels. There was no significant difference in sex, household income, drinking status, fuel type, and ventilation between the hypertensive and normotensive groups.

Table 1 displayed the associations between ambient PM₁₀ and hypertension and blood pressures in the univariate and multivariate regression models. For each 10 μg/m³ increase in PM₁₀, the odds ratio (OR) of hypertension was 1.16 (95% CI, 1.08–1.24) before adjusting for any covariates and remained similar after adjusting for various confounders (adjusted OR, 1.14; 95% CI, 1.07–1.22). Ambient PM₁₀ was also associated with increased systolic and diastolic blood pressures, each 10 μg/m³ increase in ambient PM₁₀ corresponded to a 1.04 mmHg (95% CI, 0.31–1.78) increase in diastolic blood pressure and a 1.30 mmHg (95% CI, 0.04–3.56) increase in systolic blood pressure.

The stratified association between ambient PM₁₀ and hypertension (Table 2) suggested that body weight and consumption of fruit could modify the PM₁₀–hypertension association. We observed higher ORs with increased body weight, suggesting that overweight or obesity may enhance this association. Although higher consumption of fruit was found to alleviate the effect, a weaker association was observed among those with higher consumption of fruit (OR, 1.12; 95% CI, 1.04–1.20) than for those with lower consumption of fruit (OR, 1.18; 95% CI, 1.09–1.27). We did not observe significant differences by consumption of vegetables and region of survey, although a relatively larger effect was found in the north region (OR, 1.16; 95% CI, 1.05–1.29) than in the south region (OR, 1.11; 95% CI, 1.03–1.20).

Estimates of the hypertension burden attributable to ambient PM₁₀ were illustrated in Table 3. The population attributable risk because of ambient PM₁₀ higher than 25 μg/m³ was 11.75% (95% CI, 5.82%–18.53%), corresponding to 914

### Table 1. Estimated Effects of Hypertension and Blood Pressure With Long-Term Exposure to Ambient PM₁₀ in China

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Crude Estimate</th>
<th>95% CI</th>
<th>Adjusted Estimate*</th>
<th>95% CI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hypertension†</td>
<td>1.16</td>
<td>1.08, 1.24</td>
<td>1.14</td>
<td>1.07, 1.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diastolic blood pressure‡</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>0.23, 1.75</td>
<td>1.04</td>
<td>0.31, 1.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Systolic blood pressure‡</td>
<td>1.33</td>
<td>−0.12, 2.79</td>
<td>1.30</td>
<td>0.04, 3.56</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Ci indicates confidence interval.

*Adjusted for age, sex, body mass index, consumption of fruit and vegetables, smoking amount, alcohol consumption, physical activity, marital status, urbanity, household income, educational level, domestic fuel type, and ventilation.

†The effect for hypertension was odds ratio.

‡The effect for blood pressure was absolute change in mmHg.
When using 1- and 5-year average PM$_{2.5}$ concentrations as the exposure variable, the ORs for each 10 μg/m$^3$ increase were 1.12 (95% CI, 1.04–1.21) and 1.15 (95% CI, 1.07–1.24), respectively. When we excluded the respiratory patients and cardiovascular cases from the data, the associations remained robust, with ORs of 1.17 (95% CI, 1.09–1.25) and 1.16 (95% CI, 1.08–1.23) for the 1- and 5-year average PM$_{2.5}$ concentrations, respectively.

**Discussion**

To our knowledge, this is the first study simultaneously examining the long-term effects of ambient PM$_{2.5}$ on hypertension and blood pressure and the attributable hypertension burden because of ambient PM$_{2.5}$ in China. Our study found that exposure to ambient PM$_{2.5}$ was associated with increased risk of hypertension and increased blood pressure. We also observed that overweight or obesity could enhance the association, and higher consumption of fruit might reduce the association. We further estimated that about 12% of the hypertension cases could be attributable to ambient PM$_{2.5}$ exposure in the study population.

The observed associations between PM$_{2.5}$ and hypertension and blood pressure were consistent with a few studies. The analysis of the American Cancer Society Cancer Prevention Study II cohort demonstrated a 20% increase in hypertension mortality associated with each 10 μg/m$^3$ increase in PM$_{2.5}$. A Canadian cohort study reported that PM$_{2.5}$ was associated with incident hypertension with a magnitude comparable to ours (hazard ratio, 1.13). Another cross-sectional study reported a relatively smaller association (OR, 1.05). Conversely, nonsignificant associations were reported in several studies. For instance, a cross-sectional study, including 27752 Taipei residents, found a nonsignificantly negative association between PM$_{2.5}$ and hypertension. A cross-sectional study in Germany observed that each 2.4 μg/m$^3$ increase in PM$_{2.5}$ was associated with a 1.4 mm Hg increase in systolic blood pressure and a 0.9 mm Hg increase in diastolic blood pressure, which was consistent with our estimates. Similar results have been reported in a Taiwan study. Nonsignificant effects were reported in a cohort of black women living in Los Angeles, CA and in 1 cross-sectional study from Germany. These inconsistent findings may be partly because of the differences in chemical constituents of the airborne particles, exposure assessment, population activity patterns, and susceptibility to the air pollution exposures.

The association between PM$_{2.5}$ exposure and hypertension has some biological plausibility. Several pathways, including systemic inflammation, oxidative responses, and endothelial dysfunction, have been supported by human experiments and epidemiological studies. The systemic inflammatory and oxidative stress may result in increased sympathetic tone, potentially cause arterial remodeling, and increase the circulation of activated immune cells and inflammatory cytokines. Subsequently, endothelial dysfunction, an imbalance in vascular homeostatic responses, may be induced. Other possible mechanisms included the imbalance in the autonomic nervous system and direct vasoconstriction.

This study found that overweight/obesity could enhance the effects of ambient PM$_{2.5}$ on hypertension, which has not
been reported previously. However, this finding was consistent with a few studies on the association between other air pollutants and health outcomes. For instance, a Chinese study found that overweight/obesity could enhance the respiratory effects of PM2.5 and NOx among children. One US cohort study observed that obesity could modify the short-term effect of ozone (O3) on lung function. A clinical trial examining the association between BMI and acute spirometric response to ozone exposure found that the decreased lung function because of O3 exposure was significantly correlated with BMI.

The underlying mechanism for the enhanced effects of overweight/obesity remained largely unclear. It was possible that systematic inflammation has played a role because PM2.5-induced inflammation has been reported to be higher among participants with higher BMI. This finding has also been supported by animal experimental studies, which showed that obese mice presented higher airway inflammation than normal-weighted mice.

One important finding of this study was that a higher consumption of fruit could mitigate the adverse effects of ambient PM2.5 on hypertension. This finding was in line with the hypothesized biological mechanism of the health effects of ambient PM2.5, which was mainly related to inflammation and peroxidation through oxidative stress. The rich content of vitamin C, carotenoids, and flavonoids in dietary fruit may play an important role in this effect, given the effects of antioxidants against endogenous and exogenous oxidative damage to the airways. This finding has been supported by experimental studies. One study compared the oxidative stress in animals that were exposed to the air pollution in Boston and then moved into filtered clean air, resulting in a continuous reduction in oxidative stress in the lungs. Similarly, a randomized controlled trial showed that antioxidant supplementation (vitamins C and E) could reduce the adverse effects of air pollution and inflammatory response.

The modification effect of fruit was convergent with other studies. One study from Detroit also reported that dietary antioxidant intake may protect against the adverse cardiovascular effects of ambient PM2.5. A cohort study in Mexico reported that a high intake of fruit could modulate the adverse effect of O3 on lung function among children. Studies from Spain and Denmark also suggested that intake of fruit was associated with decreased mental health effects of air pollution. Similarly, 2 recent literature reviews supported that dietary antioxidants may play a modulating role on the adverse health effect of air pollution.

This study did not find significant effect modification by consumption of vegetables, which might be because of that we did not have sufficient exposure assessment of vegetable consumption, such as the consumption duration, ways of cooking vegetables, and types of vegetables, leading to exposure misclassification. Among the Chinese population, people usually intake uncooked fruit, but cooked vegetables, it was possible that some antioxidant components in the vegetables have been reduced during the cooking process. More studies are warranted to further examine the protective effects of fruit and vegetables consumption for those exposed to ambient PM2.5.

We have estimated the hypertension burden attributable to ambient PM2.5. Previous studies have mainly examined the association between air pollution and health outcomes using relative risk or ORs. Compared with those indicators, the attributable risk may provide additional information by showing the proportion of cases because of ambient PM2.5 exposure. This approach has been applied in a few previous studies. For example, 1 study estimated that 1.2% of premature deaths could be prevented if the ambient PM2.5 standard was attained. One of our recent studies also showed that about 3.79% of the all-cause mortality could be prevented if the WHO annual PM2.5 concentration guideline was attained in 6 Chinese cities.

Our study had several strengths. It was a large population-based study with representative adults to examine the association between PM2.5 and hypertension in China. We collected extensive individual-level information, allowing us to have a better adjustment for important risk factors; for example, compared with previous studies, we controlled for indoor air pollution. In addition, the use of satellite-based estimates of PM2.5 exposure enabled us to have a virtually complete spatial coverage of PM2.5 among the study participants in the absence of air monitoring data.

This study was also subject to several limitations. This was a cross-sectional study, limiting our ability to establish a causal relationship. We used a 3-year average of satellite-based PM2.5 concentrations as the exposure indicator, which may not directly reflect the actual PM2.5 exposure, and exposure misclassification was possible. We estimated yearly mean PM2.5 concentrations during 2013 to 2015 at about 10 communities within the SAGE project, compared the estimated concentrations with the measured PM2.5 concentrations, and found that the estimated PM2.5 concentrations could well represent the actual measurements (with an R2 of 0.81; Figure S1). In addition, we used a wrist device to measure the blood pressure, which might not accurately assess the blood pressure. However, 1 previous validation study indicated that the wrist device could provide acceptable blood pressure measurements. Furthermore, some important risk factors of hypertension were not considered, such as noise exposure, migration status, genetic background, and different food styles among different geographic areas. However, in the models, we have included the community as 1 variable at the second level, which can serve as a proxy for the genetic background and dietary style among different areas. In addition, the consumption of fruit and vegetables was a complex factor, which might be correlated with other dietary and cardiovascular disease risk factors, such as sodium salt intake, glucose, or lipid metabolism. Last, we did not have access to other air pollutants and meteorologic factors and were therefore unable to control for them in our statistical models.

**Perspectives**

This study suggests that long-term exposure to ambient PM2.5 is associated with increased risk of hypertension and increased blood pressure and responsible for a remarkable hypertension burden in adults in China. Overweight and obese status could enhance this effect, whereas higher consumption of fruit may mitigate it.
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What Is New?

- This is a large-scale population-based study among Chinese adults aged 50 years and older.
- The annual PM$_{2.5}$ concentrations were estimated using satellite data, and a 2-level logistic regression model was used to examine the long-term effects of ambient PM$_{2.5}$ on hypertension and blood pressure.

What Is Relevant?

- This study suggests that long-term exposure to ambient PM$_{2.5}$ is significantly associated with increased risk for hypertension and blood pressure.

Novelty and Significance

**What Is New?**

- This is a large-scale population-based study among Chinese adults aged 50 years and older.
- The annual PM$_{2.5}$ concentrations were estimated using satellite data, and a 2-level logistic regression model was used to examine the long-term effects of ambient PM$_{2.5}$ on hypertension and blood pressure.

**What Is Relevant?**

- This study suggests that long-term exposure to ambient PM$_{2.5}$ is significantly associated with increased risk for hypertension and blood pressure.

Summary

Long-term exposure to ambient PM$_{2.5}$ is an important risk factor for arterial blood pressure and hypertension among Chinese adults.
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Table S1. Comparison of socio-demographic and major risk factors between hypertensive and normotensive participants in China

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Normotensive [n (%)]</th>
<th>Hypertensive [n (%)]</th>
<th>p value*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (years, mean (SD))</td>
<td>60.8 (8.7)</td>
<td>64.4 (9.4)</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI (kg/m², mean (SD))</td>
<td>23.21 (4.50)</td>
<td>24.62 (5.14)</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM$_{2.5}$ (µg/m³, mean (SD))</td>
<td>30.85 (13.08)</td>
<td>33.70 (13.66)</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fruit intake (mean (SD))</td>
<td>2.51 (2.31)</td>
<td>2.40 (2.44)</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vegetable intake (mean (SD))</td>
<td>6.82 (4.05)</td>
<td>7.14 (4.31)</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>2266 (46.36)</td>
<td>3629 (46.66)</td>
<td>0.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marital status</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Married</td>
<td>4194 (85.80)</td>
<td>6311 (81.16)</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residence</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban</td>
<td>2449 (50.10)</td>
<td>3718 (47.81)</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No formal education</td>
<td>1020 (20.87)</td>
<td>2092 (26.90)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary school</td>
<td>1888 (38.63)</td>
<td>2960 (38.06)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Middle school or higher</td>
<td>1980 (40.51)</td>
<td>2725 (35.04)</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Household income</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low</td>
<td>2470 (50.53)</td>
<td>3985 (51.24)</td>
<td>0.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoking status</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoking amount</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>--------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>None</td>
<td>3188 (65.26)</td>
<td>5229 (67.25)</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0-8</td>
<td>578 (11.83)</td>
<td>1077 (13.85)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;8</td>
<td>1119 (22.91)</td>
<td>1469 (18.89)</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Drinking status</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nondrinker</td>
<td>3373 (69.01)</td>
<td>5380 (69.18)</td>
<td>0.85</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Physical activity</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Low</td>
<td>1394(28.52)</td>
<td>2632 (33.84)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>1341 (27.43)</td>
<td>2201 (28.30)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High</td>
<td>2153 (44.05)</td>
<td>2944 (37.86)</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indoor fuel type</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Clean</td>
<td>2618 (53.56)</td>
<td>4285 (55.10)</td>
<td>0.09</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ventilation</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>2709 (55.42)</td>
<td>4390 (56.45)</td>
<td>0.26</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

BMI: body mass index; PM$_{2.5}$: particles smaller than or equal to 2.5 µm in diameter; * $\chi^2$ tests for categorical variables and t tests for continuous variables; # Consumption of fruit and vegetables was measured as the number of servings on a typical day.

**Table S2.** Adjusted OR and 95% CI for the prevalence of hypertension associated with long-term exposure to ambient PM$_{2.5}$ in sensitivity analyses
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Per 10 ug/m³ increase*</th>
<th>1.12</th>
<th>1.04, 1.21</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>With 1 year PM$_{2.5}$</td>
<td>1.13</td>
<td>1.05, 1.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>With 2 year PM$_{2.5}$</td>
<td>1.15</td>
<td>1.07, 1.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>With 4 year PM$_{2.5}$</td>
<td>1.15</td>
<td>1.07, 1.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>With 5 year PM$_{2.5}$</td>
<td>1.17</td>
<td>1.09, 1.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Excluding respiratory diseases</td>
<td>1.16</td>
<td>1.08, 1.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Excluding cardiovascular diseases</td>
<td>1.17</td>
<td>1.09, 1.25</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* adjusted for age, sex, BMI, smoking amount, alcohol drinking, marital status, urbanity, household income, education level, domestic fuel type and ventilation.

**Figure S1.** Comparison of estimated PM$_{2.5}$ concentration and air monitored PM$_{2.5}$ concentration in China, 2013-2015.