

Uric Acid Is a Strong Risk Marker for Developing Hypertension From Prehypertension

A 5-Year Japanese Cohort Study

Masanari Kuwabara, Ichiro Hisatome, Koichiro Niwa, Shigeko Hara, Carlos A. Roncal-Jimenez, Petter Bjornstad, Takahiko Nakagawa, Ana Andres-Hernando, Yuka Sato, Thomas Jensen, Gabriela Garcia, Bernardo Rodriguez-Iturbe, Minoru Ohno, Miguel A. Lanaspá, Richard J. Johnson

See Editorial Commentary, pp 66–67

Abstract—Prehypertension frequently progresses to hypertension, a condition associated with high morbidity and mortality from cardiovascular diseases and stroke. However, the risk factors for developing hypertension from prehypertension remain poorly understood. We conducted a retrospective cohort study using the data from 3584 prehypertensive Japanese adults (52.1 ± 11.0 years, 2081 men) found to be prehypertensive in 2004 and reexamined in 2009. We calculated the cumulative incidences of hypertension over 5 years, examined risk factors, and calculated odds ratios (ORs) for developing hypertension after adjustments for age, sex, body mass index, smoking and drinking habits, baseline systolic and diastolic blood pressure, pulse rate, diabetes mellitus, dyslipidemia, chronic kidney disease, and serum uric acid levels. The additional analysis evaluated whether serum uric acid (hyperuricemia) constituted an independent risk factor for developing hypertension. The cumulative incidence of hypertension from prehypertension over 5 years was 25.3%. There were no significant differences between women and men (24.4% versus 26.0%; $P=0.28$). The cumulative incidence of hypertension in subjects with hyperuricemia ($n=726$) was significantly higher than those without hyperuricemia ($n=2858$; 30.7% versus 24.0%; $P<0.001$). After multivariable adjustments, the risk factors for developing hypertension from prehypertension were age (OR, 1.023; $P<0.001$), female sex (OR, 1.595; $P<0.001$), higher body mass index (OR, 1.051; $P<0.001$), higher baseline systolic (OR, 1.072; $P<0.001$) and diastolic blood pressure (OR, 1.085; $P<0.001$), and higher serum uric acid (OR, 1.149; $P<0.001$). Increased serum uric acid is a strong risk marker for developing hypertension from prehypertension. Further studies are needed to determine whether treatment of hyperuricemia in prehypertensive subjects could impede the onset of hypertension. (*Hypertension*. 2018;71:78-86. DOI: 10.1161/HYPERTENSIONAHA.117.10370.) • [Online Data Supplement](#)

Key Words: blood pressure ■ diabetes mellitus ■ epidemiology ■ hyperuricemia ■ risk factors ■ uric acid

Hyperuricemia (elevated serum uric acid) has emerged as one of the strongest risk factors for the development of prehypertension,¹ primary hypertension,^{2,3} and resistant hypertension.⁴ Experimentally raising uric acid in rats also causes hypertension.⁵ Moreover, pilot clinical studies suggest lowering serum uric acid has been reported to lower blood pressure in hypertensive and prehypertensive children and adults in several studies,^{6–12} although this has not been observed universally.¹³ This, coupled with associations of uric acid with insulin resistance/diabetes mellitus, stroke, heart disease, and chronic kidney disease, has led to

interest in uric acid as a potentially modifiable cardiovascular risk factor.^{14,15}

If hyperuricemia has a contributory role in cardiovascular disease, then it may make sense to reduce serum uric acid as a means to prevent the development of hypertension and cardiovascular disease. In this regard, a trial to lower serum uric acid in normotensive subjects may require a large sample size because the rate of progression to hypertension over a 5-year period is relatively low. However, if hyperuricemia remains a risk factor in prehypertensive subjects for the development of hypertension, then it may be possible to perform a study with

Received September 22, 2017; first decision October 4, 2017; revision accepted October 15, 2017.

From the Division of Renal Diseases and Hypertension, School of Medicine, University of Colorado Denver, Aurora (M.K., C.A.R.-J., P.B., A.A.-H., Y.S., T.J., G.G., M.A.L., R.J.J.); Department of Cardiology (M.K., M.O.) and Health Management Center (S.H.), Toranomon Hospital, Tokyo, Japan; Cardiovascular Center, St. Luke's International Hospital, Tokyo, Japan (M.K., K.N.); Division of Regenerative Medicine and Therapeutics, Tottori University Graduate School of Medical Sciences, Yonago, Japan (I.H.); Children's Hospital Colorado and Barbara Davis Center for Childhood Diabetes, Aurora (P.B.); Department of Future Basic Medicine, Nara Medical University, Japan (T.N.); and Department of Nephrology, Hospital Universitario and Instituto Venezolano de Investigaciones Científicas (IVIC)-Zulia, Maracaibo (B.R.-I.).

The online-only Data Supplement is available with this article at <http://hyper.ahajournals.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1161/HYPERTENSIONAHA.117.10370/-DC1>.

Correspondence to Masanari Kuwabara, Division of Renal Diseases and Hypertension, University of Colorado Denver, Mail Stop C281, 12700 E 19th Ave, Aurora, CO 80045. E-mail kuwamasa728@gmail.com

© 2017 American Heart Association, Inc.

Hypertension is available at <http://hyper.ahajournals.org>

DOI: 10.1161/HYPERTENSIONAHA.117.10370

sufficient power because the risk for developing hypertension is substantially higher. We therefore performed a study in a large population with prehypertension to determine the risk for developing hypertension after 5 years, with an especial focus on the role of uric acid. Our study suggests that prehypertensive subjects with an elevated serum uric acid are especially at risk for developing hypertension and therefore may be an ideal target population to determine whether urate-lowering therapy can prevent the development of hypertension.

Methods

Study Design and Study Subjects

This study is a large-scale, retrospective, single-center cohort study in Japan. The database was from the Center for Preventive Medicine, St. Luke's International Hospital, Tokyo, Japan. The study analyzed 13 201 Japanese subjects who underwent annual medical examinations at the center in 2004 and were reevaluated 5 years later. When the subjects had >1 annual examination, we only used the first examination of that year to avoid double counts. Every subject had the same workup, including medical history, routine physical examination, and blood and urine tests collected in this database and detailed in previous publications.^{16–19}

In the present studies, we included subjects between ages 30 and 85 years old in 2004 whose data were available in both 2004 and 2009. Subjects <30 years old were excluded because of their modest risk for hypertension and cardiovascular diseases, whereas subjects aged ≥85 years old have a substantial risk for death over 5-year follow-up. Of the 13 201 subjects, only 121 subjects were <30 years old and 10 subjects were ≥85 years old in 2004. Subjects (13 070) were enrolled at the first step, 2599 subjects with hypertension (1304 subjects on medication for hypertension) at the baseline were excluded. Finally, we analyzed 6887 subjects with normotensive (blood pressure <120/80 mm Hg; age, 47.7±10.3 years old; 37.1% men) and 3584 prehypertensive subjects (age, 52.1±11.0 years old; 58.1% men) in 2004 separately (Figure 1).

We evaluated the cumulative incidence of hypertension from normal blood pressure and prehypertension over 5 years. Moreover, we evaluated risk factors for developing hypertension from prehypertension over 5 years and calculated odds ratios (ORs). The distribution of serum uric acid levels differed between men and women, and these analyses were also stratified by sex. We also divided the study population into quartiles according to the serum uric acid levels by sex and compared the risk of developing hypertension from prehypertension between each quartile. Additionally, we compared cumulative incidence of hypertension from prehypertension over 5 years between hyperuricemia

and normouricemia after excluding subjects with hypouricemia (serum uric acid levels <3 mg/dL) or diabetes mellitus because hypouricemia sometimes become a risk for hypertension and diabetes mellitus causes decreasing serum uric acid by excretion of urine uric acid. Moreover, to exclude the sampling bias, we also conducted a subanalysis using propensity score–matching model to check that hyperuricemia becomes a risk for developing hypertension from prehypertension.

Patient Involvement

No patients were involved in setting the research question or outcome measures nor were they involved in the design and implementation of the study. There are no plans to involve patients in dissemination.

Definition of Terms: Hypertension, Prehypertension, Diabetes Mellitus, Dyslipidemia, Chronic Kidney Disease, and Hyperuricemia

Hypertension is defined as a condition when subjects are on current antihypertensive medication or on systolic blood pressure of ≥140 mm Hg or diastolic blood pressure of ≥90 mm Hg.^{20,21} Blood pressure readings were obtained using an automatic brachial sphygmomanometer like HEM-9020 (OMRON Corporation, Kyoto, Japan), which was upper arm blood pressure measuring and had passed validation. Two blood pressure examinations were taken after the participants were seated and rested quietly for >5 minutes with their feet on the ground and their back supported. The mean systolic and diastolic blood pressure of each of the subjects were calculated from the recorded measurements. Prehypertension is defined as a condition when subjects have a systolic blood pressure between 120 and 139 mm Hg or a diastolic blood pressure between 80 and 89 mm Hg.^{21,22}

Diabetes mellitus is defined as current diabetes mellitus on medication use or HbA1c (National Glycohemoglobin Standardization Program) ≥6.5%, according to International Expert Committee.²³ Dyslipidemia is defined as current medication use for dyslipidemia or low-density lipoprotein cholesterol ≥140 mg/dL, high-density lipoprotein cholesterol <40 mg/dL, and triglyceride ≥150 mg/dL, according to Japan Atherosclerosis Society guidelines.²⁴

Chronic kidney disease is defined as estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR) <60 mL·min⁻¹·1.73 m². We calculated eGFR using the Japanese GFR equation: eGFR (mL·min⁻¹·1.73 m²)=194×serum creatinine^{-1.094}×age^{-0.287} (×0.739 if woman).²⁵ Hyperuricemia is defined as serum uric acid level >7.0 mg/dL in men and ≥6.0 mg/dL in women.^{23,26–30}

Statistical Analysis

The statistically significant level was set at probability $P < 0.05$ (2 sided). Data are expressed as mean±SD or as percent frequency unless




Figure 1. Flow diagram of study enrollment. CKD indicates chronic kidney disease; and N, number of subjects.

Table 1. Demographics of Study Subjects With Prehypertension in 2004

	Total	Women	Men	P Value
Normal blood pressure				
No. of subjects	6887	4330	2557	
Age	47.7±10.3	46.8±9.9	49.2±10.8	<0.001
Height, cm	162.7±8.2	158.1±5.5	170.5±5.9	<0.001
Weight, kg	57.0±10.5	51.3±6.6	69.7±8.5	<0.001
Body mass index, kg/m ²	21.4±2.7	20.5±2.4	22.9±2.5	<0.001
Systolic BP, mm Hg	105.6±8.8	104.0±9.0	108.3±7.6	<0.001
Diastolic BP, mm Hg	66.0±6.5	64.7±6.6	68.3±5.7	<0.001
Pulse rate, bpm	71.9±9.5	73.4±9.6	69.3±8.9	<0.001
Smoking	35.1%	18.3%	63.4%	<0.001
Drinking habits	39.2%	27.9%	58.4%	<0.001
Diabetes mellitus	2.3%	0.9%	4.6%	<0.001
Dyslipidemia	28.9%	20.7%	42.7%	<0.001
Hyperuricemia	11.4%	4.4%	23.2%	<0.001
Chronic kidney disease	2.0%	1.5%	2.9%	<0.001
White blood cell, μL^{-1}	5062±1376	4831±1207	5454±1666	<0.001
Hemoglobin, g/dL	13.3±1.3	12.6±1.0	14.5±0.9	<0.001
Total protein, g/dL	7.08±0.35	7.09±0.36	7.08±0.34	0.24
eGFR, mL·min ⁻¹ ·1.73 m ²	87.6±15.2	89.6±15.4	84.2±14.3	<0.001
C-reactive protein, mg/dL	0.13±0.21	0.12±0.18	0.15±0.25	<0.001
Serum uric acid, mg/dL	5.00±1.32	4.35±0.88	6.10±1.19	<0.001
Prehypertension				
No. of subjects	3584	1503	2081	
Age	52.1±11.0	53.0±10.5	51.5±11.3	<0.001
Height, cm	164.4±8.9	156.6±5.4	170.0±6.2	<0.001
Weight, kg	63.3±12.0	54.3±8.3	69.8±9.8	<0.001
Body mass index, kg/m ²	23.3±3.1	22.1±3.1	24.1±2.8	<0.001
Systolic BP, mm Hg	127.7±5.8	127.4±5.7	127.9±5.8	0.007
Diastolic BP, mm Hg	79.3±5.2	78.4±5.3	79.9±5.1	<0.001
Pulse rate, bpm	74.8±10.8	78.1±11.1	72.4±10.0	<0.001
Smoking	40.8%	13.6%	60.5%	<0.001
Drinking habits	45.5%	23.9%	61.1%	<0.001

(Continued)

Table 1. Continued

	Total	Women	Men	P Value
Diabetes mellitus	4.4%	2.7%	5.5%	<0.001
Dyslipidemia	47.5%	41.7%	51.7%	<0.001
Hyperuricemia	20.3%	8.7%	28.6%	<0.001
Chronic kidney disease	3.5%	2.6%	4.2%	0.008
White blood cell, μL^{-1}	5298±1373	4987±1244	5522±1418	<0.001
Hemoglobin, g/dL	13.9±1.3	12.9±1.1	14.7±1.0	<0.001
Total protein, g/dL	7.21±0.35	7.09±0.36	7.17±0.34	<0.001
eGFR, mL·min ⁻¹ ·1.73 m ²	85.1±15.6	86.9±15.6	83.8±15.5	<0.001
C-reactive protein, mg/dL	0.16±0.32	0.14±0.27	0.17±0.35	0.019
Serum uric acid, mg/dL	5.59±1.39	4.63±0.95	6.29±1.23	<0.001

Data are presented as mean±SD. BP indicates blood pressure; and eGFR, estimated glomerular filtration rate.

otherwise specified. Comparisons between 2 groups were performed with Student *t* tests for normally distributed variables and χ^2 analyses for categorical data. The risk factors for developing hypertension from normal blood pressure or prehypertension in the period of over 5 years were evaluated both by crude models and by multivariable logistic regression models with adjustments for age, sex, smoking and drinking habits, baseline systolic and diastolic blood pressure, pulse rate, body mass index (BMI), diabetes mellitus, dyslipidemia, chronic kidney disease, and serum uric acid (or hyperuricemia), and ORs were analyzed in each group. All analyses were stratified by sex. The cumulative incidences of hypertension from normal blood pressure or prehypertension over 5 years were compared between the subjects with and without hyperuricemia. When we analyzed the quartile of serum uric acid levels, the lowest quartile served as the reference group. When we conducted a propensity-matching analysis, we divided the study subjects into between with and without hyperuricemia at the baseline with adjustments of age, BMI, smoking and drinking habits, baseline systolic and diastolic blood pressure, pulse rate, diabetes mellitus, dyslipidemia, and chronic kidney disease at the baseline by propensity score-matching model. We checked the main outcome of the development of hypertension from prehypertension over 5 years. All the statistical analyses were performed using the SPSS Statistics software (IBM SPSS Statistics version 22 for Windows; IBM, New York).

Ethical Considerations

We adhered to the principles of the Declaration of Helsinki. Informed consent was obtained from all subjects by a comprehensive agreement method provided by St. Luke's International Hospital. All data were collected and compiled in a protected computer database. Individual data were anonymous without identifiable personal information. St. Luke's International Hospital Ethics Committee approved the protocol for this study.

Results

Demographics of This Study Subjects

Table 1 shows the demographics for men and women with normal blood pressure and prehypertension. Compared to men with normal blood pressure, women were younger, had a

lower BMI, lower systolic and diastolic blood pressure, faster pulse rate, low prevalence of smoking and drinking habits, diabetes mellitus, dyslipidemia, hyperuricemia, chronic kidney disease, lower white blood cell counts, hemoglobin, eGFR, C-reactive protein, and serum uric acid levels (Table 1). In contrast, women with prehypertension were older than men, but the other tendencies are similar compared with normal blood pressure group (Table 1).

Cumulative Incidences of Hypertension From Normal Blood Pressure Over 5 Years

We first sought to confirm multiple prior studies² that an elevated serum urate is an independent risk factor in the normotensive population. Specifically, we evaluated 6887 normotensive Japanese adults (30–85 years old) whose records were available and determined the cumulative incidence of hypertension over a 5-year period. Among this population, the cumulative incidence of hypertension was 2.9%, with a higher incidence in men than women (3.8% versus 2.4%; $P=0.002$). Subjects with hyperuricemia ($n=783$) had significantly higher cumulative incidence of hypertension than those without hyperuricemia ($n=6104$; 5.6% versus 2.6%; $P<0.001$). After stratification by sex, the higher cumulative incidence of hypertension in normotensive subjects with hyperuricemia was observed both in women (6.9% versus 2.2%; $P<0.001$) and men (5.2% versus 3.3%; $P=0.036$; Figure 2A). The threshold level (defined as higher incidence of hypertension than the mean) of serum uric acid for developing hypertension from normal blood pressure was 8.0 mg/dL in men and 5.0 mg/dL in women. The overall relative risk for hyperuricemia for developing hypertension was 2.27, which is in alignment with prior studies.

Cumulative Incidences of Hypertension From Prehypertension Over 5 Years

The cumulative incidence of hypertension from prehypertension over 5 years was 25.3%. There were no significant

differences in the cumulative incidences of hypertension between women and men (24.4% versus 26.0%; $P=0.28$). The cumulative incidence of hypertension in subjects with hyperuricemia ($n=726$) was significantly higher than those without hyperuricemia ($n=2858$; 30.7% versus 24.0%; $P<0.001$). After stratification by sex, the higher cumulative incidence of hypertension in prehypertensive subjects with hyperuricemia was evident in both women (38.2% versus 23.1%; $P<0.001$) and men (29.1% versus 24.8%; $P<0.001$; Figure 2B).

Subjects with higher baseline serum uric acid level had higher cumulative incidences of hypertension over 5 years both in women and men except for hypouricemic women (serum uric acid levels <2.0 mg/dL; Figure S1B in the [online-only Data Supplement](#)). For women, the risk for hypertension rose rapidly with serum uric acid levels over 5 mg/dL, with 50% of women with a serum uric acid of 7 mg/dL developing hypertension and nearly 65% of women with a serum uric acid of 8 mg/dL or greater. In contrast, the risk of developing hypertension with increasing serum uric acid levels was less in men, and a level of ≥ 7 mg/dL carried a risk of about 30% that did not increase significantly at higher levels of serum uric acid. The threshold level of serum uric acid for developing hypertension from prehypertension was 7.0 mg/dL in men and 5.0 mg/dL in women.

Risk Factors for Developing Hypertension From Prehypertension Over 5 Years

We conducted a multivariable logistic regression analyses and calculated ORs for developing hypertension from prehypertension in each group with adjustments for age, sex, BMI, smoking and drinking habits, baseline systolic and diastolic blood pressure, pulse rate, diabetes mellitus, dyslipidemia, chronic kidney disease, and serum uric acid (or hyperuricemia). The risk factors for developing hypertension from prehypertension over 5 years were age (OR per 1 year increased, 1.023; 95% CI, 1.015–1.032), female sex (OR versus male




Figure 2. Cumulative incidences of hypertension from prehypertension over 5 y. The bars show significant differences in cumulative incidences of hypertension from prehypertension between the subjects with and without hyperuricemia by χ^2 analyses. **A**, Normal blood pressure. The cumulative incidence of hypertension over 5 y and the number of subjects (n) were 5.6% ($n=783$) in total with hyperuricemia, 2.6% ($n=6104$) in total without hyperuricemia, 6.9% ($n=189$) in women with hyperuricemia, 2.2% ($n=4141$) in women without hyperuricemia, 5.2% ($n=594$) in men with hyperuricemia, and 3.3% ($n=1963$) in men without hyperuricemia. **B**, Prehypertension. The cumulative incidence of hypertension over 5 y and the number of subjects (n) were 30.7% ($n=726$) in total with hyperuricemia, 24.0% ($n=2858$) in total without hyperuricemia, 38.2% ($n=131$) in women with hyperuricemia, 23.1% ($n=1372$) in women without hyperuricemia, 29.1% ($n=595$) in men with hyperuricemia, and 24.8% ($n=1486$) in men without hyperuricemia.

sex, 1.595; 95% CI, 1.269–2.005), higher BMI (OR per 1 kg/m² increased, 1.051; 95% CI, 1.021–1.081), higher baseline systolic blood pressure (OR per 1 mmHg increased, 1.072; 95% CI, 1.055–1.089) and diastolic blood pressure (OR per 1 mmHg increased, 1.085; 95% CI, 1.065–1.106), lower pulse rate (OR per 1 bpm increased, 0.991; 95% CI, 0.983–1.855), and higher serum uric acid (OR per 1 mg/dL increased, 1.149; 95% CI, 1.066–1.238; Table 2). When analyses were separated by sex, the results were similar, except that BMI in women and pulse rate in both women and men did not become risks for developing hypertension from prehypertension (Table 2). Moreover, we conducted subanalyses using eGFR, fasting blood glucose, and HbA1c instead of chronic kidney disease and diabetes mellitus (Table S1). The results gave similar results as our primary analyses (Table 2).

Serum Uric Acid as a Risk Factor for Developing Hypertension From Prehypertension

We calculated each serum uric acid quartile by sex to account for sex differences in serum uric acid levels. In men, subjects in the highest quartile (serum uric acid of ≥ 7.2 mg/dL) had 1.35-fold (95% CI, 1.023–1.768) higher OR of developing hypertension than those in the lowest quartile (serum uric acid of < 5.6 mg/dL). Women in the third quartile (serum uric acid from 4.6–5.2 mg/dL) and the highest quartile (serum uric acid of ≥ 5.2 mg/dL) had 1.77-fold (95% CI, 1.231–2.556) and 2.40-fold (95% CI, 1.693–3.400) higher ORs of developing hypertension than those in the lowest quartile (serum uric acid of < 4.0 mg/dL; Table 3). After adjustment for age, BMI, smoking and drinking habits, baseline systolic and diastolic blood pressure, pulse rate, diabetes mellitus, dyslipidemia, and chronic kidney disease, these ORs were 1.37 (95% CI, 1.008–1.859) in men, 1.66 (95% CI, 1.120–2.462) in the third quartile, and 1.97 (95% CI, 1.338–2.901) in the highest quartile in women compared with the lowest quartile (Table 3).

Additionally, we also performed an analysis in which we excluded subjects with severe hypouricemia (who largely have a genetic cause^{31–33} and may have increased risk for hypertension^{34–36}) and diabetes mellitus (who often have low uric acid because of the effects of glycosuria³⁷) because both groups may therefore alter the normal relationship of uric acid with hypertension. This analysis therefore excluded 55 hypouricemic subjects (11 men and 44 women, defined as serum uric acid < 3.0 mg/dL) and 156 diabetes mellitus subjects (115 men and 41 women, 1 man and 1 woman had both hyperuricemia and diabetes mellitus) from 3584 prehypertensive subjects, leaving 3375 subjects (1956 men). The cumulative incidence of hypertension from prehypertension over 5 years in subjects with hyperuricemia was higher than those without hyperuricemia (30.4% versus 23.7%; $P < 0.001$). The difference of cumulative incidence of hypertension between hyperuricemia and normouricemia in women (38.4% versus 22.8%; $P < 0.001$) is much larger than in men (28.7% versus 24.5%; $P = 0.054$).

Propensity Score–Matching Model

We conducted a subanalysis using propensity score–matching model. We divided the study subjects into between with and without hyperuricemia at the baseline with adjustments of age, BMI, smoking and drinking habits, baseline systolic

and diastolic blood pressure, pulse rate, diabetes mellitus, dyslipidemia, and chronic kidney disease by propensity score–matching model (Table 4). The results showed that subjects with hyperuricemia had $> 20\%$ higher incidence of hypertension from prehypertension over 5 years compared with those without hyperuricemia (30.1% versus 25.0%; $P = 0.040$).

Discussion

The primary goal was to identify the major risk factors for developing hypertension in a large healthy Japanese prehypertensive population. Higher baseline systolic blood pressure, diastolic blood pressure, increasing BMI, female gender, and age emerged as risk factors, as well as hyperuricemia. Over the 5-year period, $\approx 25\%$ of the prehypertensive subjects developed hypertension. However, the striking finding was that, among prehypertensive subjects, a high serum uric acid further increased the risk for hypertension. Although in men the presence of hyperuricemia increased the risk to $\approx 35\%$, in women the increased risk for hypertension was much higher, with hypertension developing in 1.7-fold in the third serum uric acid quartile and 2.0-fold in the highest quartile compared with the lowest quartile, respectively. These results provide a framework of reference for the design and size of clinical trials aimed to evaluate whether urate-lowering therapies can prevent hypertension in prehypertensive subjects.

There is a report that uric acid is an independent predictor for developing prehypertension.¹ However, our study is the first to evaluate whether the progression from prehypertension to hypertension is influenced by serum urate levels. Our primary finding was that, although hyperuricemic normotensive subjects had a > 2 -fold increased risk for developing hypertension over 5 years (5.6% versus 2.6%, respectively), the risk for developing hypertension in hyperuricemic prehypertensive subjects was much greater, even when compared with normouricemic prehypertensive subjects (30.7 versus 24.0%, respectively). Thus, our study suggests that targeting the prehypertensive hyperuricemic individual may be the ideal group to evaluate the role of urate lowering to prevent hypertension.

After multiple adjustments, the risk factors for developing hypertension from prehypertension continued to include age, female gender, higher BMI, higher baseline systolic and diastolic blood pressure, lower pulse rate, and higher serum uric acid but not smoking and drinking habits, diabetes mellitus, dyslipidemia, or chronic kidney disease. However, after stratification by sex, BMI in women and pulse rate in both women and men did not become risks for developing hypertension from prehypertension. These results suggest the importance of focusing on obesity, serum uric acid levels, and blood pressure as modifiable risk factors to delay or impede the development of hypertension. Unexpectedly, smoking and drinking habits, diabetes mellitus, dyslipidemia, and chronic kidney disease were not independent risk factors for developing hypertension in prehypertensive subjects. These factors are known to carry increased risks of cardiovascular disease but perhaps play an indirect role in the pathogenesis of hypertension in the Japanese population.

Another interesting finding is the greater risk for the development of hypertension carried by hyperuricemia in prehypertensive women than in prehypertensive men. It has

Table 2. Risk Factors for Developing Hypertension From Prehypertension Over 5 Years

	Crude			Adjusted		
	OR	95% CI	PValue	OR	95% CI	PValue
Total*						
Age, per 1 y increased	1.024	1.017–1.031	<0.001	1.023	1.015–1.032	<0.001
Female sex vs male sex	0.920	0.789–1.072	0.283	1.595	1.269–2.005	<0.001
Body mass index, per 1 kg/m ² increased	1.066	1.041–1.092	<0.001	1.051	1.051–1.081	<0.001
Smoking (positive vs negative)	1.200	1.031–1.397	0.019	1.103	0.914–1.330	0.31
Drinking habits (positive vs negative)	1.100	0.946–1.279	0.216	1.075	0.897–1.289	0.43
Baseline systolic BP, per 1 mm Hg increased	1.115	1.100–1.131	<0.001	1.072	1.055–1.089	<0.001
Baseline diastolic BP, per 1 mm Hg increased	1.128	1.109–1.147	<0.001	1.085	1.065–1.106	<0.001
Pulse rate, per 1 bpm increased	0.995	0.998–1.002	0.15	0.991	0.983–0.999	0.030
Diabetes mellitus (positive vs negative)	1.502	1.067–2.114	0.020	1.277	0.878–1.855	0.20
Dyslipidemia (positive vs negative)	1.098	0.944–1.276	0.22	0.857	0.724–1.014	0.073
Chronic kidney disease (positive vs negative)	1.047	0.699–1.570	0.82	0.700	0.449–1.089	0.114
Serum uric acid, per 1 mg/dL increased	1.125	1.066–1.188	<0.001	1.149	1.066–1.238	<0.001
Hyperuricemia (positive vs negative)	1.406	1.175–1.683	<0.001	1.348	1.101–1.650	0.004
Women†						
Age, per 1 y increased	1.030	1.018–1.042	<0.001	1.028	1.014–1.043	<0.001
Body mass index, per 1 kg/m ² increased	1.075	1.037–1.115	<0.001	1.041	0.998–1.086	0.064
Smoking (positive vs negative)	1.101	0.785–1.543	0.58	1.039	0.718–1.503	0.84
Drinking habits (positive vs negative)	1.089	0.829–1.431	0.541	1.256	0.918–1.718	0.15
Baseline systolic BP, per 1 mm Hg increased	1.125	1.100–1.149	<0.001	1.080	1.053–1.107	<0.001
Baseline diastolic BP, per 1 mm Hg increased	1.130	1.101–1.160	<0.001	1.085	1.054–1.118	<0.001
Pulse rate, per 1 bpm increased	0.998	0.987–1.008	0.66	0.993	0.981–1.005	0.26
Diabetes mellitus (positive vs negative)	2.026	1.069–3.837	0.030	1.593	0.799–3.176	0.186
Dyslipidemia (positive vs negative)	1.227	0.968–1.556	0.091	0.796	0.602–1.053	0.109
Chronic kidney disease (positive vs negative)	0.671	0.294–1.533	0.344	0.443	0.180–1.089	0.076
Serum uric acid, per 1 mg/dL increased	1.386	1.224–1.569	<0.001	1.242	1.080–1.429	0.002
Hyperuricemia (positive vs negative), ≥6.0 mg/dL	2.054	1.413–2.987	<0.001	1.513	1.003–2.282	0.048
Ment‡						
Age, per 1 y increased	1.021	1.012–1.030	<0.001	1.02	1.010–1.030	<0.001
Body mass index, per 1 kg/m ² increased	1.061	1.025–1.098	<0.001	1.056	1.015–1.099	0.007
Smoking (positive vs negative)	1.242	1.013–1.521	0.037	1.133	0.910–1.411	0.27
Drinking habits (positive vs negative)	1.072	0.876–1.311	0.50	1.002	0.804–1.250	0.98
Baseline systolic BP, per 1 mm Hg increased	1.109	1.089–1.129	<0.001	1.068	1.046–1.090	<0.001
Baseline diastolic BP, per 1 mm Hg increased	1.129	1.104–1.154	<0.001	1.085	1.058–1.112	<0.001
Pulse rate, per 1 bpm increased	0.994	0.984–1.004	0.23	0.990	0.979–1.000	0.061
Diabetes mellitus (positive vs negative)	1.318	0.878–1.980	0.18	1.158	0.740–1.812	0.52
Dyslipidemia (positive vs negative)	1.005	0.826–1.223	0.96	0.886	0.714–1.099	0.27
Chronic kidney disease (positive vs negative)	1.224	0.765–1.958	0.40	0.836	0.499–1.401	0.50
Serum uric acid, per 1 mg/dL increased	1.085	1.002–1.176	0.046	1.101	1.006–1.204	0.037
Hyperuricemia (positive vs negative), >7.0 mg/dL	1.245	1.007–1.540	0.043	1.268	1.003–1.602	0.047

BP indicates blood pressure; CI indicates confidence interval; and OR, odds ratio.

*Data adjusted for age, sex, body mass index, smoking and drinking habits, baseline systolic and diastolic blood pressure, pulse rate, diabetes mellitus, dyslipidemia, chronic kidney disease, and serum uric acid (or hyperuricemia).

†Data adjusted for age, body mass index, smoking and drinking habits, baseline systolic and diastolic blood pressure, pulse rate, diabetes mellitus, dyslipidemia, chronic kidney disease, and serum uric acid (or hyperuricemia).

Table 3. Relative Odds of Developing Hypertension From Prehypertension Over 5 Years by Serum Uric Acid Quartile

	No. of Subjects	Cumulative Incidence, %	Crude			Adjusted*		
			OR	95% CI	P Value	OR	95% CI	P Value
Women								
First quartile (<4.0 mg/dL)	354	16.7	Reference			Reference		
Second quartile (≥4.0<4.6 mg/dL)	386	21.5	1.370	0.946–1.983	0.096	1.342	0.907–1.998	0.14
Third quartile (≥4.6<5.2 mg/dL)	359	26.2	1.774	1.231–2.556	0.002	1.661	1.120–2.462	0.012
Fourth quartile (≥5.2 mg/dL)	404	32.4	2.399	1.693–3.400	<0.001	1.970	1.338–2.901	<0.001
Men								
First quartile (<5.6 mg/dL)	550	24.4	Reference			Reference		
Second quartile (≥5.6<6.4 mg/dL)	534	25.3	1.050	0.797–1.384	0.73	1.086	0.811–1.454	0.85
Third quartile (≥6.4<7.2 mg/dL)	504	24.4	1.002	0.756–1.328	0.99	0.970	0.715–1.316	0.85
Fourth quartile (≥7.2 mg/dL)	493	30.2	1.345	1.023–1.768	0.034	1.369	1.008–1.859	0.044

CI indicates confidence interval; and OR, odds ratio.

*Data adjusted for age, body mass index, smoking and drinking habits, baseline systolic and diastolic blood pressure, pulse rate, diabetes mellitus, dyslipidemia, chronic kidney disease, and serum uric acid quartile.

been known for some time that for equivalent levels of serum uric acid, women tend to carry a greater risk for hypertension and kidney disease.^{29,38,39} This may relate to differences in expression of urate transporters in nonrenal tissues⁴⁰ because SLC2A9, for example, is known to be differentially regulated in male and female mice.⁴¹ Estrogen also decreases serum uric acid levels, and after menopause serum uric acid levels increase in women.³⁴ The other hypothesis is that hyperuricemic women have large urate production with increased xanthine oxidative activity, which generates reactive oxygen products because molecular oxygen is used as an electron acceptor.⁴² These reactive oxygen products combine nitric oxide and prevent vasodilation.⁴³ Moreover, uric acid itself

stimulates proliferation, angiotensin II production, and oxidative stress through the tissue renin-angiotensin system.⁴⁴ In the results, hyperuricemic women had more risk for hypertension than hyperuricemic men.

Although early clinical trials of lowering uric acid in subjects has been promising,^{6–8,10,12} there have been negative studies,^{13,45,46} and Mendelian genetic studies have also not been able to show a relationship between serum uric acid and hypertension.^{47,48} However, the negative studies have generally been observed in subjects whose blood pressure is already under treatment, and the genetic studies are often limited by not considering other influencing conditions, such as diet. Moreover, the EURIKA study showed serum uric acid is a risk factor for resistant hypertension.⁴ Our study in prehypertensive (untreated) subjects underlines the need to design a definitive study to evaluate the role of lowering uric acid in the prevention of hypertension.

Our study has several limitations. First, this study is a retrospective single-center study, which may have introduced selection bias. On the other hand, single-center studies offer the advantage of the similarity of methodology. Second, this longitudinal study lacks time-to-event data, which precluded survival analysis. Third, our study does not rule out that the effect of uric acid might be confounded by subtle changes in kidney function. Although we could not show chronic kidney disease or eGFR to be an independent risk factor in this study, this may be limited by the few patients with chronic kidney disease, or because including uric acid in the analysis may make kidney function not an independent risk factor because of the potential that they could both be working via the same mechanism. Thus, it remains possible that an elevation of serum uric acid may increase the risk for hypertension in part because a higher serum uric acid is associated with subtle renal dysfunction. Fourth, this study did not account for presence of metabolic syndrome, although we did include various components such as prehypertension, dyslipidemia, and diabetes mellitus. Although we recognized metabolic syndrome could be an important risk factor for hypertension,

Table 4. Propensity Score–Matching Model

	Normouricemia	Hyperuricemia	P Value
No. of subjects	688	688	
Age	51.3±11.0	51.6±11.1	0.57
Male sex	80.7%	81.1%	0.84
Height, cm	167.7±8.3	167.7±8.3	0.95
Weight, kg	69.2±11.4	69.2±11.2	0.97
Body mass index, kg/m ²	24.5±3.0	24.5±2.9	0.96
Systolic BP, mm Hg	128.1±5.9	128.1±5.9	0.96
Diastolic BP, mm Hg	79.8±5.2	79.7±5.2	0.94
Pulse rate, bpm	73.5±10.6	73.6±10.5	0.90
Smoking	54.7%	55.8%	0.66
Drinking habits	55.5%	56.4%	0.74
Diabetes mellitus	2.5%	3.1%	0.51
Dyslipidemia	61.5%	61.6%	0.96
Chronic kidney disease	6.1%	5.4%	0.56

Data are presented as mean±SD. The subjects with hyperuricemia had significantly higher cumulative incidence of hypertension from prehypertension over 5 y compared with those without hyperuricemia by χ^2 analyses (30.1% vs 25.0%; $P=0.040$). BP indicates blood pressure.

including these other variables could act as confounders, and hence we avoided performing such an analysis. Fifth, some hypertensive subjects might have white-coat hypertension and some nonhypertensive subjects might have home hypertension because we measured blood pressure only at the center. Ambulatory blood pressure monitoring is the best method for blood pressure evaluation, but it is difficult in practice in the setting of an annual medical examination. Finally, this is an observation study, and intervention studies are needed to clarify whether the treatments for hyperuricemia in prehypertensive subjects are useful to prevent the development of hypertension.

Perspectives

Increased serum uric acid is a strong risk marker for developing hypertension from prehypertension. Therefore, serum uric acid may be an important modifiable risk factor in managing patients with prehypertension. Further research and especially clinical trials are needed to evaluate whether uric acid lowering can impede the development of hypertension from prehypertension.

Acknowledgments

All the authors of this article fulfill the criteria of authorship. We thank the patients and all staff in Center for Preventive Medicine, St. Luke's International Hospital, for assistance with data collection. M. Kuwabara reports the grant for studying abroad from Federation of National Public Service Personnel Mutual Aid Association in Japan.

Disclosures

R.J. Johnson has equity with XORT Therapeutics that is developing novel xanthine oxidase inhibitors and with Colorado Research Partners LLC that is developing inhibitors of fructose metabolism. In addition, R.J. Johnson is an inventor on several patents licensed to XORT Therapeutics. (US Patent No. 7799794 and US Patent No. 8557831). The other authors report no conflicts.

References

- Liu L, Gu Y, Li C, et al. Serum uric acid is an independent predictor for developing prehypertension: a population-based prospective cohort study. *J Hum Hypertens*. 2017;31:116–120. doi: 10.1038/jhh.2016.48.
- Grayson PC, Kim SY, LaValley M, Choi HK. Hyperuricemia and incident hypertension: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Arthritis Care Res (Hoboken)*. 2011;63:102–110. doi: 10.1002/acr.20344.
- Feig DI, Madero M, Jalal DI, Sanchez-Lozada LG, Johnson RJ. Uric acid and the origins of hypertension. *J Pediatr*. 2013;162:896–902. doi: 10.1016/j.jpeds.2012.12.078.
- Borghesi C, Tubach F, De Backer G, Dallongeville J, Guallar E, Medina J, Perk J, Roy C, Banegas JR, Rodriguez-Artalejo F, Halcox JP. Lack of control of hypertension in primary cardiovascular disease prevention in Europe: results from the EURIKA study. *Int J Cardiol*. 2016;218:83–88. doi: 10.1016/j.ijcard.2016.05.044.
- Mazzali M, Hughes J, Kim YG, Jefferson JA, Kang DH, Gordon KL, Lan HY, Kivlighn S, Johnson RJ. Elevated uric acid increases blood pressure in the rat by a novel crystal-independent mechanism. *Hypertension*. 2001;38:1101–1106.
- Feig DI, Soletsky B, Johnson RJ. Effect of allopurinol on blood pressure of adolescents with newly diagnosed essential hypertension: a randomized trial. *JAMA*. 2008;300:924–932. doi: 10.1001/jama.300.8.924.
- Soletsky B, Feig DI. Uric acid reduction rectifies prehypertension in obese adolescents. *Hypertension*. 2012;60:1148–1156. doi: 10.1161/HYPERTENSIONAHA.112.196980.
- Higgins P, Walters MR, Murray HM, McArthur K, McConnachie A, Lees KR, Dawson J. Allopurinol reduces brachial and central blood pressure, and carotid intima-media thickness progression after ischaemic stroke and transient ischaemic attack: a randomised controlled trial. *Heart*. 2014;100:1085–1092. doi: 10.1136/heartjnl-2014-305683.
- Beattie CJ, Fulton RL, Higgins P, Padmanabhan S, McCallum L, Walters MR, Dominiczak AF, Touyz RM, Dawson J. Allopurinol initiation and change in blood pressure in older adults with hypertension. *Hypertension*. 2014;64:1102–1107. doi: 10.1161/HYPERTENSIONAHA.114.03953.
- Madero M, Rodríguez Castellanos FE, Jalal D, Villalobos-Martín M, Salazar J, Vazquez-Rangel A, Johnson RJ, Sanchez-Lozada LG. A pilot study on the impact of a low fructose diet and allopurinol on clinic blood pressure among overweight and prehypertensive subjects: a randomized placebo controlled trial. *J Am Soc Hypertens*. 2015;9:837–844. doi: 10.1016/j.jash.2015.07.008.
- Kanbay M, Ozkara A, Selcoki Y, Isik B, Turgut F, Bavbek N, Uz E, Akcay A, Yigitoglu R, Covic A. Effect of treatment of hyperuricemia with allopurinol on blood pressure, creatinine clearance, and proteinuria in patients with normal renal functions. *Int Urol Nephrol*. 2007;39:1227–1233. doi: 10.1007/s11255-007-9253-3.
- Kanbay M, Huddam B, Azak A, Solak Y, Kadioglu GK, Kirbas I, Duranay M, Covic A, Johnson RJ. A randomized study of allopurinol on endothelial function and estimated glomerular filtration rate in asymptomatic hyperuricemic subjects with normal renal function. *Clin J Am Soc Nephrol*. 2011;6:1887–1894. doi: 10.2215/CJN.11451210.
- Borgi L, McMullan C, Wohlhueter A, Curhan GC, Fisher ND, Forman JP. Effect of uric acid-lowering agents on endothelial function: a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. *Hypertension*. 2017;69:243–248. doi: 10.1161/HYPERTENSIONAHA.116.08488.
- Borghesi C, Rosei EA, Bardin T, Dawson J, Dominiczak A, Kielstein JT, Manolis AJ, Perez-Ruiz F, Mancia G. Serum uric acid and the risk of cardiovascular and renal disease. *J Hypertens*. 2015;33:1729–1741; discussion 1741. doi: 10.1097/HJH.0000000000000701.
- Feig DI, Kang DH, Johnson RJ. Uric acid and cardiovascular risk. *N Engl J Med*. 2008;359:1811–1821. doi: 10.1056/NEJMr0800885.
- Kuwabara M, Motoki Y, Sato H, Fujii M, Ichiura K, Kuwabara K, Nakamura Y. Low frequency of toothbrushing practices is an independent risk factor for diabetes mellitus in male and dyslipidemia in female: a large-scale, 5-year cohort study in Japan. *J Cardiol*. 2017;70:107–112. doi: 10.1016/j.jjcc.2016.10.008.
- Kuwabara M, Niwa K, Hisatome I, Nakagawa T, Roncal-Jimenez CA, Andres-Hernando A, Bjornstad P, Jensen T, Sato Y, Milagres T, Garcia G, Ohno M, Lanaspas MA, Johnson RJ. Asymptomatic hyperuricemia without comorbidities predicts cardiometabolic diseases: five-year Japanese Cohort Study. *Hypertension*. 2017;69:1036–1044. doi: 10.1161/HYPERTENSIONAHA.116.08998.
- Kuwabara M, Bjornstad P, Hisatome I, Niwa K, Roncal-Jimenez CA, Andres-Hernando A, Jensen T, Milagres T, Sato Y, Garcia G, Ohno M, Lanaspas MA, Johnson RJ. Elevated serum uric acid level predicts rapid decline in kidney function. *Am J Nephrol*. 2017;45:330–337. doi: 10.1159/000464260.
- Kuwabara M, Hisatome I, Roncal-Jimenez CA, Niwa K, Andres-Hernando A, Jensen T, Bjornstad P, Milagres T, Cicerchi C, Song Z, Garcia G, Sánchez-Lozada LG, Ohno M, Lanaspas MA, Johnson RJ. Increased serum sodium and serum osmolality are independent risk factors for developing chronic kidney disease; 5 Year Cohort Study. *PLoS One*. 2017;12:e0169137. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0169137.
- Shimamoto K, Ando K, Fujita T, et al; Japanese Society of Hypertension Committee for Guidelines for the Management of Hypertension. The Japanese Society of Hypertension Guidelines for the Management of Hypertension (JSH 2014). *Hypertens Res*. 2014;37:253–390. doi: 10.1038/hr.2014.20.
- Black HR, Sica D, Ferdinand K, White WB; American Heart Association Electrocardiography and Arrhythmias Committee of Council on Clinical Cardiology, Council on Cardiovascular Disease in Young, Council on Cardiovascular and Stroke Nursing, Council on Functional Genomics and Translational Biology, and American College of Cardiology. Eligibility and disqualification recommendations for competitive athletes with cardiovascular abnormalities: task force 6: hypertension: a scientific statement from the American Heart Association and the American College of Cardiology. *Circulation*. 2015;132:e298–e302. doi: 10.1161/CIR.0000000000000242.
- Chobanian AV, Bakris GL, Black HR, Cushman WC, Green LA, Izzo JL Jr, Jones DW, Materson BJ, Oparil S, Wright JT Jr, Roccella EJ; National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute Joint National Committee on Prevention, Detection, Evaluation, and Treatment of High Blood Pressure; National High Blood Pressure Education Program Coordinating Committee. The seventh report of the Joint National Committee on prevention, detection, evaluation, and treatment of high blood pressure: the JNC 7 report. *JAMA*. 2003;289:2560–2572. doi: 10.1001/jama.289.19.2560.

23. The International Expert Committee. International expert committee report on the role of the A1C assay in the diagnosis of diabetes. *Diabetes Care*. 2009;32:1327–1334.
24. Teramoto T, Sasaki J, Ishibashi S, et al; Japan Atherosclerosis Society. Executive summary of the Japan Atherosclerosis Society (JAS) guidelines for the diagnosis and prevention of atherosclerotic cardiovascular diseases in Japan -2012 version. *J Atheroscler Thromb*. 2013;20:517–523.
25. Matsuo S, Imai E, Horio M, Yasuda Y, Tomita K, Nitta K, Yamagata K, Tomino Y, Yokoyama H, Hishida A; Collaborators developing the Japanese equation for estimated GFR. Revised equations for estimated GFR from serum creatinine in Japan. *Am J Kidney Dis*. 2009;53:982–992. doi: 10.1053/j.ajkd.2008.12.034.
26. Yamanaka H; Japanese Society of Gout and Nucleic Acid Metabolism. Japanese guideline for the management of hyperuricemia and gout: second edition. *Nucleosides Nucleotides Nucleic Acids*. 2011;30:1018–1029. doi: 10.1080/15257770.2011.596496.
27. Desai RV, Ahmed MI, Fonarow GC, Filippatos GS, White M, Aban IB, Aronow WS, Ahmed A. Effect of serum insulin on the association between hyperuricemia and incident heart failure. *Am J Cardiol*. 2010;106:1134–1138. doi: 10.1016/j.amjcard.2010.06.023.
28. Lin KC, Lin HY, Chou P. Community based epidemiological study on hyperuricemia and gout in Kin-Hu, Kinmen. *J Rheumatol*. 2000;27:1045–1050.
29. Iseki K, Ikemiya Y, Inoue T, Iseki C, Kinjo K, Takishita S. Significance of hyperuricemia as a risk factor for developing ESRD in a screened cohort. *Am J Kidney Dis*. 2004;44:642–650.
30. Zhu Y, Pandya BJ, Choi HK. Prevalence of gout and hyperuricemia in the US general population: the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey 2007-2008. *Arthritis Rheum*. 2011;63:3136–3141. doi: 10.1002/art.30520.
31. Sugihara S, Hisatome I, Kuwabara M, Niwa K, Maharani N, Kato M, Ogino K, Hamada T, Ninomiya H, Higashi Y, Ichida K, Yamamoto K. Depletion of uric acid due to SLC22A12 (URAT1) loss-of-function mutation causes endothelial dysfunction in hypouricemia. *Circ J*. 2015;79:1125–1132. doi: 10.1253/circj.CJ-14-1267.
32. Enomoto A, Kimura H, Chairoungdua A, et al. Molecular identification of a renal urate anion exchanger that regulates blood urate levels. *Nature*. 2002;417:447–452. doi: 10.1038/nature742.
33. Kuwabara M, Niwa K, Ohtahara A, Hamada T, Miyazaki S, Mizuta E, Ogino K, Hisatome I. Prevalence and complications of hypouricemia in a general population: a large-scale cross-sectional study in Japan. *PLoS One*. 2017;12:e0176055. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0176055.
34. Kuwabara M. Hyperuricemia, cardiovascular disease, and hypertension. *Pulse (Basel)*. 2016;3:242–252. doi: 10.1159/000443769.
35. Verdecchia P, Schillaci G, Reboldi G, Santusano F, Porcellati C, Brunetti P. Relation between serum uric acid and risk of cardiovascular disease in essential hypertension. The PIUMA study. *Hypertension*. 2000;36:1072–1078.
36. De Leeuw PW, Thijs L, Birkenhäger WH, Voyaki SM, Efstratopoulos AD, Fagard RH, Leonetti G, Nachev C, Petrie JC, Rodicio JL, Rosenfeld JJ, Sarti C, Staessen JA; Systolic Hypertension in Europe (Syst-Eur) Trial Investigators. Prognostic significance of renal function in elderly patients with isolated systolic hypertension: results from the Syst-Eur trial. *J Am Soc Nephrol*. 2002;13:2213–2222.
37. Shichiri M, Iwamoto H, Shiigai T. Diabetic renal hypouricemia. *Arch Intern Med*. 1987;147:225–228.
38. Lee JJ, Ahn J, Hwang J, Han SW, Lee KN, Kim JB, Lee S, Na JO, Lim HE, Kim JW, Rha SW, Park CG, Seo HS, Oh DJ, Kim EJ. Relationship between uric acid and blood pressure in different age groups. *Clin Hypertens*. 2015;21:14. doi: 10.1186/s40885-015-0022-9.
39. Iseki K, Oshiro S, Tozawa M, Iseki C, Ikemiya Y, Takishita S. Significance of hyperuricemia on the early detection of renal failure in a cohort of screened subjects. *Hypertens Res*. 2001;24:691–697.
40. Price KL, Sautin YY, Long DA, Zhang L, Miyazaki H, Mu W, Endou H, Johnson RJ. Human vascular smooth muscle cells express a urate transporter. *J Am Soc Nephrol*. 2006;17:1791–1795. doi: 10.1681/ASN.2006030264.
41. Döring A, Gieger C, Mehta D, et al. SLC2A9 influences uric acid concentrations with pronounced sex-specific effects. *Nat Genet*. 2008;40:430–436. doi: 10.1038/ng.107.
42. Borghi C, Cicero AFG. Serum uric acid and cardiometabolic disease: another brick in the wall? *Hypertension*. 2017;69:1011–1013. doi: 10.1161/HYPERTENSIONAHA.117.09081.
43. Watanabe E. Uric acid and atrial fibrillation - cause or other association? -. *Circ J*. 2012;76:584–585.
44. Kuwabara M, Sato Y, Kanbay M, Johnson RJ. Uric acid and left ventricular hypertrophy: a potentially new modifiable target? *Am J Hypertens*. 2017;30:229–231. doi: 10.1093/ajh/hpw195.
45. McMullan CJ, Borgi L, Fisher N, Curhan G, Forman J. Effect of uric acid lowering on renin-angiotensin-system activation and ambulatory BP: a randomized controlled trial. *Clin J Am Soc Nephrol*. 2017;12:807–816. doi: 10.2215/CJN.10771016.
46. Segal MS, Srinivas TR, Mohandas R, Shuster JJ, Wen X, Whidden E, Tantravahi J, Johnson RJ. The effect of the addition of allopurinol on blood pressure control in African Americans treated with a thiazide-like diuretic. *J Am Soc Hypertens*. 2015;9:610–619.e1. doi: 10.1016/j.jash.2015.05.009.
47. Köttgen A, Albrecht E, Teumer A, et al; LifeLines Cohort Study; CARDIoGRAM Consortium; DIAGRAM Consortium; ICBP Consortium; MAGIC Consortium. Genome-wide association analyses identify 18 new loci associated with serum urate concentrations. *Nat Genet*. 2013;45:145–154. doi: 10.1038/ng.2500.
48. Yang Q, Köttgen A, Dehghan A, et al. Multiple genetic loci influence serum urate levels and their relationship with gout and cardiovascular disease risk factors. *Circ Cardiovasc Genet*. 2010;3:523–530. doi: 10.1161/CIRCGENETICS.109.934455.

Novelty and Significance

What Is New?

- This is the first study to identify the major risk factors for developing hypertension in subjects with prehypertension by a large-scale longitudinal design. Moreover, all the analyses were adjusted for age, body mass index, smoking and drinking habits, baseline systolic and diastolic blood pressure, pulse rate, diabetes mellitus, dyslipidemia, chronic kidney disease, and serum uric acid (or hyperuricemia), and these analyses were also stratified by sex.

What Is Relevant?

- The cumulative incidence of hypertension in prehypertensive subjects with hyperuricemia was >25% higher than those without hyperuricemia. Moreover, after multivariable adjustments, higher serum uric acid

became a strong risk marker for developing hypertension from prehypertension, as well as age, higher body mass index, and higher baseline systolic and diastolic blood pressure.

Summary

This study compared the relative risk for serum uric acid in predicting the development of hypertension in both the normotensive and prehypertensive population and showed that the relative risk increased from 5% to 30%. Moreover, increased serum uric acid became a strong risk marker for developing hypertension from prehypertension both in men and women after multiple adjustments. Thus, we identify the hyperuricemic, prehypertensive population as an ideal group for future prevention trials.

Uric Acid Is a Strong Risk Marker for Developing Hypertension From Prehypertension: A 5-Year Japanese Cohort Study

Masanari Kuwabara, Ichiro Hisatome, Koichiro Niwa, Shigeko Hara, Carlos A. Roncal-Jimenez, Petter Bjornstad, Takahiko Nakagawa, Ana Andres-Hernando, Yuka Sato, Thomas Jensen, Gabriela Garcia, Bernardo Rodriguez-Iturbe, Minoru Ohno, Miguel A. Lanasa and Richard J. Johnson

Hypertension. 2018;71:78-86; originally published online December 4, 2017;
doi: 10.1161/HYPERTENSIONAHA.117.10370

Hypertension is published by the American Heart Association, 7272 Greenville Avenue, Dallas, TX 75231
Copyright © 2017 American Heart Association, Inc. All rights reserved.
Print ISSN: 0194-911X. Online ISSN: 1524-4563

The online version of this article, along with updated information and services, is located on the World Wide Web at:

<http://hyper.ahajournals.org/content/71/1/78>

Data Supplement (unedited) at:

<http://hyper.ahajournals.org/content/suppl/2017/11/29/HYPERTENSIONAHA.117.10370.DC1>

Permissions: Requests for permissions to reproduce figures, tables, or portions of articles originally published in *Hypertension* can be obtained via RightsLink, a service of the Copyright Clearance Center, not the Editorial Office. Once the online version of the published article for which permission is being requested is located, click Request Permissions in the middle column of the Web page under Services. Further information about this process is available in the [Permissions and Rights Question and Answer](#) document.

Reprints: Information about reprints can be found online at:
<http://www.lww.com/reprints>

Subscriptions: Information about subscribing to *Hypertension* is online at:
<http://hyper.ahajournals.org/subscriptions/>

Supplemental Materials

Uric Acid is an Independent Risk Factor for Developing Hypertension from Prehypertension: A 5-year Japanese Cohort Study

Running title: uric acid and prehypertension

Masanari Kuwabara, MD, PhD^{1,2,3}, Ichiro Hisatome, MD PhD⁴, Koichiro Niwa, MD³, Shigeko Hara, MD, PhD⁵, Carlos A. Roncal-Jimenez, PhD¹, Petter Bjornstad, MD^{1,6}, Takahiko Nakagawa, MD, PhD⁷, Ana Andres-Hernando, DVM, PhD¹, Yuka Sato, MD, PhD¹, Thomas Jensen, MD¹, Gabriela Garcia, MD¹, Bernardo Rodriguez-Iturbe MD⁸, Minoru Ohno, MD, PhD², Miguel A. Lanaspá, DVM, PhD¹, Richard J. Johnson, MD¹

¹ Division of Renal Diseases and Hypertension, School of Medicine, University of Colorado Denver, Aurora, CO, USA;

² Department of Cardiology, Toranomon Hospital, Tokyo, Japan;

³ Cardiovascular Center, St. Luke's International Hospital, Tokyo, Japan;

⁴ Division of Regenerative Medicine and Therapeutics, Tottori University Graduate School of Medical Sciences, Tottori, Japan;

⁵ Health Management Center, Toranomon Hospital, Tokyo, Japan;

⁶ Children's Hospital Colorado and Barbara Davis Center for Childhood Diabetes, Aurora, CO, USA;

⁷ Nara Medical University, Industry-Academia-Government Collaboration Center, Nara, Japan;

⁸ Department of Nephrology, Hospital Universitario and Instituto Venezolano de Investigaciones Científicas (IVIC)-Zulia, Maracaibo, Venezuela

Address for Correspondence:

Masanari Kuwabara, MD, PhD

Division of Renal Diseases and Hypertension, University of Colorado Denver

Mail Stop C281, Room 7010 12700 East 19th Avenue, Aurora, CO 80045, United States

Tel: 303-724-4852; Fax: 303-724-4868; E-mail: kuwamasa728@gmail.com

Table S1. Risk factors for developing hypertension from prehypertension over 5 years using blood glucose and HbA1c and eGFR instead of chronic kidney disease and diabetes mellitus.

Total		Crude			Adjusted*		
		OR	95% CI	p	OR	95% CI	p
Age	per 1 year increased	1.024	1.017-1.031	<0.001	1.023	1.014-1.031	<0.001
Women	versus men	0.920	0.789-1.072	0.283	1.555	1.234-1.959	<0.001
Body mass index	per 1 kg/m ² increased	1.066	1.041-1.092	<0.001	1.052	1.022-1.083	<0.001
Smoking	positive versus negative	1.200	1.031-1.397	0.019	1.105	0.915-1.335	0.30
Drinking habits	positive versus negative	1.100	0.946-1.279	0.216	1.101	0.917-1.323	0.30
Baseline systolic BP	per 1 mmHg increased	1.115	1.100-1.131	<0.001	1.073	1.056-1.090	<0.001
Baseline diastolic BP	per 1 mmHg increased	1.128	1.109-1.147	<0.001	1.085	1.065-1.106	<0.001
Pulse rate	per 1 bpm increased	0.995	0.998-1.002	0.15	0.991	0.983-0.999	0.035
Dyslipidemia	positive versus negative	1.098	0.944-1.276	0.22	0.851	0.718-1.008	0.062
Fasting blood glucose	per 1 mg/dL increased	1.006	1.001-1.011	0.009	0.996	0.987-1.004	0.32
HbA1c	per 1 per cent increased	1.235	1.095-1.394	<0.001	1.169	0.937-1.458	0.17
eGFR	per 1 mL/min/1.73m ² increased	0.992	0.987-0.997	0.001	1.002	0.996-1.008	0.50
Serum uric acid	per 1 mg/dL increased	1.125	1.066-1.188	<0.001	1.142	1.058-1.232	<0.001
Women		Crude			Adjusted*		
		OR	95% CI	p	OR	95% CI	p
Age	per 1 year increased	1.030	1.018-1.042	<0.001	1.025	1.010-1.041	0.001
Body mass index	per 1 kg/m ² increased	1.075	1.037-1.115	<0.001	1.041	0.997-1.087	0.069
Smoking	positive versus negative	1.101	0.785-1.543	0.58	1.052	0.727-1.522	0.79
Drinking habits	positive versus negative	1.089	0.829-1.431	0.541	1.270	0.927-1.740	0.14
Baseline systolic BP	per 1 mmHg increased	1.125	1.100-1.149	<0.001	1.078	1.052-1.106	<0.001


Baseline diastolic BP	per 1 mmHg increased	1.13	1.101-1.160	<0.001	1.087	1.055-1.119	<0.001
Pulse rate	per 1 bpm increased	0.998	0.987-1.008	0.66	0.993	0.981-1.005	0.24
Dyslipidemia	positive versus negative	1.227	0.968-1.556	0.091	0.780	0.589-1.034	0.084
Fasting blood glucose	per 1 mg/dL increased	1.014	1.004-1.023	0.005	1.001	0.988-1.015	0.83
HbA1c	per 1 per cent increased	1.497	1.196-1.874	<0.001	1.166	0.821-1.656	0.39
eGFR	per 1 mL/min/1.73m ² increased	0.990	0.982-0.997	0.009	1.000	0.991-1.010	0.97
Serum uric acid	per 1 mg/dL increased	1.386	1.224-1.569	<0.001	1.219	1.054-1.410	0.008

Men		Crude			Adjusted*		
		OR	95% CI	p	OR	95% CI	p
Age	per 1 year increased	1.021	1.012-1.030	<0.001	1.021	1.010-1.032	<0.001
Body mass index	per 1 kg/m ² increased	1.061	1.025-1.098	<0.001	1.059	1.018-1.102	0.005
Smoking	positive versus negative	1.242	1.013-1.521	0.037	1.133	0.908-1.414	0.27
Drinking habits	positive versus negative	1.072	0.876-1.311	0.50	1.024	0.819-1.281	0.83
Baseline systolic BP	per 1 mmHg increased	1.109	1.089-1.129	<0.001	1.069	1.047-1.091	<0.001
Baseline diastolic BP	per 1 mmHg increased	1.129	1.104-1.154	<0.001	1.085	1.058-1.112	<0.001
Pulse rate	per 1 bpm increased	0.994	0.984-1.004	0.23	0.990	0.979-1.001	0.071
Dyslipidemia	positive versus negative	1.005	0.826-1.223	0.96	0.882	0.710-1.096	0.26
Fasting blood glucose	per 1 mg/dL increased	1.003	0.997-1.009	0.30	0.993	0.983-1.004	0.21
HbA1c	per 1 per cent increased	1.135	0.981-1.314	0.088	1.173	0.882-1.562	0.27
eGFR	per 1 mL/min/1.73m ² increased	0.994	0.987-1.000	0.059	1.004	0.996-1.011	0.35
Serum uric acid	per 1 mg/dL increased	1.085	1.002-1.176	0.046	1.101	1.006-1.206	0.037

bpm, beat per minute; p, probability

Data are presented as mean ± standard deviation.

Figure S1. Cumulative incidences of hypertension from normal blood pressure (A) and prehypertension (B) over five years in each serum uric acid level by sex



A) Normal blood pressure

Women: The cumulative incidence of hypertension over five years in each serum uric acid level and the numbers of subjects (n) were 11.1% (n=9) in < 2.0 mg/dL, 1.0% (n=205) in 2.0 to 3.0 mg/dL, 1.0% (n=1,194) in 3.0 to 4.0 mg/dL, 2.1% (n=1,966) in 4.0 to 5.0 mg/dL, 4.4% (n=768) in 5.0 to 6.0 mg/dL, 6.5% (n=168) in 6.0 to 7.0 mg/dL, 10.0% (n=20) in 7.0 mg/dL and more of serum uric acid levels. The mean cumulative incidence of hypertension was 2.4% in women.

Men: The cumulative incidence of hypertension over five years in each serum uric acid level and the numbers of subjects (n) were 11.1% (n=9) in < 3.0 mg/dL, 2.3% (n=88) in 3.0 to 4.0 mg/dL, 2.0% (n=299) in 4.0 to 5.0 mg/dL, 3.1% (n=774) in 5.0 to 6.0 mg/dL, 4.7% (n=785) in 6.0 to 7.0 mg/dL, 2.7% (n=444) in 7.0 to 8.0 mg/dL, 8.9% (n=158) in 8.0 mg/dL and more of serum uric acid levels. The mean cumulative incidence of hypertensions was 3.8% in men.

B) Prehypertension

Women: The cumulative incidence of hypertension over five years in each serum uric acid level and the numbers of subjects (n) were 33.3% (n=3) in < 2.0 mg/dL, 17.1% (n=41) in 2.0 to 3.0 mg/dL, 16.5% (n=310) in 3.0 to 4.0 mg/dL, 22.6% (n=645) in 4.0 to 5.0 mg/dL, 30.0% (n=373) in 5.0 to 6.0 mg/dL, 34.9% (n=106) in 6.0 to 7.0 mg/dL, 50.0% (n=22) in 7.0 to 8.0 mg/dL, and 66.7% (n=3) in 8.0 mg/dL and more of serum uric acid levels. The mean cumulative incidence of hypertensions was 24.4% in women.

Men: The cumulative incidence of hypertension over five years in each serum uric acid level and the numbers of subjects (n) were 18.2% (n=11) in < 3.0 mg/dL, 21.1% (n=57) in 3.0 to 4.0 mg/dL, 22.4% (n=196) in 4.0 to 5.0 mg/dL, 26.3% (n=549) in 5.0 to 6.0 mg/dL, 24.6% (n=670) in 6.0 to 7.0 mg/dL, 29.0% (n=441) in 7.0 to 8.0 mg/dL, 28.2%

(n=131) in 8.0 to 9.0 mg/dL, and 30.6% (n=36) in 9.0 mg/dL and more of serum uric acid levels. The mean cumulative incidence of hypertension was 26.0% in men.