

Catheter-Based Renal Nerve Ablation as a Novel Hypertension Therapy Lost, and Then Found, in Translation

John W. Osborn, Christopher T. Banek

The hypothesis that renal nerves mediate, at least in part, the pathogenesis and maintenance of hypertension is based on decades of preclinical studies and, to a certain extent, recent clinical trials of catheter-based renal nerve ablation (CBRNA) in humans. The first 2 clinical trials for CBRNA, Symplicity HTN-1 and Symplicity HTN-2, reported sustained reductions in arterial pressure in patients with drug-resistant hypertension and set the stage for the first blinded US trial, Symplicity HTN-3. However, Symplicity HTN-3 failed to reach its 6-month efficacy end point, thus jeopardizing the clinical application of CBRNA in the United States.

The goal of this article is to reexamine the feasibility of CBRNA to treat hypertension. Preclinical studies on the role of renal nerves in hypertension have been extensively reviewed,^{1,2} as have the Symplicity HTN-1, 2, and 3 trials.³⁻⁷ Therefore, we will review these topics only briefly to provide context for the primary purpose of this article which is to answer the following question: is the outcome of Symplicity HTN-3 because of the failure to translate preclinical knowledge to the clinic, or is our basic understanding of the mechanisms by which renal nerves contribute to hypertension flawed?

Rationale for Renal Nerve Ablation to Treat Hypertension

The kidney is innervated by sympathetic efferent fibers that modulate 3 pharmacological targets for the treatment of hypertension: renin release, tubular sodium reabsorption, and renal vascular resistance.^{1,2} Although conventional pharmacological treatments of these targets lower arterial pressure, patients often present with negative side effects which may lead to drug noncompliance. One rationale for CBRNA is that this treatment would suppress renin release, tubular sodium reabsorption, and renal vasoconstriction, while avoiding the side effects associated with more globally acting pharmacotherapies.

The kidney is also innervated by renal afferent fibers that provide the central nervous system sensory information related to the internal milieu of the kidney,^{1,8,9} and their activity may contribute to hypertension by modulation of renal and global sympathetic outflow.^{1,8,10} Afferent and efferent nerves are intermixed such that CBRNA destroys both, so it is impossible to know which contribute to the antihypertensive response to this treatment.

Emergence of CBRNA: The Symplicity HTN Trials

Because the lumen of the renal artery is readily accessible by an intravascular catheter, and renal nerves travel along the walls of the renal artery, they are readily accessible for ablation using catheter-based technology. The first proof-of-principle for CBRNA was the Symplicity HTN-1 trial conducted in 45 patients with drug-resistant hypertension.^{3,4} CBRNA was performed using the Symplicity Flex percutaneous radiofrequency ablation catheter. Mean office blood pressure before denervation was 177/101 mmHg and was decreased at 1 month (–21/–10 mmHg) and up to 36 months (–32/–14 mmHg) after a single procedure. Symplicity HTN-2 was a larger randomized control trial in 106 patients with drug-resistant hypertension conducted in 24 centers in Europe, Australia, and New Zealand.⁵ Patients were randomized 1:1 for treatment or control, but control subjects did not receive a sham procedure. The results of this trial were similar to Symplicity HTN-1, where a sustained decrease in office blood pressure was observed in patients treated with CBRNA compared with controls.

The outcome of a larger US randomized, blinded, sham-controlled trial, Symplicity HTN-3, was highly anticipated. Symplicity HTN-3 was conducted in 535 patients randomly assigned in a 2:1 ratio to undergo CBRNA (n=364) or a sham procedure (n=171). CBRNA decreased office systolic blood pressure by an average of 14 mmHg 6 months post-CBRNA. However, the primary efficacy end point was not met as the decrease in office systolic blood pressure was not different between the CBRNA and the sham group (–11 mmHg),⁶ suggesting either a placebo or Hawthorne effect.

An extensive post hoc analysis of Symplicity HTN-3 suggests that failure to properly perform the procedure may have been major factor in the failure of the trial.¹¹ In addition, 39% of patients changed medication during the trial, which may have influenced responses.¹¹ Moreover, blacks in the sham control group receiving a vasodilator had a marked decrease in systolic pressure (–21.9 mmHg) that was not observed in the other subgroups, perhaps reflecting a change in pharmacological adherence.¹¹ The issue of drug resistance and adherence to medication was recently addressed in the superbly well-designed DENERHTN trial.¹² This open-label

From the Department of Integrative Biology and Physiology, University of Minnesota Medical School, Minneapolis.

Correspondence to John W. Osborn, Department of Integrative Biology and Physiology, University of Minnesota Medical School, Cancer & Cardiovascular Research Bldg, 2231 6th St SE, Minneapolis, MN 55455. E-mail osbor003@umn.edu

(*Hypertension*. 2018;71:00-00. DOI: 10.1161/HYPERTENSIONAHA.117.08928.)

© 2018 American Heart Association, Inc.

Hypertension is available at <http://hyper.ahajournals.org>

DOI: 10.1161/HYPERTENSIONAHA.117.08928

randomized controlled trial with blinded end point evaluation was conducted in 15 French centers in patients with resistant hypertension. To verify that patients were drug resistant, a combination of 1.5 mg indapamide, 10 mg ramipril (or 300 mg of irbesartan), and 10 mg of amlodipine was administered for 4 weeks during which time ambulatory blood pressure was measured. Patients were then randomized 1:1 and assigned to receive either standardized stepped-care antihypertensive treatment alone or standardized stepped-care antihypertensive treatment and renal denervation. Standardized stepped-care antihypertensive treatment involved the addition of 25 mg spironolactone, 10 mg bisoprolol, 5 mg prazosin, and 1 mg rilmenidine for 3 months if home and ambulatory blood pressure were >135/85 mmHg. Ambulatory systolic pressure decreased 9.9 mmHg in the control (SSHAT) group and 15.8 mmHg in the renal denervation+SSHAT group. More importantly, it was reported that the number of antihypertensive drugs and drug adherence at 6 months was similar in both groups. These investigators concluded that, in patients with well-defined resistant hypertension, renal denervation decreases blood pressure more than optimization of drug therapy alone and that this additional blood pressure-lowering effect may contribute to a reduction in cardiovascular morbidity if maintained in long term after renal denervation.¹² These findings, as well as the issues raised in the Symplicity HTN trials, raised several questions on CBRNA as a therapy for hypertension. We attempt to address some of these questions in the following sections.

Questions Raised by the Symplicity HTN Trials

Is the Arterial Pressure Response to Renal Denervation Because of Ablation of Efferent or Afferent Renal Nerves?

It has been reported that CBRNA had no effect on plasma renin and aldosterone.¹³ However, the expected decrease in renin release caused by CBRNA may be offset by the direct effect of reduced renal perfusion pressure to stimulate renin release.¹ These investigators also reported that CBRNA decreased total peripheral resistance, whereas cardiac output did not change.¹³ It is not known whether the decrease in peripheral resistance was because of reduced renal vascular resistance specifically, or whether vasodilation occurred in other vascular beds. CBRNA reportedly reduces renal resistive index with no change in glomerular filtration rate.¹⁴

The hypothesis that afferent renal nerves contribute to the effects of CBRNA was sparked by clinical studies showing that CBRNA had off-target effects, including reduced fasting plasma glucose, decreased muscle sympathetic nerve activity (MSNA), lower sleep apneic frequency, and less cardiac arrhythmias.¹⁵⁻¹⁷ These findings are consistent with preclinical studies demonstrating that sensory neural signals from kidneys modulate sympathetic activity not only to the kidney but other organs.¹

Techniques to ablate afferent renal nerves, independent of efferent nerves, have been used to study experimental hypertension. The first method was bilateral sectioning of the spinal dorsal roots from T9 to L1, or dorsal rhizotomy. This method interrupts renal sensory input to the spinal cord and has been reported to attenuate several models of

experimental hypertension.¹ However, dorsal rhizotomy is not specific for renal afferent nerves because cutaneous, somatic, and all other visceral afferent inputs between T9 and L1 are also sectioned by this method. This is a confounding factor, particularly in salt-sensitive models of hypertension, because animal and human studies have shown that the skin and skeletal muscle store sodium and may be important in sodium homeostasis.¹⁸

We recently developed a chemical ablation method that targets renal afferent nerves while leaving renal efferent nerves and other sensory afferent nerves intact.¹⁹ This method attenuates the development of deoxycorticosterone acetate–salt hypertension in the rat just as effectively as total (efferent+afferent) surgical renal denervation (RDN).^{19,20} This finding combined with the observation that basal afferent renal nerve activity was 2.5-fold higher in deoxycorticosterone acetate–salt compared with normotensive control rats²⁰ suggests that this model is driven by afferent, not efferent, renal nerves. However, it is important to note that this method of targeted afferent renal nerve ablation has no effect on another model of hypertension, the Dahl salt–sensitive rat, despite the fact that complete RDN lowered arterial pressure.²¹ These data suggest that the response to RDN in this model is mediated by ablation of efferent, not afferent, renal nerves. The same is true for the angiotensin II–induced mouse model in that RDN attenuates hypertension, but afferent renal nerve ablation does not.²² When combined, these studies indicate the role of efferent and afferent nerves to the pathogenesis of hypertension are model dependent.

Does RDN Decrease Sympathetic Nerve Activity to Other Organs?

Some hypertensive patients have increased MSNA.²³⁻²⁶ In 1 case report, multi-unit MSNA decreased from 56 bursts/min to 41 bursts/min 1 month after CBRNA and 19 bursts/min 12 months thereafter.²⁷ Another study did not find a reduction in MSNA after CBRNA, but they also did not observe a decrease in arterial pressure.²⁸ A subsequent study by another group measured both multi-unit and single-unit MSNA, before and 3 months after CBRNA. There was a modest, yet statistically significant, reduction in multi-unit MSNA from 79 to 73 bursts per 100 heart beats 3 months after CBRNA. It was notable that all properties of single-unit activity (spikes/100 heart beats, firing probability, and multiple firing incidence) were also markedly reduced,¹⁷ and these responses were sustained 1 year after RDN.²⁹

Grassi et al³⁰ measured cardiovascular and MSNA responses before and after CBRNA. Although arterial pressure showed a significant decrease at 1 month post-CBRNA, multi-unit MSNA did not decrease until 3 months post-ablation. Importantly, they found that the arterial pressure response preceded changes in baroreflex function as well. On the basis of this temporal response, they concluded that the arterial pressure response to CBRNA was not because of alterations in central sympathetic drive.³⁰ However, single-unit MSNA was not analyzed in this study. Because measurement of sympathetic nerve activity (SNA) in humans is currently possible only in skeletal muscle and skin,³¹ the effect of CBRNA on other SNA to other vascular beds is unknown.

The extent to which RDN affects SNA in unanesthetized animals has not been investigated extensively. Rossi et al³² measured the response of renal SNA in the 2K1C rat Goldblatt model before and after RDN. Six weeks after induction of renal artery stenosis in the right kidney, SNA to the left kidney was 3-fold higher in 2K1C rats compared with controls. RDN of the clipped kidney decreased arterial pressure, renal SNA, and renal angiotensin II in the contralateral kidney and plasma angiotensin II.³² These findings support the hypothesis that renovascular hypertension is because of the activation of renal afferent nerves from the ischemic kidney, which drives efferent renal SNA to the contralateral kidney. Whether the increased SNA is renal specific, or reflects an increase in SNA to other organs, remains to be investigated.

How Effectively Does CBRNA Denervate the Human Kidney? Is There Relationship Between the Efficacy of Denervation and the Arterial Pressure Response?

Data from the Symplicity HTN trials suggest a direct relationship between the number of ablations/renal artery (typically 4–6) and the decrease in arterial pressure, thus implying that the more extensive the denervation the larger the fall in arterial pressure.¹¹ This is supported by animal studies showing that the extent of RDN and the fall in arterial pressure are linearly correlated.^{1,33} A major limitation of CBRNA is the lack of a method to confirm completeness of denervation. It has been shown in a research setting, using renal norepinephrine spillover as a measure of renal SNA in humans, that even in the hands of expert interventionists, there is variability in the completeness of denervation using the single electrode Symplicity catheter.⁷ On average, the denervation was 45% effective with a range of 0% to 80%.⁷

With that in mind, it is important to note that all interventionists for Symplicity Flex HTN-1, 2 trials were properly trained by medical staff before the trials began. In contrast, none of the 111 interventionists at the 88 US centers received hands-on training by medical staff before Symplicity HTN-3.⁷ Instead, training was provided by company staff rather than experienced interventionists in previous trials.⁷ More than 50% of the operators in Symplicity HTN-3 performed at most 2 procedures and 31% performed just one.⁷

Finally, another obstacle to achieving complete denervation is that the secondary branches of the renal artery, as well as accessory renal arteries, are also innervated which can elicit a substantial pressor response when activated in humans.³⁴ Targeting these vessels, in addition to the main arteries, could lead to an improved denervation efficacy as further discussed below.

Taken together, these clinical and preclinical studies suggest that (1) the more extensive the denervation the greater the fall of arterial pressure, and (2) previous catheter-based technology did not consistently achieve complete denervation of the human kidney.

Do the Kidneys Reinnervate After Renal Nerve Ablation?

If the arterial pressure decrease after RDN is directly related to the extent of denervation, then will reinnervation result in

arterial pressure returning toward control over time? This does not seem to be the case in that patients from the Symplicity HTN-1 trial have demonstrated a gradual further decrease in arterial pressure over the course of a year, which has been stable for 3 years post-CBRNA.

Booth et al³⁵ assessed anatomic and functional reinnervation of normotensive sheep kidneys 5.5 and 11 months after CBRNA using the Symplicity Flex catheter. By 11 months after CBRNA, the anatomic distribution and functional responses of efferent and afferent renal nerves were completely restored.³⁵ The authors suggest that their findings challenge the concept that the prolonged response to CBRNA in humans is because of sustained RDN. On the basis of these findings, Phillips³⁶ speculated that the sustained arterial pressure response to CBRNA could be the result of temporary loss of afferent renal nerve activity resulting in long-term changes in set points of sympathetic reflex pathways.

Do Biomarkers Exist to Identify Candidates Who Will Respond to CBRNA?

Many of the unresolved issues surrounding CBRNA could be solved if there was a reliable test or biomarker to indicate the contribution of renal nerves to hypertension. With this goal in mind, Dörr et al³⁷ measured plasma levels of 3 indicators of vascular damage, sFLT-1 (soluble fms-like tyrosine kinase), ICAM-1 (intracellular cell adhesion molecule), and VCAM-1 (vascular cell adhesion molecule) in 55 patients before and 6 months after CBRNA. A significant mean office systolic pressure reduction of 31.2 mmHg was observed in 46 patients (84%) who were classified as responders. On the other hand, 9 patients (16%) were classified as nonresponders with a mean office systolic pressure reduction of 4.6 mmHg. Responders had significantly higher serum levels of sFLT-1, ICAM-1, and VCAM-1 at baseline compared with nonresponders, suggesting that these serve as biomarkers to predict responsiveness to CBRNA.³⁷ However, it has been suggested that this is a small sample from a single center, and, importantly, these biomarkers did not respond to CBRNA³⁸ so further investigation is required.

Another methodology was described by de Jong et al.³⁹ In this study, 14 patients with drug-resistant hypertension underwent electric renal nerve stimulation to measure the acute pressor responses before and immediately after CBRNA under general anesthesia.³⁹ Before CBRNA, systolic pressure increased 50 mmHg with renal nerve stimulation, and this was decreased to 13 mmHg immediately after. More importantly, there was a strong and significant positive correlation between the systolic pressure response to renal nerve stimulation and response of ambulatory blood pressure at 3 and 6 months post-CBRNA.³⁹

To the best of our knowledge, there are no preclinical studies to date that have identified a reliable biomarker or test to predict the responsiveness to RDN in preclinical models of hypertension.

SPYRAL HTN Global Clinical Trial Program

Despite the strong preclinical foundation supporting the concept of RDN to treat hypertension, and the positive results of the Symplicity HTN-1 and HTN-2 trials, the failure of

Symplcity HTN-3 initially led some to doubt CBRNA as an effective treatment for hypertension. However, based on the discussion above, we strongly feel that the failure of Symplcity HTN-3 was almost entirely the result of technical and procedural pitfalls. Indeed, findings from other clinical trials using improved catheter designs^{40–45} and other technologies for ablation such as high-intensity focused ultrasound⁴⁶ provide further support that RDN has great promise as a novel therapeutic approach to treat hypertension.

Early results from the SPYRAL HTN Global Clinical Trial Program support our conclusion. This trial was specifically designed to avoid the pitfalls of Symplcity HTN-3⁴⁷ from both clinical trial design and the catheter technology. The trial consists of 2 simultaneous, randomized, sham-controlled trials conducted by skilled interventionists at 25 centers in the United States, Japan, Europe, and Asia. One arm of the trial will be conducted in patients on 3 medications, a thiazide diuretic, an angiotensin converting enzyme antagonist, and a calcium channel blocker, whereas the other, SPYRAL HTN-OFF MED, will be conducted in patients either not on medication or after a 3-month washout period.

A key feature of the 4-electrode Symplcity Spyril catheter design, compared with the single electrode Symplcity Flex catheter, is the capability of performing ablations in branches as small as 3 mm in addition to the main renal artery. Whereas the Symplcity Flex catheter treats only the main renal artery, achieving 4 to 6 ablations/renal artery (or 8–16 ablations per patient), the Symplcity Spyril catheter can achieve $\approx 4\times$ that number of ablations including branch arteries. This should result in a more effective and consistent denervation and, based on preclinical studies showing a direct correlation between the extent of denervation and the fall in blood pressure,³³ a greater antihypertensive response.

The 3-month results from the SPYRAL HTN-OFF MED trial are promising in that CBRNA statistically decreased office systolic (-10 mmHg) and diastolic (-5.3 mmHg) pressure in contrast to Sham controls in which there was no significant change.⁴⁸ Moreover, the average number of ablations per patient was 43.8 ± 13.1 with 17.9 ± 10.5 in the main renal arteries and 25.9 ± 12.8 in branches.⁴⁸ It is important to note that the combination of main renal artery and branch ablations resulted in larger decreases in arterial pressure than main artery ablations alone.⁴⁹ These new findings are very encouraging and provide strong support for the concept that, if done properly, CBRNA does decrease arterial pressure in humans.

Device-Based Renal Denervation as a Novel Hypertension Therapy: Lost, and Then Found, in Translation

We conclude by answering the question we posed at the beginning of this article: Is the outcome of Symplcity HTN-3 because of the failure to translate preclinical knowledge to the clinic, or is our basic understanding of the mechanisms by which renal nerves contribute to hypertension flawed? We firmly think that the failure of Symplcity HTN-3 was simply a case of lost in translation. It is now clear that weaknesses in the trial design such as unregulated medication adjustments, improper training of interventionalists, catheter design, and the lack of a method to confirm denervation resulted in

the failure of Symplcity HTN-3.⁷ With the emergence of improved catheter designs to minimize operator error, proper training of interventionists, and rigorous trial design such as the SPYRAL HTN Global Clinical Trial Program, we predict that CBRNA will be found in translation and emerge as an effective therapy for the treatment of hypertension and other clinical conditions associated with chronically elevated sympathetic activity. In fact, a recent clinical study demonstrated that, in addition to decreasing MSNA, CBRNA reduced monocyte activation, monocyte platelet aggregation, and circulating levels of several inflammatory cytokines and chemokines in hypertensive patients suggesting a direct connection between sympathetic activity and low-grade inflammation.⁵⁰ This represents yet another important area of investigation on the clinical benefits of CBRNA.

Sources of Funding

This work was supported by the National Institutes of Health grants 1RO1HL116476 and 1U01DK11632001 (J.W. Osborn). C.T. Banek was supported by National Institutes of Health 2T32HL7741-21, and by American Heart Association 17POST33661003.

Disclosures

J.W. Osborn is a consultant for NeuroMedics, Inc, and Northwind Medical, Inc. The other author reports no conflicts.

References

- Osborn JW, Foss JD. Renal nerves and long-term control of arterial pressure. *Compr Physiol*. 2017;7:263–320. doi: 10.1002/cphy.c150047.
- DiBona GF, Kopp UC. Neural control of renal function. *Physiol Rev*. 1997;77:75–197.
- Krum H, Schlaich M, Whitbourn R, Sobotka PA, Sadowski J, Bartus K, Kapelak B, Walton A, Sievert H, Thambar S, Abraham WT, Esler M. Catheter-based renal sympathetic denervation for resistant hypertension: a multicentre safety and proof-of-principle cohort study. *Lancet*. 2009;373:1275–1281. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(09)60566-3.
- Krum H, Schlaich MP, Sobotka PA, Böhm M, Mahfoud F, Rocha-Singh K, Katholi R, Esler MD. Percutaneous renal denervation in patients with treatment-resistant hypertension: final 3-year report of the Symplcity HTN-1 study. *Lancet*. 2014;383:622–629. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(13)62192-3.
- Esler MD, Krum H, Sobotka PA, Schlaich MP, Schmieder RE, Böhm M. Renal sympathetic denervation in patients with treatment-resistant hypertension (The Symplcity HTN-2 Trial): a randomised controlled trial. *Lancet*. 2010;376:1903–1909.
- Kandzari DE, Bhatt DL, Sobotka PA, O'Neill WW, Esler M, Flack JM, Katzen BT, Leon MB, Massaro JM, Negoita M, Oparil S, Rocha-Singh K, Straley C, Townsend RR, Bakris G. Catheter-based renal denervation for resistant hypertension: rationale and design of the SYMPLICITY HTN-3 Trial. *Clin Cardiol*. 2012;35:528–535. doi: 10.1002/clc.22008.
- Esler M. Illusions of truths in the Symplcity HTN-3 trial: generic design strengths but neuroscience failings. *J Am Soc Hypertens*. 2014;8:593–598. doi: 10.1016/j.jash.2014.06.001.
- Kopp UC. Role of renal sensory nerves in physiological and pathophysiological conditions. *Am J Physiol Regul Integr Comp Physiol*. 2015;308:R79–R95. doi: 10.1152/ajpregu.00351.2014.
- Stella A, Zanchetti A. Functional role of renal afferents. *Physiol Rev*. 1991;71:659–682.
- Ciriello J, de Oliveira CV. Renal afferents and hypertension. *Curr Hypertens Rep*. 2002;4:136–142.
- Kandzari DE, Bhatt DL, Brar S, et al. Predictors of blood pressure response in the SYMPLICITY HTN-3 trial. *Eur Heart J*. 2015;36:219–227. doi: 10.1093/eurheartj/ehu441.
- Azizi M, Sapoval M, Gosse P, et al; Renal Denervation for Hypertension (DENERHTN) Investigators. Optimum and stepped care standardised antihypertensive treatment with or without renal denervation for resistant hypertension (DENERHTN): a multicentre, open-label, randomised controlled trial. *Lancet*. 2015;385:1957–1965. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(14)61942-5.

13. Ewen S, Cremers B, Meyer MR, Donazzan L, Kindermann I, Ukena C, Helfer AG, Maurer HH, Laufs U, Grassi G, Böhm M, Mahfoud F. Blood pressure changes after catheter-based renal denervation are related to reductions in total peripheral resistance. *J Hypertens*. 2015;33:2519–2525. doi: 10.1097/HJH.0000000000000752.
14. Mahfoud F, Cremers B, Janker J, et al. Renal hemodynamics and renal function after catheter-based renal sympathetic denervation in patients with resistant hypertension. *Hypertension*. 2012;60:419–424. doi: 10.1161/HYPERTENSIONAHA.112.193870.
15. Witkowski A, Prejbisz A, Florczak E, Kądziała J, Śliwiński P, Bieleń P, Michałowska I, Kabat M, Warchol E, Januszewicz M, Narkiewicz K, Somers VK, Sobotka PA, Januszewicz A. Effects of renal sympathetic denervation on blood pressure, sleep apnea course, and glycemic control in patients with resistant hypertension and sleep apnea. *Hypertension*. 2011;58:559–565. doi: 10.1161/HYPERTENSIONAHA.111.173799.
16. Steinberg JS, Pokushalov E, Mittal S. Renal denervation for arrhythmias: hope or hype? *Curr Cardiol Rep*. 2013;15:392. doi: 10.1007/s11886-013-0392-0.
17. Hering D, Lambert EA, Marusic P, Walton AS, Krum H, Lambert GW, Esler MD, Schlaich MP. Substantial reduction in single sympathetic nerve firing after renal denervation in patients with resistant hypertension. *Hypertension*. 2013;61:457–464. doi: 10.1161/HYPERTENSIONAHA.111.00194.
18. Titze J, Luft FC. Speculations on salt and the genesis of arterial hypertension. *Kidney Int*. 2017;91:1324–1335. doi: 10.1016/j.kint.2017.02.034.
19. Foss JD, Wainford RD, England WC, Fink GD, Osborn JW. A novel method of selective ablation of afferent renal nerves by periaxonal application of capsaicin. *Am J Physiol Regul Integr Comp Physiol*. 2015;308:R112–R122. doi: 10.1152/ajpregu.00427.2014.
20. Banek CT, Knuepfer MM, Foss JD, Fiege JK, Asirvatham-Jeyaraj N, Van Helden D, Shimizu Y, Osborn JW. Resting afferent renal nerve discharge and renal inflammation: elucidating the role of afferent and efferent renal nerves in deoxycorticosterone acetate salt hypertension. *Hypertension*. 2016;68:1415–1423. doi: 10.1161/HYPERTENSIONAHA.116.07850.
21. Foss JD, Fink GD, Osborn JW. Reversal of genetic salt-sensitive hypertension by targeted sympathetic ablation. *Hypertension*. 2013;61:806–811. doi: 10.1161/HYPERTENSIONAHA.111.00474.
22. Xiao L, Kirabo A, Wu J, Saleh MA, Zhu L, Wang F, Takahashi T, Loperena R, Foss JD, Mernaugh RL, Chen W, Roberts J II, Osborn JW, Itani HA, Harrison DG. Renal denervation prevents immune cell activation and renal inflammation in angiotensin II-induced hypertension. *Circ Res*. 2015;117:547–557. doi: 10.1161/CIRCRESAHA.115.306010.
23. Malpas SC. Sympathetic nervous system overactivity and its role in the development of cardiovascular disease. *Physiol Rev*. 2010;90:513–557. doi: 10.1152/physrev.00007.2009.
24. Esler M. Sympathetic activity in experimental and human hypertension. In: Bulpitt CJ, ed. *Pathophysiology of Hypertension*. Amsterdam, The Netherlands: Elsevier Science; 1997;17: 628–673.
25. Wallin BG. Human sympathetic nerve activity and blood pressure regulation. *Clin Exp Hypertens A*. 1989;11(suppl 1):91–101.
26. Grassi G, Esler M. The sympathetic nervous system in renovascular hypertension: lead actor or 'bit' player? *J Hypertens*. 2002;20:1071–1073.
27. Schlaich MP, Sobotka PA, Krum H, Lambert E, Esler MD. Renal sympathetic-nerve ablation for uncontrolled hypertension. *N Engl J Med*. 2009;361:932–934. doi: 10.1056/NEJMc0904179.
28. Brinkmann J, Heusser K, Schmidt BM, Menne J, Klein G, Bauersachs J, Haller H, Sweep FC, Diedrich A, Jordan J, Tank J. Catheter-based renal nerve ablation and centrally generated sympathetic activity in difficult-to-control hypertensive patients: prospective case series. *Hypertension*. 2012;60:1485–1490. doi: 10.1161/HYPERTENSIONAHA.112.201186.
29. Hering D, Marusic P, Walton AS, Lambert EA, Krum H, Narkiewicz K, Lambert GW, Esler MD, Schlaich MP. Sustained sympathetic and blood pressure reduction 1 year after renal denervation in patients with resistant hypertension. *Hypertension*. 2014;64:118–124. doi: 10.1161/HYPERTENSIONAHA.113.03098.
30. Grassi G, Seravalle G, Brambilla G, Trabattini D, Cuspidi C, Corso R, Pieruzzi F, Genovesi S, Stella A, Facchetti R, Spaziani D, Bartorelli A, Mancia G. Blood pressure responses to renal denervation precede and are independent of the sympathetic and baroreflex effects. *Hypertension*. 2015;65:1209–1216. doi: 10.1161/HYPERTENSIONAHA.114.04823.
31. Hart EC, Head GA, Carter JR, Wallin BG, May CN, Hamza SM, Hall JE, Charkoudian N, Osborn JW. Recording sympathetic nerve activity in conscious humans and other mammals: guidelines and the road to standardization. *Am J Physiol Heart Circ Physiol*. 2017;312:H1031–H1051. doi: 10.1152/ajpheart.00703.2016.
32. Rossi NF, Pajewski R, Chen H, Littrup PJ, Maliszewska-Scislo M. Hemodynamic and neural responses to renal denervation of the nerve to the clipped kidney by cryoablation in two-kidney, one-clip hypertensive rats. *Am J Physiol Regul Integr Comp Physiol*. 2016;310:R197–R208. doi: 10.1152/ajpregu.00331.2015.
33. Fink GD, Phelps JT. Can we predict the blood pressure response to renal denervation? *Auton Neurosci*. 2017;204:112–118. doi: 10.1016/j.autneu.2016.07.011.
34. de Jong MR, Hoogerwaard AF, Gal P, Adiyaman A, Smit JJ, Delnoy PP, Ramdat Misier AR, van Hasselt BA, Heeg JE, le Polain de Waroux JB, Lau EO, Staessen JA, Persu A, Elvan A. Persistent increase in blood pressure after renal nerve stimulation in accessory renal arteries after sympathetic renal denervation. *Hypertension*. 2016;67:1211–1217. doi: 10.1161/HYPERTENSIONAHA.115.06604.
35. Booth LC, Nishi EE, Yao ST, Ramchandra R, Lambert GW, Schlaich MP, May CN. Reinnervation of renal afferent and efferent nerves at 5.5 and 11 months after catheter-based radiofrequency renal denervation in sheep. *Hypertension*. 2015;65:393–400. doi: 10.1161/HYPERTENSIONAHA.114.04176.
36. Phillips JK. What underlies the prolonged hypotensive effect of catheter-based renal denervation in humans? *Hypertension*. 2015;65:276–277. doi: 10.1161/HYPERTENSIONAHA.114.04346.
37. Dörr O, Liebetrau C, Möllmann H, Gaede L, Troidl C, Rixe J, Hamm C, Nef H. Soluble fms-like tyrosine kinase-1 and endothelial adhesion molecules (intercellular cell adhesion molecule-1 and vascular cell adhesion molecule-1) as predictive markers for blood pressure reduction after renal sympathetic denervation. *Hypertension*. 2014;63:984–990. doi: 10.1161/HYPERTENSIONAHA.113.02266.
38. Schlaich M. Biomarkers for the prediction of blood pressure response to renal denervation: a long way to go. *Hypertension*. 2014;63:907–908. doi: 10.1161/HYPERTENSIONAHA.113.02752.
39. de Jong MR, Adiyaman A, Gal P, Smit JJ, Delnoy PP, Heeg JE, van Hasselt BA, Lau EO, Persu A, Staessen JA, Ramdat Misier AR, Steinberg JS, Elvan A. Renal nerve stimulation-induced blood pressure changes predict ambulatory blood pressure response after renal denervation. *Hypertension*. 2016;68:707–714. doi: 10.1161/HYPERTENSIONAHA.116.07492.
40. Rosa J, Widimský P, Toušek P, et al. Randomized comparison of renal denervation versus intensified pharmacotherapy including spironolactone in true-resistant hypertension: six-month results from the Prague-15 study. *Hypertension*. 2015;65:407–413. doi: 10.1161/HYPERTENSIONAHA.114.04019.
41. Rosa J, Widimský P, Waldauf P, et al. Role of adding spironolactone and renal denervation in true resistant hypertension: one-year outcomes of Randomized PRAGUE-15 Study. *Hypertension*. 2016;67:397–403. doi: 10.1161/HYPERTENSIONAHA.115.06526.
42. Papademetriou V, Tsioufis CP, Sinhal A, Chew DP, Meredith IT, Malaiapan Y, Worthley MI, Worthley SG. Catheter-based renal denervation for resistant hypertension: 12-month results of the ENLIGHTN I first-in-human study using a multielectrode ablation system. *Hypertension*. 2014;64:565–572. doi: 10.1161/HYPERTENSIONAHA.114.03605.
43. Böhm M, Mahfoud F, Ukena C, Hoppe UC, Narkiewicz K, Negoita M, Ruilope L, Schlaich MP, Schmieder RE, Whitbourn R, Williams B, Zeymer U, Zirikli A, Mancia G; GSR Investigators. First report of the Global SYMPPLICITY Registry on the effect of renal artery denervation in patients with uncontrolled hypertension. *Hypertension*. 2015;65:766–774. doi: 10.1161/HYPERTENSIONAHA.114.05010.
44. Verheye S, Ormiston J, Bergmann MW, Sievert H, Schwindt A, Werner N, Vogel B, Colombo A. Twelve-month results of the rapid renal sympathetic denervation for resistant hypertension using the OneShot™ ablation system (RAPID) study. *EuroIntervention*. 2015;10:1221–1229. doi: 10.4244/EIJY14M12_02.
45. Yang WY, Staessen JA. Hypertension: renal denervation-promising data from the DENERHTN trial. *Nat Rev Nephrol*. 2015;11:258–260. doi: 10.1038/nrneph.2015.28.
46. Rong S, Zhu H, Liu D, Qian J, Zhou K, Zhu Q, Jiang Y, Yang G, Deng C, Zhang D, Zhou Q, Lei H, He TC, Wang Z, Huang J. Noninvasive renal denervation for resistant hypertension using high-intensity focused ultrasound. *Hypertension*. 2015;66:e22–e25. doi: 10.1161/HYPERTENSIONAHA.115.05754.
47. Kandzari DE, Kario K, Mahfoud F, Cohen SA, Pilcher G, Pocock S, Townsend R, Weber MA, Böhm M. The SPYRAL HTN Global Clinical Trial Program: rationale and design for studies of renal denervation in the absence (SPYRAL HTN OFF-MED) and presence (SPYRAL HTN ON-MED) of antihypertensive medications. *Am Heart J*. 2016;171:82–91. doi: 10.1016/j.ahj.2015.08.021.

48. Townsend RR, Mahfoud F, Kandzari DE, et al; SPYRAL HTN-OFF MED Trial Investigators*. Catheter-based renal denervation in patients with uncontrolled hypertension in the absence of antihypertensive medications (SPYRAL HTN-OFF MED): a randomised, sham-controlled, proof-of-concept trial. *Lancet*. 2017;390:2160–2170. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(17)32281-X.
49. Fengler K, Ewen S, Hollriegel R, Rommel K-P, Kulenthiran S, Lauder L, Cremers B, Schuler G, Linke A, Bohm M, Mahfoud F, Lurz P. Blood pressure response to main artery and combined main renal artery plus branch renal denervation in patients with resistant hypertension. *J Am Heart Assoc*. 2017;6:e006196. doi: 10.1161/JAHA.117.006196.
50. Zaldivia MT, Rivera J, Hering D, et al. Renal denervation reduces monocyte activation and monocyte-platelet aggregate formation: an anti-inflammatory effect relevant for cardiovascular risk. *Hypertension*. 2017;69:323–331. doi: 10.1161/HYPERTENSIONAHA.116.08373.



Hypertension

Catheter-Based Renal Nerve Ablation as a Novel Hypertension Therapy: Lost, and Then Found, in Translation

John W. Osborn and Christopher T. Banek

Hypertension. published online January 2, 2018;

Hypertension is published by the American Heart Association, 7272 Greenville Avenue, Dallas, TX 75231

Copyright © 2018 American Heart Association, Inc. All rights reserved.

Print ISSN: 0194-911X. Online ISSN: 1524-4563

The online version of this article, along with updated information and services, is located on the World Wide Web at:

<http://hyper.ahajournals.org/content/early/2017/12/29/HYPERTENSIONAHA.117.08928.citation>

Permissions: Requests for permissions to reproduce figures, tables, or portions of articles originally published in *Hypertension* can be obtained via RightsLink, a service of the Copyright Clearance Center, not the Editorial Office. Once the online version of the published article for which permission is being requested is located, click Request Permissions in the middle column of the Web page under Services. Further information about this process is available in the [Permissions and Rights Question and Answer](#) document.

Reprints: Information about reprints can be found online at:
<http://www.lww.com/reprints>

Subscriptions: Information about subscribing to *Hypertension* is online at:
<http://hyper.ahajournals.org/subscriptions/>